

LATTICE POINTS INSIDE RANDOM ELLIPSOIDS

S. HOFMANN, A. IOSEVICH AND D. WEIDINGER

ABSTRACT. Let $N_a(t) = \#\{t\Omega_a \cap \mathbb{Z}^d\}$, with $\Omega_a = \left\{ (a_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_1, a_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_2, \dots, a_d^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_d) : x \in \Omega \right\}$, where Ω is the unit ball. Let $E_a(t) = N_a(t) - t^d|\Omega_a|$. We give a simple proof of the fact that

$$(*) \quad \left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \cdots \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 |E_a(t)|^2 da_1 da_2 \dots da_d \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim t^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$$

in 2 and 3 dimensions.

INTRODUCTION

Let

$$(0.1) \quad N_a(t) = \#\{t\Omega_a \cap \mathbb{Z}^d\},$$

where

$$(0.2) \quad \Omega_a = \left\{ (a_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_1, a_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_2, \dots, a_d^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_d) : x \in \Omega \right\},$$

with $\frac{1}{2} \leq a_j \leq 2$, where Ω is the unit ball.

Let

$$(0.3) \quad N_a(t) = t^d|\Omega_a| + E_a(t).$$

A classical result due to Landau says that

$$(0.4) \quad |E_a(t)| \lesssim t^{d-2+\frac{2}{d+1}},$$

Research supported in part by NSF Grant DMS00-87339

where here and throughout the paper, $A \lesssim B$ means that there exists a positive constant C such that $A \leq CB$. Similarly, $A \lesssim_t B$, with a parameter t , means that given $\delta > 0$ there exists $C_\delta > 0$ such that $A \leq C_\delta t^\delta B$.

A number of improvements over (0.4) have been obtained over the years in two and three dimensions. The best known result in three dimensions, to the best of our knowledge is $|E_a(t)| \lesssim t^{\frac{21}{16}}$ proved by Heath-Brown ([H-B97]), improving on an earlier breakthrough due to Vinogradov ([Vinograd63]). It is proved by Szego in [Szego26] that

$$(0.5) \quad \left| E_{1,1,1}(t) - \frac{4\pi}{3}t^3 \right| \gtrsim t \log(t).$$

In two dimensions, the best known result is $|E_a(t)| \lesssim t^{\frac{46}{73}}$ due to Huxley ([Huxley96]). A classical result due to Hardy says that

$$(0.6) \quad |E_{1,1}(t) - \pi t^2| \gtrsim t^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^{\frac{1}{2}}(t).$$

Thus it is reasonable to conjecture that the estimate

$$(0.7) \quad |E_a(t)| \lesssim t^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$$

holds in \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 .

In higher dimensions, the problem of point-wise estimate of $E_a(t)$ is completely solved. It is a result of Walfisch that if $d \geq 4$, then $|E_a(t)| \lesssim t^{d-2}$, and logarithm may be removed in dimension 5 and greater. It is also known that if the eccentricities (a_1, \dots, a_d) are rational, then this estimate is essentially sharp.

It is not known if there exists a single $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d)$ such that $|E_a(t)| \lesssim t^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$ in any dimension. The question of finding such an a was posed by Sarnak in a two-dimensional setting a number of years ago. Sarnak's question would be answered by the following estimate.

Conjecture 0.2. *Given any $\delta > 0$,*

$$(0.8) \quad \sup_{t \geq 1} t^{-\frac{d-1}{2}-\delta} |E_{(\cdot)}(t)| \in L^p \left(\left[\frac{1}{2}, 2 \right] \times \left[\frac{1}{2}, 2 \right] \times \cdots \times \left[\frac{1}{2}, 2 \right] \right),$$

for some $p \geq 1$ with a constant depending on δ .

In fact, (0.8) would, of course, imply that the estimate $|E_a(t)| \lesssim t^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$ holds for almost every $a \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 2 \right] \times \left[\frac{1}{2}, 2 \right] \times \cdots \times \left[\frac{1}{2}, 2 \right]$. We hope to address this issue in a subsequent paper.

Other types of square averages of lattice point discrepancy functions have been studied in the past and in recent years. For example, a classical result due to Kendall says that

$$(0.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\#\{(t\Omega + \tau) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d\} - t^d |\Omega||^2 d\tau \lesssim t^{\frac{d-1}{2}},$$

for every convex domain where the boundary has everywhere non-vanishing Gaussian curvature.

This result was recently sharpened up by Magyar and Seeger. They proved that the estimate (0.9) still holds in \mathbb{R}^d if the exponent 2 is replaced by $p \leq \frac{2d}{d-1}$.

Another type of average is studied in [ISS02]. The authors prove that

$$(0.10) \quad \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_R^{R+h} |\#\{t\Omega \cap \mathbb{Z}^d\} - t^d|\Omega||^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim R^{\alpha_d},$$

where

$$(0.11) \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \text{ with } h \geq \log(R),$$

and

$$(0.12) \quad \alpha_d = d - 2, \text{ with } h \approx R,$$

for $d \geq 4$. When $d = 3$, $\alpha_d = 1$ and an additional factor $\log(R)$ is present. These results improve results previously obtained by Muller ([Muller97]). See also [Huxley96] and [ISS02] and references contained therein.

Using (0.10), (0.11), and (0.12) and their proofs one can deduce the following result.

Theorem 0.1. *Let $E_a(t)$ be as above. Then*

$$(0.13) \quad \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \cdots \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 |E_a(t)|^2 da \lesssim R^{\alpha_d},$$

where α_d is exactly as above, and the additional $\log(t)$ factor is still present in three dimensions.

The purpose of this paper is to give a simple and transparent proof of Theorem 0.1 in two and three dimensions. Similar two-dimensional results have recently been obtained by different methods by Toth and Petridis in [TothPetridis02]. We believe that it is likely that our approach will lead to a better estimate in higher dimensions where we conjecture that (0.13) holds with $\alpha_d = \frac{d-1}{2}$. We hope to address this issue in a subsequent paper.

SECTION I: PROOF OF THEOREM 0.1 IN \mathbb{R}^2 AND \mathbb{R}^3

We shall give a proof in three dimensions. We shall then indicate how a two-dimensional proof follows from a simpler version of the same argument.

SECTION 1: BASIC SETUP

We start with the following standard reduction. Let $\rho_0 \in C_0^\infty(\frac{1}{4}, 4)$ with $\rho_0 \equiv 1$ on $[1, 2]$, and let ρ be the radial extension of ρ_0 such that $\int \rho(x) dx = 1$.

$\rho_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-3} \rho(\frac{x}{\epsilon})$. Let

$$(1.1) \quad N_a^\epsilon(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \chi_{t\Omega_a} * \rho_\epsilon(k) = t^3 |\Omega_a| + t^3 \sum_{k \neq (0,0,0)} \widehat{\chi}_{\Omega_a}(tk) \widehat{\rho}(\epsilon k) = t^3 |\Omega_a| + E_a^\epsilon(t).$$

It is not hard to see that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad N_a^\epsilon(t - C\epsilon) \leq N_a(t) \leq N_a^\epsilon(t + C\epsilon).$$

It follows that

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{[\frac{1}{2}, 2] \times [\frac{1}{2}, 2] \times [\frac{1}{2}, 2]} |E_a(t)|^2 da \lesssim \int_{[\frac{1}{2}, 2] \times [\frac{1}{2}, 2] \times [\frac{1}{2}, 2]} |E_a^\epsilon(t)|^2 da + t^4 \epsilon^2.$$

We conclude that it suffices to establish estimates for $E_a^\epsilon(t)$ with $\epsilon = t^{-1}$.

Using the standard asymptotic formula for the Fourier transform of the characteristic function of a bounded smooth convex domain where the Gaussian curvature of the boundary is non-vanishing, (see e.g [Hertz62]), we see that $\widehat{\chi}_{\Omega_a}(tk)$ is a sum of two terms of the form

$$(1.4) \quad e^{2\pi i t |k|_a} t^{-2} |k|_a^{-2} + O((t|k|)^{-3}),$$

where

$$(1.5) \quad |k|_a = \sqrt{a_1 k_1^2 + a_2 k_2^2 + a_3 k_3^2}.$$

It follows that

$$(1.6) \quad E_a^\epsilon(t) = t \sum_{k \neq (0,0,0)} e^{2\pi i t |k|_a} |k|_a^{-2} \widehat{\rho}(\epsilon k) + t^3 \sum_{k \neq (0,0,0)} O((t|k|)^{-3}) \widehat{\rho}(\epsilon k) = I + II.$$

Since we can easily handle II point-wise, we turn our attention to I . Squaring, integrating in a , and replacing the limits of integration in a by a smooth cutoff function, we get

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & t^2 \sum_{k, l \neq (0,0,0)} |k|^{-2} |l|^{-2} \widehat{\rho}(\epsilon k) \widehat{\rho}(\epsilon l) \int e^{2\pi i t (|k|_a - |l|_a)} \psi_{k,l}(a) da \\ & = t^2 \sum_{k, l \neq (0,0,0)} |k|^{-2} |l|^{-2} \widehat{\rho}(\epsilon k) \widehat{\rho}(\epsilon l) I_{k,l}(t) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.8) \quad \psi_{k,l}(a) = \left(\frac{|k|}{|k|_a} \right)^2 \left(\frac{|l|}{|l|_a} \right)^2 \psi(a),$$

where ψ is a positive smooth cutoff function, supported in $[1/4, 4]$ and identically equal to 1 on $[1/2, 2]$. Observe that when $k \neq (0, 0, 0)$ and $l \neq (0, 0, 0)$, $\psi_{k,l} \in C_0^\infty$ with constants uniform in k and l . It suffices to show that (1.7) is bounded above by $C_\delta t^{2+\delta}$ for any $\delta > 0$.

SECTION II: PRELIMINARY REDUCTIONS

This section contains some simple observations that we shall make use of in Section III where the main result of the paper is proved.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\delta > 0$. Let $N > \frac{1}{\delta} + 1$. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{|k| > \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} |k|^{-2} |\epsilon k|^{-N} \lesssim 1.$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1. We have

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{|k| > \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} |k|^{-2} |\epsilon k|^{-N} &\lesssim \epsilon^{-N} \int_{|x| > \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} |x|^{-2-N} dx \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{-N} \epsilon^{-1-\delta} \epsilon^N \epsilon^{\delta N} \frac{1}{N-1} \lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

if $N > \frac{1}{\delta} + 1$.

Since $|\widehat{\rho}(\epsilon k)| \lesssim (1 + |\epsilon k|)^{-N}$ for any $N > 0$, and $|I_{k,l}(t)| \lesssim 1$, Lemma 2.1 shows that in estimating (1.7) we may sum over $|k|, |l| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}$, $\delta > 0$. In particular, this means that we may sum over $|k_j|, |l_j| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let S, S' be subsets of $\{1, 2, 3\}$ of cardinality at most 2. Then*

$$(2.3) \quad t^2 \sum_{1 \leq |k_i|, |l_j| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}; i \in S, j \in S'} |k|^{-2} |l|^{-2} \lesssim t^2.$$

Proof of Lemma 2.2. The proof is immediate since we are down to at most 2 variables in k and l , so the power -2 suffices, up to logarithms.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $U = \{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}^3 : |k_j|, |l_j| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}; k_1 = 0, l_1 \neq 0\}$. Then*

$$(2.4) \quad t^2 \sum_U |k|^{-2} |l|^{-2} I_{k,l}(t) \lesssim t^2.$$

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Let $\Phi_{k,l}(a) = |k|_a - |l|_a$. We have

$$(2.5) \quad \nabla \Phi_{k,l}(a) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k_1^2}{|k|_a} - \frac{l_1^2}{|l|_a}, \frac{k_2^2}{|k|_a} - \frac{l_2^2}{|l|_a}, \frac{k_3^2}{|k|_a} - \frac{l_3^2}{|l|_a} \right).$$

Since $k_1 = 0$, $|\nabla\Phi_{k,l}(a)| \gtrsim \frac{l_1^2}{|l|}$. Integrating by parts once (see the appendix) shows that

$$(2.6) \quad |I_{k,l}(t)| \lesssim t^{-1} \frac{|l|}{l_1^2}.$$

We get

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & t^2 t^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq |k_j|, |l_j| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}; k_1=0} |k|^{-2} |l|^{-2} |l| l_1^{-2} \\ & \lesssim t \sum_{1 \leq |l_j| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} (|l_2| + |l_3|)^{-1} l_1^{-2} \lesssim t \epsilon^{-1} \lesssim t^2. \end{aligned}$$

The same argument works if $k_2 = 0$ and $l_2 \neq 0$, or if $k_3 = 0$ and $l_3 \neq 0$.

The basic idea of these reductions is that we only need to sum up to $|k|, |l| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}$, and that it suffices to consider the case where $k_j, l_j \neq 0$, $j = 1, 2, 3$.

$$\text{SECTION 3: } \left| \frac{k_1}{k_2} - \frac{l_1}{l_2} \right| + \left| \frac{k_1}{k_3} - \frac{l_1}{l_3} \right| + \left| \frac{k_2}{k_3} - \frac{l_2}{l_3} \right| \neq 0$$

The determinant of the Hessian matrix of $\Phi_{k,l}$ with respect to (a_1, a_2) equals

$$(3.1) \quad -\frac{1}{16} \frac{(k_1^2 l_2^2 - k_2^2 l_1^2)^2}{|k|_a^3 |l|_a^3},$$

and its absolute value is bounded from below by a constant multiple of

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{(k_1^2 l_2^2 - k_2^2 l_1^2)^2}{|k|^3 |l|^3}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & t^2 \sum_{1 \leq |k_j|, |l_j| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}; \left| \frac{k_1}{k_2} - \frac{l_1}{l_2} \right| \neq 0} |k|^{-2} |l|^{-2} I_{k,l}(t) \\ & \lesssim t \sum_{1 \leq |k_j|, |l_j| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}; \left| \frac{k_1}{k_2} - \frac{l_1}{l_2} \right| \neq 0} |k|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |l|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |k_1^2 l_2^2 - k_2^2 l_1^2|^{-1} \\ & \lesssim t \sum_{1 \leq |k_j|, |l_j| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}; \left| \frac{k_1}{k_2} - \frac{l_1}{l_2} \right| \neq 0} |k_3|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |l_3|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |k_1^2 l_2^2 - k_2^2 l_1^2|^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.3) \quad \lesssim t\epsilon^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq |k_j|, |l_j| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}; j=1,2; \left| \frac{k_1}{k_2} \right| - \left| \frac{l_1}{l_2} \right| \neq 0} |k_1^2 l_2^2 - k_2^2 l_1^2|^{-1}.$$

Either $\text{sgn}(k_1 l_2) = \text{sgn}(l_1 k_2)$ or $\text{sgn}(k_1 l_2) = -\text{sgn}(l_1 k_2)$. Without loss of generality suppose that $k_j, l_j > 0$. It follows that (3.3) is bounded by the expression of the form

$$(3.4) \quad t\epsilon^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\approx \log(\epsilon^{-2})} 2^{-m} \left| \sum_{1 \leq k_j, l_j \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}; j=1,2; 2^m \leq |k_1 l_2 - k_2 l_1| \leq 2^{m+1}} k_1^{-1} l_2^{-1} \right|$$

$$\lesssim t\epsilon^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\approx \log(\epsilon^{-2})} 2^{-m} \left| \int_{1 \leq x_j, y_j \leq \epsilon^{-1}; 2^m \leq |x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2| \leq 2^{m+1}} x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1} dx dy \right|.$$

Let

$$(3.5) \quad u_1 = x_1 x_2, \quad u_2 = x_2, \quad v_1 = y_1 y_2, \quad \text{and} \quad v_2 = y_2.$$

It follows that

$$(3.6) \quad du_1 = x_2 dx_1 + x_1 dx_2, \quad du_2 = dx_2, \quad dv_1 = y_2 dy_1 + y_1 dy_2, \quad \text{and} \quad dv_2 = dy_2.$$

Also, $x_1 = \frac{u_1}{u_2}$, so $x_1 x_2 = u_1$. Combining this with (3.5) and (3.6), we see that (3.4) is bounded by

$$t\epsilon^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\approx \log(\epsilon^{-2})} 2^{-m} \left| \int_{1 \leq u_1, v_1 \leq \epsilon^{-2}, 1 \leq u_2, v_2 \leq \epsilon^{-1}; 2^m \leq |u_1 - v_1| \leq 2^{m+1}} u_1^{-1} u_2^{-1} v_2^{-1} du dv \right|$$

$$(3.7) \quad \lesssim t\epsilon^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\approx \log(\epsilon^{-2})} 2^{-m} \left| \int_{1 \leq u_1, v_1 \leq \epsilon^{-2}; 2^m \leq |u_1 - v_1| \leq 2^{m+1}} u_1^{-1} du_1 dv_1 \right| \lesssim t\epsilon^{-1} \leq t^2.$$

Clearly, the same argument works if $\left| \frac{k_1}{k_3} \right| - \left| \frac{l_1}{l_3} \right| \neq 0$ or if $\left| \frac{k_2}{k_3} \right| - \left| \frac{l_2}{l_3} \right| \neq 0$.

$$\text{SECTION 4: } \left| \frac{k_1}{k_2} \right| - \left| \frac{l_1}{l_2} \right| + \left| \frac{k_1}{k_3} \right| - \left| \frac{l_1}{l_3} \right| + \left| \frac{k_2}{k_3} \right| - \left| \frac{l_2}{l_3} \right| = 0$$

In this case

$$(4.1) \quad \left| \frac{k_1}{l_1} \right| = \left| \frac{k_2}{l_2} \right| = \left| \frac{k_3}{l_3} \right|.$$

It follows that $k = \alpha l$. Dominating $|I_{k,l}(t)|$ by 1, we have

$$(4.2) \quad t^2 \sum_{1 \leq |k_j|, |l_j| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}; \left| \frac{k_1}{l_1} \right| = \left| \frac{k_2}{l_2} \right| = \left| \frac{k_3}{l_3} \right|} |k|^{-2} |l|^{-2} I_{k,l}(t).$$

We are summing over the set where $l = \alpha k$. Observe that α must be of the form $\frac{m}{\gcd(k_1, k_2, k_3)}$. It follows that the expression in (4.2) is bounded by a constant multiple of

$$\begin{aligned} & \lesssim t^2 \sum_{1 \leq |k| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} \sum_{\alpha = \frac{m}{\gcd(k_1, k_2, k_3)} \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} \alpha^{-2} |k|^{-4} \\ & = t^2 \sum_{1 \leq |k| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} \sum_{m=1}^{\approx \frac{\epsilon^{-1-\delta}}{\gcd(k_1, k_2, k_3)}} \frac{(\gcd(k_1, k_2, k_3))^2}{m^2} |k|^{-4} \\ & \lesssim t^2 \sum_{1 \leq |k| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} (\gcd(k_1, k_2, k_3))^2 |k|^{-4} \\ & = t^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\approx \log(\epsilon^{-1-\delta})} 2^{-4n} \sum_{|k| \approx 2^n} \sum_{j=1}^{\approx \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} \sum_{\gcd(k_1, k_2, k_3)=j} j^2 \\ & \approx t^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\approx \log(\epsilon^{-1-\delta})} 2^{-4n} \sum_{|k| \approx \frac{2^n}{j}} \sum_{j=1}^{\approx \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} \sum_{\gcd(k_1, k_2, k_3)=1} j^2 \\ & \lesssim t^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\approx \log(\epsilon^{-1-\delta})} \sum_{j=1}^{\approx \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} 2^{-4n} \frac{2^{3n}}{j^3} j^2 \\ (4.3) \quad & = t^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\approx \log(\epsilon^{-1-\delta})} \sum_{j=1}^{\approx \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} 2^{-n} j^{-1} \lesssim t^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the three dimensional proof. We now outline the two dimensional argument. The determinant of the Hessian matrix of $\Phi_{k,l}$ in two dimensions is given by (3.1). When $\left| \frac{k_1}{k_2} \right| \neq \pm \left| \frac{l_1}{l_2} \right|$, the calculation identical to the one contained in (3.3)-(3.7) does the job. If $\left| \frac{k_1}{k_2} \right| = \pm \left| \frac{l_1}{l_2} \right|$, we repeat the argument in (4.2), (4.3) as follows

$$t \sum_{1 \leq |k_j|, |l_j| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}; \left| \frac{k_1}{l_1} \right| = \left| \frac{k_2}{l_2} \right|} |k|^{-\frac{3}{2}} |l|^{-\frac{3}{2}} I_{k,l}(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim t \sum_{1 \leq |k_j|, |l_j| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}; \left| \frac{k_1}{l_1} \right| = \left| \frac{k_2}{l_2} \right|} |k|^{-1} |l|^{-1} I_{k,l}(t). \\
&\lesssim t \sum_{1 \leq |k| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} \sum_{\alpha = \frac{m}{\gcd(k_1, k_2)} \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} \alpha^{-1} |k|^{-2} \\
&= t \sum_{1 \leq |k| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} \sum_{m=1}^{\approx \frac{\epsilon^{-1-\delta}}{\gcd(k_1, k_2)}} \frac{\gcd(k_1, k_2)}{m} |k|^{-2} \\
&\lesssim t \sum_{1 \leq |k| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} \gcd(k_1, k_2) |k|^{-2} \\
&= t \sum_{n=1}^{\approx \log(\epsilon^{-1-\delta})} 2^{-2n} \sum_{|k| \approx 2^n}^{\approx \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} \sum_{\gcd(k_1, k_2)=j} j \\
&\approx t \sum_{n=1}^{\approx \log(\epsilon^{-1-\delta})} 2^{-2n} \sum_{|k| \approx \frac{2^n}{j}}^{\approx \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} \sum_{\gcd(k_1, k_2)=1} j \\
&\lesssim t \sum_{n=1}^{\approx \log(\epsilon^{-1-\delta})} \sum_{j=1}^{\approx \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} 2^{-2n} \frac{2^{2n}}{j^2} j \\
&= t \sum_{n=1}^{\approx \log(\epsilon^{-1-\delta})} \sum_{j=1}^{\approx \epsilon^{-1-\delta}} 2^{-n} j^{-1} \lesssim t.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.4}$$

APPENDIX: OSCILLATORY INTEGRALS OF THE FIRST KIND

In this paper we made use of the following basic facts about the oscillatory integrals of the form

$$(5.1) \quad I(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{itf(x)} \psi(x) dx,$$

where ψ is a smooth cutoff function and f is smooth. See, for example [Stein93], [BNW88] for related information.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that f is convex and finite type, and the hessian matrix of f contains an M by M sub-matrix of determinant $\geq c_0$. Then*

$$(5.2) \quad |I(t)| \lesssim t^{-\frac{M}{2}} c_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose that $|\nabla f(a)| \gtrsim c_0$. Then*

$$(5.3) \quad |I(t)| \lesssim t^{-1} c_0^{-1}.$$

We note that in both theorems the constants may depend on the upper bounds of derivatives of f and ψ .

REFERENCES

- [BNW88] J. Bruna, A. Nagel, S. Wainger, *Convex hyper-surfaces and Fourier transforms*, Ann. of Math. **127** (1988), 333–365.
- [Herz62] C. Herz, *Fourier transforms related to convex sets*, Ann. of Math. **75** (1962), 81-92.
- [H-B97] D. R. Heath-Brown, *Lattice points in spheres*, Number Theory in Progress, de Gruyter, Berlin **2** (1997).
- [Huxley96] M. N. Huxley, *Area, Lattice Points, and Exponential Sums*, London Mathematical Society Monographs New Series 13, Oxford Univ. Press, 1996.
- [ISS02] A. Iosevich, E. Sawyer, and A. Seeger, *Mean square discrepancy bounds for the number of lattice points in large convex bodies*, Journal D'Analyse, Tom Wolff Memorial Issue (to appear) (2002).
- [Muller97] W. Muller, *On the average order of the lattice rest of a convex body*, Acta Arith. **80** (1997), 89-100.
- [Szego26] G. Szego, *Beitrage zur Theorie der Laguereschen Polynome II: Zahlentheoretische Anwendungen*, Math. Z. **25** (1926), 388-404.
- [Stein93] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis*, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [TothPetridis02] J. Toth and Y. Petridis, *The remainder in Weyl's law for random two-dimensional flat tori*, GAFA (to appear) (2002), 1-17.
- [Vinograd63] I. M. Vinogradov, *On the number of integral points in a sphere*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat. **27** (1963), 957-968.