

Recall the following from last time.

**Proposition 14.1.** *Let  $B' \subset B$  where  $B$  and  $B'$  are as usual (we will usually take  $B$  to be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $L$ ). Suppose that  $B$  has a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  as an  $A$ -module and that  $B'$  has a basis  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  as an  $A$ -module. Writing*

$$w_i = \sum_{\ell=1}^n n_{i\ell} a_\ell,$$

and letting  $N$  be the matrix  $[n_{i\ell}]$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \det[\mathbb{T}_{L/K}(w_i w_j)] = (\det N)^2 \det[\mathbb{T}_{L/K}(v_i v_j)].$$

Note that it follows from the above that when  $B$  is free with basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ , then  $\Delta(B/A)$  is simply  $\det[\mathbb{T}_{L/K}(v_i v_j)]$ . It also follows if  $B$  is free and  $B'$  is as usual (integral over  $A$  with field of fractions  $L$ ), then  $B = B'$  if and only if  $\Delta(B'/A) = \Delta(B/A)$ .

**Corollary 14.2.** *Let  $B' \subset B$  with  $B'$  and  $B$  as usual. Then*

$$\Delta(B/A)(\Delta(B'/A))^{-1} = I^2$$

for some ideal  $I$  in  $A$ .

**Corollary 14.3.** *Let  $B'$  be as usual. Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be maximal in  $B'$  and let  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap A$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q}$  is invertible whenever  $\mathfrak{p}^2$  doesn't divide  $\Delta(B'/A)$ .*

*Proof.* We replace  $B'$  with  $S^{-1}B'$ , where  $S = A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ , which we'll just write as  $B'$ , and replace  $A$  with  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . It will suffice to show that  $B'$  is a Dedekind domain, which is equivalent to showing that it is equal to the integral closure  $B$  of  $A$  in  $L$ . Then  $B' = B$  if and only if  $\Delta(B/A) = \Delta(B'/A)$  and  $\Delta(B'/A) = I^2 \Delta(B/A)$  for some ideal  $I$ . So if  $B' \neq B$ , then  $\mathfrak{p}^2$  divides  $\Delta(B'/A)$ . Thus, if  $\mathfrak{p}^2$  doesn't divide  $\Delta(B'/A)$ , then  $B = B'$ . □

We are most interested in the case  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ , and  $L$  is a number field. Suppose we start with  $\theta$  integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and such that  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ . We want to find the integral closure  $\mathcal{O}_L$  (also called the ring of integers and the maximal order of  $L$ ). The following proposition (like Prop. 9.1 from the book) gives some info on it.

(Prop. 9.1, p. 47)

**Proposition 14.4.** *let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$  for integral  $\theta$ . Write  $|\Delta(\mathbb{Z}[\theta]/\mathbb{Z})| = dm^2$ . Then the every element in the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_L$  has the form*

$$\frac{a_0 + a_1\theta + \cdots + a_{n-1}\theta^{n-1}}{t}$$

with

$$\gcd(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, t) = 1, \text{ and } t \mid m$$

*Proof.* Denote  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  as  $B'$ . If  $p \nmid m$ , then setting  $S = \mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z}$ , the ring  $S^{-1}B'$  is integrally closed. For any  $t$  such that  $p \nmid t$ , any element of the form

$$\frac{a_0 + a_1\theta + \cdots + a_n\theta^{n-1}}{t}$$

is not in  $S^{-1}B'$  and therefore not integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus,

$$\frac{a_0 + a_1\theta + \cdots + a_n\theta^{n-1}}{t} \in \mathcal{O}_L$$

with  $\gcd(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, t) = 1$  implies that  $t \mid m$ .  $\square$

Now, to change gears slightly, let's prove a few facts about our usual set-up when we take Galois extensions of field  $K$ . In what follows,  $A$  is Dedekind,  $K$  is its field of fractions,  $L$  is a finite Galois extension of  $K$ , and  $B$  is the integral closure of  $A$  in  $M$ .

We have the following Lemma.

**Lemma 14.5.** *Keep the notation above. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a maximal ideal of  $A$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_m$  be the primes in  $B$  for which  $\mathfrak{q}_i \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$ . Then for every  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ , the set  $\sigma(\mathfrak{q}_i)$  is one of the primes  $\mathfrak{q}_j$  of  $B$  lying over  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Furthermore,  $\sigma$  acts transitively on the set  $\{\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_m\}$*

*Proof.* If  $y$  is integral over  $A$ , then so is  $\sigma(y)$  for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$  (we showed this earlier). Thus  $\sigma : B \rightarrow B$  isomorphically. In particular, it sends any prime  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  to some prime  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Since  $\sigma$  acts identically on  $K$ , we see that  $\sigma(\mathfrak{q}_i \cap A) = \mathfrak{q}_i \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $\sigma(\mathfrak{q}_i) \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\sigma(\mathfrak{q}_i) = \mathfrak{q}_j$  for some  $j$ .

To see that  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  acts transitively  $\{\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_m\}$ , we suppose that it didn't. Then we could divide  $\{\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_m\}$  into 2 disjoint sets  $T$  and  $U$  such that  $\sigma(\mathfrak{q}_i) \in T$  for each  $\mathfrak{q}_i \in T$  and  $\sigma(\mathfrak{q}_i) \in U$  for each  $\mathfrak{q}_i \in U$ . We then let

$$I = \prod_{\mathfrak{q}_i \in T} \mathfrak{q}_i \quad \text{and} \quad J = \prod_{\mathfrak{q}_j \in U} \mathfrak{q}_j.$$

We have  $\sigma(I) = I$  and  $\sigma(J) = J$ . Now,  $I$  and  $J$  must be coprime, so we can find  $x + y = 1$  for some  $x \in I$  and  $y \in J$ . Then  $x = 1 - y$  and

$$\prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)} \sigma(x) \in I \cap K \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \subseteq J,$$

(the last inclusion is because  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_m$ ), but on the other hand

$$\prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)} \sigma(x) = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)} \sigma(1-y) = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)} (1-\sigma(y)) \in 1+J,$$

which gives a contradiction.  $\square$

(Stuff from p. 32-33)

**Theorem 14.6.** *With notation as above (including  $L$  Galois over  $K$ ), any maximal prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  factors in  $B$  as*

$$\mathfrak{p}B = (\mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_m)^e$$

where the  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  are distinct primes  $B$ . We also have

$$[B/\mathfrak{q}_i : A/\mathfrak{p}] = [B/\mathfrak{q}_j : A/\mathfrak{p}]$$

for any  $i, j$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_m$  be all the primes in  $B$  lying over  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  and every element  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$  acts identically on  $A$ , we have  $\sigma(\mathfrak{p}B) = \mathfrak{p}\sigma(B) = \mathfrak{p}B$ . Writing

$$\mathfrak{q}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_m^{e_m} = \mathfrak{p}B = \sigma(\mathfrak{p}B) = \sigma(\mathfrak{q}_1)^{e_1} \cdots \sigma(\mathfrak{q}_m)^{e_m},$$

we see that  $e_i = e_j$  for every  $i, j$  since for any  $i, j$  there is some  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(\mathfrak{q}_i) = \sigma(\mathfrak{q}_j)$ . Letting  $e = e_i$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{p}B = (\mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_m)^e.$$

Since  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$  is an automorphism that fixes  $A$ , it induces an automorphism of  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  vector spaces from  $B/\mathfrak{q}_i$  to  $B/\sigma(\mathfrak{q}_i)$ . Since  $\sigma$  acts transitively, this means that

$$[B/\mathfrak{q}_i : A/\mathfrak{p}] = [B/\mathfrak{q}_j : A/\mathfrak{p}]$$

for every  $i, j$ .  $\square$

We will want to work with norms of ideals in a bit. There is one more thing to prove about norms first. First a Lemma.

(stuff from p. 24)

**Lemma 14.7.** *Let  $L$  be a separable (not necessarily Galois) field extension of  $K$  of degree  $n$ , let  $M$  be the Galois closure of  $L$  over  $K$ , and let  $G = \text{Gal}(M/L)$ . Let  $H = H_L$  be the subgroup of  $G$  that acts trivially on  $L$  and let  $H \setminus G$  be a complete set of coset representatives for  $G$  over  $H$ . Then, for any  $y \in L$ , we have*

$$T_{L/K}(y) = \sum_{\sigma \in H \setminus G} \sigma(y)$$

and

$$N_{L/K}(y) = \prod_{\sigma \in H \backslash G} \sigma(y)$$

*Proof.* Let  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  be the conjugates of  $y$ . Then we know that

$$T_{L/K}(y) = [L : K(y)] \left( \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \right)$$

and

$$N_{L/K}(y) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^m y_i \right)^{[L:K(y)]}$$

(since the characteristic polynomial of  $y$  must be a power of the minimal polynomial of  $y$  and for the degrees to match up that power must be  $[L : K(y)]$ ).

Now, let  $H_y$  be the subgroup of  $G$  that acts identically on  $K(y)$ . Then  $H$  is a subgroup of  $H_y$  and  $H \backslash G$  will contain  $[H_y : H] = [L : K(y)]$  copies of  $H_y \backslash G$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in H \backslash G} \sigma(y) &= [L : K(y)] \sum_{\sigma \in H_y \backslash G} \sigma(y) \\ &= [L : K(y)] \left( \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \right) = T_{L/K}(y), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\sigma \in H \backslash G} \sigma(y) &= \prod_{\sigma \in H_y \backslash G} \sigma(y)^{[L:K(y)]} \\ &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^m y_i \right)^{[L:K(y)]} = N_{L/K}(y)^{[L:K(y)]}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □