Math 430 Tom Tucker NOTES FROM CLASS 09/08/21

Earlier we said that we wanted to show that \mathcal{O}_K had many of the same properties as \mathbb{Z} . What we will in fact show is that \mathcal{O}_K is something called a *Dedekind domain*. A Dedekind domain is a simple kind of ring. Let us first define an even simpler kind of ring, a *discrete valuation ring*, frequently called a DVR.

Definition 4.1. A discrete valuation on a field K is a surjective homomorphism from K^* onto the additive group of \mathbb{Z} such that

- (1) v(xy) = v(x) + (y);
- $(2) \ v(x+y) \ge \min(v(x), v(y)).$

By convention, we say that $v(0) = \infty$.

Remark 4.2. Note that it follows from property 2 that if v(x) > v(y), then v(x+y) = v(y). To prove this we note that v(-x) = v(x) and v(y) = v(-y), so we have

$$v(y) \ge \min(v(x+y), v(-x)) \ge v(x+y)$$

since v(x) > v(y). Since $v(x+y) \ge \min(v(x), v(y))$ also, we must have v(x+y) = v(y).

Example 4.3. Let v_p be the p-adic valuation on \mathbb{Q} . That is to say that $v_p(a)$ is the largest power dividing a for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v_p(a/b) = v_p(a) - v_p(b)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 4.4. A discrete valuation R ring is a set of the form

$$\{a\in K\ |\ v(a)\geq 0\}$$

How can we identify a DVR? The following will help.

A couple remarks first:

- (1) If I and J are principal then so is IJ. In particular, any power of a principal ideal is principal.
- (2) Notation: for any ideal I of R, we say $I^0 = R$.

Proposition 4.5. Let R be a Noetherian local domain of dimension 1 with maximal ideal \mathcal{M} and with $R/\mathcal{M}=k$ its residue field. Then the following are equivalent

- (1) R is a DVR:
- (2) R is integrally closed;
- (3) \mathcal{M} is principal;

- (4) there is some $\pi \in R$ such that every element $a \in R$ can be written uniquely as $u\pi^n$ for some unit u and some integer $n \geq 0$;
- (5) every nonzero ideal is a power of \mathcal{M} .

Proof. $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$ Suppose that $b \in K \setminus R$. Then v(b) < 0, so for any monic polynomial in b with coefficients in R, we have

$$v(b^n + a_n b^{n-1} + \dots + a_0) = v(b^n) < 0,$$

which means that $b^n + a_n b^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \neq 0$.

 $(2\Rightarrow 3)$ Let $a\in\mathcal{M}$. There is some n for which $\mathcal{M}^n\subseteq(a)$ (by "weak factorization" in Noetherian rings) but \mathcal{M}^{n-1} is not contained in (a) (note n-1 could be zero). Let $b\in\mathcal{M}^{n-1}\setminus(a)$ and let x=a/b. We can show that $\mathcal{M}=Rx$. This is equivalent to showing that $x^{-1}\mathcal{M}=R$. Note that since (b) is not in (a), $b/a=x^{-1}$ cannot be in R. Hence, it cannot be integral over R. By Cayley-Hamilton, $x^{-1}\mathcal{M}\neq\mathcal{M}$ since \mathcal{M} is finitely generated as an R-module and $x^{-1}\notin R$ and R is integrally closed. Since $x^{-1}\mathcal{M}$ is an R-module and $x^{-1}\mathcal{M}\subseteq R$ (this follows from the fact that $b\mathcal{M}\subseteq\mathcal{M}^n\subseteq(a)$), this means that $x^{-1}\mathcal{M}$ is an ideal of R not contained in \mathcal{M} . So $x^{-1}\mathcal{M}=R$, as desired.

 $(3 \Rightarrow 4)$ Let π generate \mathcal{M} . Now, let $a \in R$. We define w(a) to be the smallest n for which $\mathcal{M}^n \subseteq Ra$; such an n exists by "weak factorization" in Noetherian rings. We will show by induction that that a can be written as $u\pi^{w(a)}$ for some unit u. The case w(a) = 0 is trivial, since w(a) = 0 means a is a unit. If $w(a) \geq 1$, then $a \in \mathcal{M}$. Then we can write $a = \pi b$ for some b. Since, any element in \mathcal{M}^n , which is simply the set of $z\pi^n$ for $z \in R$, can be written as xa for some $x \in R$, any element $z\pi^{w(a)-1}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{w(a)-1}$ can be written as xb for that same x. Hence $w(b) \leq w(a) - 1$. By the same reasoning, $w(b) \geq w(a) - 1$. Hence w(b) = w(a) - 1. So we can write b uniquely as $u\pi^{w(b)}$ for some unit u, which gives $a = u\pi^{w(a)}$ uniquely.

 $(4 \Rightarrow 5)$ Let I be an ideal of R. Since I is finitely generated, it has generators m_1, \ldots, m_n which can all be written as $u_i \pi^{t_i}$. Then the i for which t_i is smallest will generate I from above.

 $(5 \Rightarrow 1)$ Let $a \in R$. Then $Ra = \mathcal{M}^n$ for some unique n. Letting v(a) = n gives the desired valuation.

Example 4.6. The ideal \mathcal{P} generated by 2 and $\sqrt{5} - 5$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ is prime but $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]_{\mathcal{P}}$ is not a DVR. More on this later.

Definition 4.7. Dedekind domain is a Noetherian domain R such that $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a DVR for every nonzero prime \mathcal{P} of R.

The ideal structure is a bit more complicated than that of a DVR. Recall that in any noetherian ring R for every ideal I we can write $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{P}_i \subseteq I$ with $\mathcal{P}_i \supseteq I$. We'll prove that in a Dedekind domain we can write get an inequality and get it uniquely.

One more thing: we'll want to work in Noetherian domains of (Krull) dimension 1 more generally, as you'll see later. So we'll try to state results for them when possible.

To understand how to factorize an ideal I, we'll want to understand R/I. To help us with this we'll want the Chinese remainer theorem.

The Chinese remainder theorem really consists of writing 1 in a lot of different ways. Let's prove the following easy Lemma.

Lemma 4.8. Let I and J be ideals in R. Suppose that I + J = 1. Then

- (1) $I \cap J = IJ$; and
- (2) for any positive integers m, n, we have $I^m + J^n = 1$.

Proof. Since I+J=1, we can write a+b=1 for $a\in I$ and $b\in J$. Now 1. follows from the fact that for if $x\in I\cap J$, then $x=(a+b)x=ax+bx\in IJ$, so $I\cap J\subseteq IJ$. The reverse inclusion $IJ\cup I\cap J$ is obvious. To prove 2., we simply write $(a+b)^{2(m+n)}=1$, and note that the expansion of $(a+b)^{2(m+n)}$ consists entirely of elements in either $I^{m+n}\subset I^m$ or $J^{m+n}\subset J^n$.

Lemma 4.9. Let I and J be ideals of R and suppose that I + J = 1. Then the natural map

$$\phi: R \longrightarrow R/I \oplus R/J$$

is surjective with kernel IJ.

Proof. The kernel is $I \cap J$ which equals IJ from the Lemma above. Now, to see that it is surjective, write a+b=1 with $a \in I$ and $b \in J$. Then b=1-a and $\phi(b)=(1,0)$ and $\phi(a)=(0,1)$. Since $\phi(R)$ is clearly a $R/I \oplus R/J$ module and $R/I \oplus R/J$ is generated by (1,0) and (0,1) as an $R/I \oplus R/J$ module, ϕ must be surjective.