## Math 430Notes from Class 10/13

## We will use the following (proof done earlier) to calculate rings of integers.

Recall the following two propositions

**Proposition 12.1.** Let A be Dedekind. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a maximal ideal of A and let  $\alpha$  be an integral element of a finite separable extension of the field of fractions of A. Suppose that G is the minimal monic for  $\alpha$  over A and that the reduction mod  $\mathfrak{p}$  of G, which we call G factors as

$$\bar{G} = \bar{g}_1^{r_1} \cdots \bar{g}_m^{r_m},$$

with the  $\bar{g}_i$  distinct, irreducible, and monic. Then choosing monic  $g_i \in$ A[x] such that  $q_i \equiv \overline{q}_i \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we have

- (1)  $\mathbf{q}_i = A[\alpha](g_i(\alpha), \mathbf{p})$  is a prime for each *i*; and
- (2)  $r_i$  is the smallest positive integer such that

$$R_{\mathfrak{q}_i}(\mathfrak{q}_i)^{r_i} \subseteq R_{\mathfrak{q}_i}\mathfrak{p}.$$

**Proposition 12.2.** With notation as above, if  $r_i = 1$  then the prime  $A[\alpha](\mathfrak{p}, g_i(\alpha))$  is invertible. If  $r_i > 1$ , then  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  is not invertible if and only if all the coefficients of the remainder mod  $g_i$  of G are in  $\mathfrak{p}^2$ , i.e. *if writing* 

$$G(x) = q(x)g_i(x) + r(x),$$

we have  $r(x) \in \mathfrak{p}^2[x]$ .

Now back to discriminants It is easy to see that  $\Delta(F) \in K$ . To see this, note that if the roots of F are distinct, then  $K(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$  is Galois over K and  $\prod (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)$  is certainly invariant under the Galois group of  $K(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$  over K. It follows that  $\Delta(F) \in K$ . To see this, note that if the roots of F are distinct, then  $K(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)$  is Galois over K and  $\prod (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)$  is certainly invariant under the Galois group  $i \neq j$ of  $K(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$  over K.

Here are some other, often easier ways of writing the discriminant... Let F be monic over K. Then

$$\Delta(F) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} F'(\alpha_i).$$

This is quite easy to see, since if  $F(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X - \alpha_i)$ , then by the product rule,  $F'(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)$ , so  $F'(\alpha_i) = \prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)$  and  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} F'(\alpha_i) = \prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j).$ 

When F is monic and irreducible with and  $L = K(\alpha)$  is separable for a root  $\alpha$  of F, this yields

$$\Delta(F) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \,\mathcal{N}_{L/K}(F'(\alpha)).$$

Since F' has coefficients in K, we see that if  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  are the conjugates of  $\alpha$ , then  $N_{L/K}(F'(\alpha)) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} F'(\alpha_i)$  and we are done. Recall this key fact from last time:

**Corollary 12.3.** Let A be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions K and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a maximal prime in A and suppose that  $A/\mathfrak{p} = k$  is a perfect field. Then the reduction  $\overline{F}$  of F modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$  has distinct roots in the algebraic closure of  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  if and only if  $\Delta(F) \notin \mathfrak{p}$ .

Let's do some examples of Dedekind domains today. We'll start with  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5})$ , which we will show is Dedekind. First of all, we'll calculate the discriminant of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{5}]$ . We see that the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt[3]{5}$  is  $F(X) = X^3 - 5$ , which has derivative  $3X^2$ , so

$$\Delta(F) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5})/\mathbb{Q}}(F'(\sqrt[3]{5})) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5})/\mathbb{Q}}(3\sqrt[3]{5}^2) = 3^3 5^2,$$

so we know that any non-invertible primes must lie over 3 or 5, since a prime  $(\mathcal{Q}, q_i(\sqrt[3]{5}))$  can fail to be invertible if and only if  $q^2 \mid F$ (mod  $p\mathbb{Z}$ ) where  $\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ .

Let's factor over 5 and see what happens... We get  $X^3 - 5 \equiv X^3$ (mod 5), so we get the prime  $(\sqrt[3]{5}, 5)$  which is certainly generated by  $\sqrt[3]{5}$  and hence is principal and thus invertible. Over 3, things are a bit more complicated. We factor as  $X^3 - 5 \equiv (X - 5)^3 \pmod{3}$ , so we have the ideal  $(\sqrt[3]{5} - 5, 3)$ , which we denote as  $\mathcal{Q}$ . How can we tell whether or not this is locally principal? Let's recall a bit about the norm.

One way to check if an integer n is in the ideal generated by an element  $\beta$  in an integral extension ring is to see if n is the ideal generated by the norm of  $\beta$ . Let's apply this idea to the above we see that

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5})/\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt[3]{5}-5) = (1-\sqrt[3]{5})(1+\sqrt[3]{5}+\sqrt[3]{5}^2) = 5-125 = -120 = (-40)\cdot3$$

Since -40 is unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{5}]_{\mathcal{Q}}$ , it follows that

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{5}]_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sqrt[3]{5}-5) = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{5}]_{\mathcal{Q}}\mathcal{Q},$$

so Q is locally principal, as desired. Thus, we see that  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{5}]$  is a Dedekind domain as desired.

What about  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{19}]$ ? Calculating the discriminant yields  $3^3 \cdot 19^2$ . Again, it is easy to see that the prime lying over 19 is just  $\sqrt[3]{19}$ . But the prime lying over 3 is trickier. We see that the only prime  $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{19}]$  such that  $\mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z} = 3\mathbb{Z}$  is the prime  $(\sqrt[3]{19} - 19, 3)$ . Modulo 3 we have

$$(X - 19)^3 = X - 19 \pmod{3}.$$

From some work from last time,  $(\sqrt[3]{19} - 19, 3)$  is invertible if and only if the remainder of  $X^3 - 19$  modulo X - 19 is divisible by  $3^2$ . We see that

$$(X^3 - 19) = (X - 19)(X^2 + 19X + 19^2) + 19^3 - 19.$$

Since

$$19^3 - 19 \equiv -18 \pmod{9} \equiv 0 \pmod{19}$$

we see that  $(\sqrt[3]{19} - 19, 3)$  is not invertible.

In fact, we can generalize this to show that if a is a square-free integer and p is a prime, then  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[p]{a}]$  is Dedekind if and only if  $a^p - a \neq 0$ (mod  $p^2$ ). This will be on your homework.

For an element  $\alpha \notin A$  that is integral over A, we define the discriminant  $\Delta(\alpha/A)$  to be  $\Delta(F)$  where F is the minimal monic for  $\alpha$  over A. We also define the discriminant  $\Delta(A[\alpha])$  to be  $\Delta(A[\alpha])$ .

Given a Dedekind domain A with field of fractions K and a finite separable extension L of K of degree n we want to be able to define a discriminant  $\Delta(B'/A)$  of any subring B' of L. This will involve working with a basis for L over K that consists entirely of elements contained in B'

A bit more on subrings of the integral closure.

**Proposition 12.4.** Let A be an integral domain with field of fractions K and let L be a finite extension of K. Suppose that  $B' \subset L$  has field of fractions L and is integral over A. Then, for every element  $y \in L$  there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $ay \in B'$ .

Proof. Let  $y = \alpha/\beta$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in B'$  with  $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$ . We will show that  $\alpha/\beta = b/a$  for  $b \in B'$  and  $a \in A$ . We know that the ideal  $B'\beta$  has nonzero intersection with A by taking the constant term of the minimal monic polynomial for  $\beta$  over A. Thus, we can write  $\gamma\beta = a$  for some nonzero  $a \in A$ . Then  $1/\beta = \gamma/a$ , so  $\alpha/\beta = \alpha\gamma/a$  and we are done, since this means that  $a(\alpha/\beta) \in B'$ .

For the rest of class, A is Dedekind with field of fractions K, the field L is a finite separable extension of K of degree n, and B' is a subring of L that is integral over A. We will also assume that for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of A, the residue field  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  is perfect.

We'll begin with a definition that works when B' is a free A-module, i.e. when B' is isomorphic as an A-module to  $A^n$ , where n = [L : K]. In this case, we choose a basis  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  for B' over A and we let M be the matrix  $[m_{ij}]$  where  $m_{ij} = T_{L/K}(w_i w_j)$ . Then we define

(1) 
$$\Delta(B') = \det M.$$

How do we know that this agrees with our earlier definition in the case  $B' = A[\alpha]$ ? In fact, it more or less follows from some earlier work we did. Recall that in this case, we can choose the basis  $1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1}$ , so that  $[m_{ij}] = [T_{L/K}(\alpha^{i+j-2})]$ , which we recall is equal to

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^n \alpha_\ell^{i+j-2}$$

As we saw earlier, letting N be the van der Monde matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix}
1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_n \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\alpha_1^{n-1} & \cdots & \alpha_n^{n-1}
\end{pmatrix}$$

we have  $NN^t = M$ , so

$$\det M = (\det N)^2 = \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$$

which is the same as  $\Delta(\alpha)$ , so our definitions agree.

Not all B' will be free A-modules, however, so we have the more general definition below.

**Definition 12.5.** With notation as above  $\Delta(B'/A)$  is defined to be ideal generated by the determinants of all matrices  $M = [T_{L/K}(w_i w_j)]$  as  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  range over all bases for L consisting of elements contained in B'.