

Math 430 Tom Tucker  
NOTES FROM CLASS 09/15/21

I wanted to do a very quick proof of something from last time.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $A$  be a Dedekind domain and let  $B$  be an integral extension of  $A$  that is an integral domain. Then  $B$  has dimension 1.*

*Proof.* We first show that if  $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}'$  satisfy  $\mathcal{Q} \cap A = \mathcal{Q}' \cap A = \mathcal{P}$  (for  $\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}'$  primes of  $B$ ), then  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}'$ . This follows immediately from applying Lemma 5.7 from last time to the extension  $B/\mathcal{Q}$  of  $A/\mathcal{P}$  (using the fact that the image of  $\mathcal{Q}'$  cannot intersect  $A/\mathcal{P}$  in the zero ideal unless this image is 0). This implies that the dimension of  $B$  is at most 1 since the dimension of  $A$  is 1. Now note that  $B$  has a nonzero maximal ideal since it cannot be a field as it cannot contain the field of fractions of  $A$ . Thus the dimension of  $B$  is 1.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $R$  be a integral domain, let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$ , let  $n \geq q$ , and let  $\phi$  the quotient map  $\phi : R \rightarrow R/\mathcal{M}^n$  be the quotient map. Then  $\phi(s)$  is a unit in  $R/\mathcal{M}^n$  for every  $s \in R \setminus \mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is maximal, we can have  $Rs + \mathcal{M} = 1$  for  $s \notin \mathcal{M}$ . Thus, we can write  $ax + m = 1$  for  $a \in R$  and  $m \in \mathcal{M}^n$  using facts about coprime ideals proved earlier. Thus  $ax = 1 \pmod{\mathcal{M}^n}$ , so  $\phi(ax) = 1$ .  $\square$

Note in the following proof we do not simply mod out by  $I$  and factor 0. We mod out by an ideal smaller than  $I$  so that the projection of  $I$  onto each factor is not zero. That way we can apply Nakayama's lemma.

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain, let  $I \subset R$  be a nonzero ideal, and let  $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n$  be the set of primes that contain  $I$ . Then there exists a unique  $n$ -tuple  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of non-negative integers such that*

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{P}_j^{e_j} = I.$$

*Proof.* There are positive integers  $f_j$  such that

$$\prod_{j=1}^m \mathcal{P}_j^{f_j-1} \subset I$$

since  $R$  is Noetherian. Let's set up a bit of notation first. For each  $j = 1, \dots, n$  we have the quotient map  $\phi_j : R \rightarrow R/\mathcal{P}_j^{f_j}$ . Let  $\phi$  be the map from  $R$  to  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n R/\mathcal{P}_j^{f_j}$  given by

$$\phi(r) = (\phi_1(r), \dots, \phi_n(r)).$$

We'll denote  $R/\mathcal{P}_j^{f_j}$  as  $R_j$ . Since  $\phi(I)$  is an ideal, it has decomposition as above  $\phi(I) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \phi_j(I)$ . Each  $\phi_j(I)$  is an ideal in  $R/\mathcal{P}_j^{f_j}$ . We know that  $R/\mathcal{P}_j^{f_j}$  is isomorphic to  $R_{\mathcal{P}_j}/\mathcal{P}_j^{f_j}$ , so  $\phi_j(I)$  must be a power of  $\phi_j(\mathcal{P}_j)$ ; here we use the fact that  $R_{\mathcal{P}_j}$  is a DVR. So we can write  $\phi_j(I) = \mathcal{P}_j^{e_j}$  for some unique  $e_j < f_j$  (since  $I$  was actually contained in the product of the  $\mathcal{P}_i$  to the  $f_i - 1$  power). Since

$$\phi(\mathcal{P}_j) = \bigoplus_{\ell \neq j} R_\ell \bigoplus \phi_j(\mathcal{P}_j)$$

(this follows from the Chinese Remainder theorem, in fact), we see then that

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \phi(\mathcal{P}_j^{e_j}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \phi_j(\mathcal{P}_j) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \phi_j(I) = \phi(I).$$

Since all the  $e_j \leq f_j$ , we have

$$\ker \phi = \prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{P}_j^{e_j} \subset \prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{P}_j^{f_j},$$

so

$$I = \phi^{-1}(\phi(I)) = \phi^{-1}\left(\prod_{j=1}^n \phi(\mathcal{P}_j^{e_j})\right) = \prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{P}_j^{e_j},$$

as desired. To see that the  $e_i$  are unique, recall that  $\phi_j(I) = \phi_j(\mathcal{P}_j)^{e_j}$  for a unique  $e_j$ , so for  $e'_j < e_j$ , we have

$$\phi_j(\mathcal{P}_j)^{e'_j} \not\subset \phi_j(I)$$

and for  $e'_j > e_j$ , we have

$$\phi_j(I) \not\subset \phi_j(\mathcal{P}_j)^{e'_j}$$

(by Nakayama's Lemma), either of which forces the product

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \phi(\mathcal{P}_j) \neq \phi(I).$$

□

Now, for what are called fractional ideals

**Definition 6.4.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain with field of fractions  $K$ . A *fractional ideal* of  $R$  is an  $R$ -submodule  $J \subset K$  for which there is some nonzero  $x \in R$  such that  $xJ \subset R$ .

**Definition 6.5.** For a fractional ideal  $J$ , we define  $(R : J)$  to be set

$$\{x \in K \mid xJ \subseteq R\}.$$

We say that  $J$  is invertible if  $J(R : J) = R$ .

A few remarks on the definition above. It is clear that  $(R : R) = R$  since  $R$  contains 1 and is closed under multiplication. It follows that when  $JN = R$ , we must have  $N = (R : J)$ . Also note that  $J(R : J)$  may not be all of  $R$ , as we'll see in some examples later.

If we consider the unit ideal  $R$  to be the identity, then we see that the invertible ideals of  $R$  form a group under fractional ideal multiplication, since it clear that if  $J$  and  $N$  are invertible, so is  $JN$  and that if  $J$  is invertible, then so is its inverse  $(R : J)$  invertible, by definition.

We say, as usual, that a fractional ideal  $J$  is principal if there exists some  $y$  such that  $Ry = J$ . The principal fractional ideals of  $J$  are clearly invertible and form a subgroup of the group of invertible ideals.

We make the following definitions

$\mathbf{F}(R)$  is the set of invertible fractional ideals of  $R$

$\mathbb{P}(R)$  is the set of principal fractional ideals of  $R$

and

$$\text{Pic}(R) = \mathbf{F}(R)/\mathbb{P}(R).$$

$\text{Pic}(R)$  is called the Picard group of  $R$ .

We will show that if  $R$  is a DVR, then all of the fractional ideals of  $R$  are invertible. We'll also want a few facts about invertible ideals.