

①

Chapter 2, Math 174, Spring 2023

$f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ diff at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if
 $\exists \lambda: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \Rightarrow$
 } linear

$$\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ \in \mathbb{R}^n}} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} \stackrel{\text{norm}}{=} 0$$

Theorem: If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ diff at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$,
 $\exists!$ linear transformation $\lambda: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$

unique

$$\exists \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} = 0$$

Proof: Suppose $\mu: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$
 } linear

$$\exists \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \mu(h)|}{|h|} = 0.$$

(2)

If $d(h) = f(a+h) - f(a)$, then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\lambda(h) - \mu(h)|}{|h|} =$$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\lambda(h) - d(h) + d(h) - \mu(h)|}{|h|}$$

$$\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\lambda(h) - d(h)|}{|h|} + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|d(h) - \mu(h)|}{|h|}$$

$$= 0$$

Let $h = tx$, $x \neq 0$

$$\text{Then } 0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\lambda(tx) - \mu(tx)|}{|tx|} =$$

$$\frac{|\lambda(x) - \mu(x)|}{|x|} \implies \lambda = \mu \quad \checkmark$$

(3)

To get a feel for this, suppose that

$$f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$f(x) = Ax, \quad A = m \times n \text{ matrix}$$

Then f is diff as we can take $\lambda = A$.

$$\text{Now consider } f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$f(x, y) = xy$$

To see that f is diff at (a, b) ,
consider

$$\lim_{(h, k) \rightarrow (0, 0)} \frac{|(a+h)(b+k) - ab - \lambda(h, k)|}{|(h, k)|}$$

$$= \lim_{(h, k) \rightarrow (0, 0)} \frac{|ak + bh - \lambda(h, k)|}{|(h, k)|}$$

Evidently, taking $\lambda(h, k) = ak + bh$
does the job.

4

In the definition of differentiability,
let $Df(a) = \lambda$.

The underlying matrix is called the
Jacobian matrix.

Chain rule: If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ diff at a ,

$g: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ diff at $f(a)$, then

$g \circ f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ diff at a , and
 $D(g \circ f)(a) = Dg(f(a)) \circ Df(a)$

composition of linear transformations

proof: $b = f(a)$, $\lambda = Df(a)$
 $\mu = Dg(f(a))$

Define i) $\varphi(x) = f(x) - f(a) - \lambda(x-a)$

ii) $\psi(y) = g(y) - g(b) - \mu(y-b)$

iii) $\rho(x) = g \circ f(x) - g \circ f(a) - \mu \circ \lambda(x-a)$

(5)

Theorem: $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ diff at a ,
 $g: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ diff at $f(a)$, then
 $g \circ f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ diff at a , and
 $D(g \circ f)(a) = Dg(f(a)) \circ Df(a)$

Proof: $b = f(a)$, $\lambda = Df(a)$
 $\mu = Dg(f(a))$

$$\varphi(x) = f(x) - f(a) - \lambda(x-a)$$

$$\psi(y) = g(y) - g(b) - \mu(y-b)$$

$$\rho(x) = g \circ f(x) - g \circ f(a) - \mu \circ \lambda(x-a)$$

$$\text{Then } \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{|\varphi(x)|}{|x-a|} = 0, \quad (*)$$

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow b} \frac{|\psi(y)|}{|y-b|} = 0 \quad (**)$$

$$\text{We want: } \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{|\rho(x)|}{|x-a|} = 0.$$

(6)

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= g(f(x)) - g(b) - \mu(\lambda(x-a)) \\ &= g(f(x)) - g(b) - \mu(f(x) - f(a) - \varphi(x)) \\ &= [g(f(x)) - g(b) - \mu(f(x) - f(a))] + \mu(\varphi(x)) \\ &= \psi(f(x)) + \mu(\varphi(x)) \end{aligned}$$

We must show that $(***)$
 $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{|\psi(f(x))|}{|x-a|} = 0$, and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{|\mu(\varphi(x))|}{|x-a|} = 0$$

follows from $(*)$ and
exercise (1.10)

Now, $(***) \iff$ if $\epsilon > 0$,

$|\psi(f(x))| < \epsilon |f(x) - b|$ if $|f(x) - b| < \delta$,
which, in turn, holds if $|x-a| < \delta_1$ for
some δ_1 .

(7)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } |\psi(f(x))| &< \epsilon |f(x) - b| \\ &= \epsilon |\varphi(x) + \lambda(x-a)| \\ &\leq \epsilon |\varphi(x)| + \epsilon M |x-a| \end{aligned}$$

problem 1.10
(~~***~~) follows instantly.

Theorem:

i) If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a constant function, then $Df(a) = 0$.

ii) If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a linear transformation, then $Df(a) = f$.

iii) If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, then f is diff at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ iff each f_i is, and $Df(a) = (Df_1(a), \dots, Df_m(a))$

Thus $f'(a)$ is the $m \times n$ whose i 'th row is $(f_i)'$.

8

iv) If $s: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by
 $s(x, y) = x + y$, then
 $Ds(a, b) = s.$

v) If $p: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$; $p(x, y) = xy$,
then $Dp(a, b)(x, y) = bx + ay$
Thus $p'(a, b) = (b, a).$

Proof: i) is immediate

$$\text{ii) } \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - f'(h)|}{|h|}$$

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(a) + f(h) - f(a) - f(h)|}{|h|} = 0$$

iii) If each f^i is diff at a and

$$\lambda = (Df^1(a), \dots, Df^m(a)), \text{ then}$$

(9)

~~~~~

$$f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h) =$$

$$(f'(a+h) - f'(a) - Df'(a)(h), \dots)$$

$$f''(a+h) = f''(a) - Df''(a)(h)$$

The conclusion follows by the triangle inequality.

If  $f$  is diff at  $a$ , then  $f = \pi \circ f$ , so  
 projection

each  $f^i$  is diff by above and the chain rule.

iv) follows from ii)

v) Let  $\lambda(x, y) = bx + ay$ . Then

$$\lim_{(h, k) \rightarrow (0, 0)} \frac{|p(a+h, b+k) - p(a, b) - \lambda(h, k)|}{|(h, k)|} = \lim_{(h, k) \rightarrow 0} \frac{|hk|}{|(h, k)|}$$

10

We have

$$|hk| \leq \begin{cases} |h|^2, & \text{if } |k| \leq |h| \\ |k|^2, & \text{if } |k| \geq |h| \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $|hk| \leq |h|^2 + |k|^2$

$$\text{Therefore, } \frac{|hk|}{|(h,k)|} \leq \frac{h^2 + k^2}{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2}} = \sqrt{h^2 + k^2}$$

$$\begin{matrix} \searrow 0 \\ (h,k) \rightarrow 0 \end{matrix}$$

Corollary: If  $f, g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  diff at  $a$ , then

$$D(f+g)(a) = Df(a) + Dg(a)$$

$$D(fg)(a) = g(a)Df(a) + f(a)Dg(a)$$

If  $g(a) \neq 0$ , then

$$D(f/g)(a) = \frac{g(a)Df(a) - f(a)Dg(a)}{|g(a)|^2}$$

# partial derivatives

It is time to actually start computing derivatives.

If  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, a \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a^1, \dots, a^i+h, \dots, a^n) - f(a^1, \dots, a^i, \dots, a^n)}{h}$$

is called the  $i$ 'th partial derivative at  $a$ .

$$\left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(a) \right) \quad \text{or} \quad D_i f(a)$$

Problem 3.28

Define  $D_{i,j} f(x) = D_j (D_i f)(x)$

Theorem: If  $D_{i,j} f$  and  $D_{j,i} f$  are continuous in an open set containing  $a$ , then

$$D_{i,j} f(a) = D_{j,i} f(a).$$

(12)

Theorem: Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . If the max or min of  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  occurs at a point  $a$  in the interior of  $A$  and  $D_j f(a)$  exists, then

$$D_j f(a) = 0.$$

Proof: Follows from the one-variable case.

Theorem: If  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  diff at  $a$ , then  $D_j f^i(a)$  exists for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,

and  $f'(a)$  is the  $m \times n$  matrix  $\{D_j f^i(a)\}_{i,j}$

Proof: Suppose that  $m=1$ , so  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Define  $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$h(x) = (a^1, \dots, x, \dots, a^n), \text{ with}$$

$x$  in the  $j$ 'th place. Then

$$D_j f(a) = (f \circ h)'(a^j). \text{ Hence,}$$

by chain rule,

$$(f \circ h)'(a^j) = f'(a) \cdot h'(a^j)$$

$$= f'(a) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{--- } j\text{th place}$$

Since  $(f \circ h)'(a^j)$  has the single entry  $D_j f(a)$ ,  $D_j f(a)$  exists and is the  $j$ 'th entry of the  $1 \times n$  matrix  $f'(a)$ .

The result follows by Theorem 2.3.

Theorem: If  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $Df(a)$  exists if all  $D_j f^i(x)$  exist in an open set containing  $a$  and if each function  $D_j f^i$  is continuous at  $a$ .

Proof: It suffices to consider the case  $m=1$ , so that  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(a+h) - f(a) &= f(a^1+h, a^2, \dots, a^n) - f(a^1, \dots, a^n) \\ &+ f(a^1+h, a^2+h, a^3, \dots, a^n) - f(a^1+h, a^2, \dots, a^n) \\ &+ \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \dots + f(a^1+h^1, \dots, a^n+h^n) - f(a^1+h^1, \dots, a^{n-1}+h^{n-1}, a^n).$$

Observation:  $D_i f$  = derivative of  $g(x) = f(x, a^2, \dots, a^n)$

By MVT,

$$f(a^1+h^1, a^2, \dots, a^n) - f(a^1, \dots, a^n) = h^1 \cdot D_i f(b_i, a^2, \dots, a^n) \text{ for some } b_i \in (a^1, a^1+h^1).$$

The  $i$ th term is equal to

$$h^i \cdot D_i f(a^1+h^1, \dots, a^{i-1}+h^{i-1}, b_i, \dots, a^n) = h^i D_i f(c_i) \text{ for some } c_i.$$

Then  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left| f(a+h) - f(a) - \sum_{i=1}^n D_i f(a) \cdot h^i \right|$

(15)

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left| \sum_{i=1}^n [D_i f(c_i) - D_i f(a)] \cdot h^i \right|}{|h|}$$

$$\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^n |D_i f(c_i) - D_i f(a)| \frac{|h^i|}{|h|}$$

$$\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^n |D_i f(c_i) - D_i f(a)| = 0$$

by continuity!

Theorem: Let  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuously diff at  $a$ , and let  $f: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be diff at  $(g_1(a), \dots, g_m(a))$ . Define  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $F(x) = f(g_1(x), \dots, g_m(x))$ . Then  $D_i F(a) = \sum_{j=1}^m D_j f(g_1(a), \dots, g_m(a)) \cdot D_i g_j(a)$

Example:  $g_1(x, y) = x + y$      $g_2(x, y) = xy$   
 $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$

(16)

$$f(g_1(x, y), g_2(x, y)) = F(x, y)$$

$$= g_1^2(x, y) + g_2^2(x, y) =$$

$$(x+y)^2 + (xy)^2 = x^2 + 2xy + y^2 + x^2y^2$$

$$D_1 F(a, b) = 2a + 2b + 2ab^2 \quad \checkmark$$

$$D_1 f(x, y) = 2x$$

$$D_2 f(x, y) = 2y$$

$$D_1 g_1(a, b) = 1$$

$$D_1 g_2(a, b) = b$$

$$\text{So, } \sum_{j=1}^2 D_j f(g_1(a, b), g_2(a, b)) \cdot D_1 g_j(a, b)$$

$$= 2g_1(a, b) \cdot 1 + 2g_2(a, b) \cdot b$$

$$= 2(a+b) + 2(ab) \cdot b$$

$$= 2a + 2b + 2ab^2 \quad \checkmark$$



(17)

Let us now prove the theorem above.

$$F = f \circ g, \text{ w/ } g = (g_1, \dots, g_m)$$

By chain rule,

$$F'(a) = f'(g(a)) \cdot g'(a) =$$

$$(D_1 f(g(a)), \dots, D_m f(g(a))) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} D_1 g_1(a) & \dots & D_n g_1(a) \\ \vdots \\ D_1 g_m(a) & \dots & D_n g_m(a) \end{pmatrix}$$

Observe that  $D_i F(a)$  is the  $i$ 'th entry of the left-hand side of the equation, while

$$\sum_{j=1}^m D_j f(g_1(a), \dots, g_m(a)) \cdot D_i g_j(a)$$

is the  $i$ 'th entry of the right-hand side.

(18)

Inverse functions:

Consider  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $f'(a) \neq 0$   
continuously diff near  $a$

Then  $f$  is 1-1 in a small neighborhood, so

$f^{-1}$  exists. Moreover,

$$f \circ f^{-1}(x) = x, \text{ so}$$

$f'(f^{-1}(x)) \cdot (f^{-1})'(x) = 1$ , which  
implies that

$$(f^{-1})'(x) = \frac{1}{f'(f^{-1}(x))}$$

In higher dimensions, this is much harder!

Lemma:  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  rectangle, and let  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  continuously differentiable.  
 If there is  $M \Rightarrow |D_j f^i(x)| \leq M \forall x$  in  $A^\circ$  interior,

$$\text{then } |f(x) - f(y)| \leq n^2 M |x - y|$$

$$\forall x, y \in A$$

Lipschitz  
property

Proof: We have

$$f^i(y) - f^i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[ f^i(y^1, \dots, y^j, x^{j+1}, \dots, x^n) - f^i(y^1, \dots, y^{j-1}, y^j, x^j, \dots, x^n) \right]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{MVT}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n (y^i - x^i) \cdot D_j f^i(z_{ij})$$

for some  $z_{ij}$ .

(20)

It follows that

$$|f'(y) - f'(x)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |y^i - x^i| \cdot M \leq$$

$$nM|y-x|, \quad \text{since}$$

$$|y^i - x^i| \leq |y-x|.$$

It follows that

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |f'(y) - f'(x)| \leq$$

$$n^2 M \cdot |y-x| \quad \checkmark$$

Inverse Function Theorem:

Suppose  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  continuously diff  
 in an open set containing  $a$ , and  $\det f'(a) \neq 0$ .  
 Then there is an open set  $V$  containing  $a$  and an  
 open set  $W$  containing  $f(a)$  such that  
 $f: V \rightarrow W$  has a continuous inverse

$f^{-1}: W \rightarrow V$  differentiable, and  $\forall y \in W$  satisfies

$$(f^{-1})'(y) = [f'(f^{-1}(y))]^{-1}$$

Proof: Let  $\lambda = Df(a)$ . Then  $\lambda$  is non-singular, since  $\det f'(a) \neq 0$ .

$$\text{Now } D(\lambda^{-1} \circ f)(a) = D(\lambda^{-1})(f(a)) \circ Df(a) =$$

chain rule

$$= \lambda^{-1} \circ Df(a) = \underline{\text{identity!}}$$

diff of linear is linear...

If the result holds for  $\lambda^{-1} \circ f$ , it holds for  $f$ .  
So we may assume that  $\lambda = \text{identity}$ , i.e.

$$\lambda(h) = h$$

With this reduction in tow, let's see what would happen if  $f(a+h) = f(a)$  for some  $h$ .

We have

$$\frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} = \frac{|R|}{|h|} = 1$$

On the other hand,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} = 0,$$

by assumption,

so  $f(x) \neq f(a)$  for  $x \neq a$ ,  $x$  arbitrarily close to  $a$ .

Therefore,  $\exists$  closed rectangle  $U$  containing  $a$  in its interior such that

- 1)  $f(x) \neq f(a)$  if  $x \in U$ ,  $x \neq a$ .
  - 2)  $\det f'(x) \neq 0$  for  $x \in U$ .
  - 3)  $|D_j f^i(x) - D_j f^i(a)| < \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \quad \forall i, j, x \in U$ .
- this is just continuity
- also continuity

We now apply ③ and Lemma 2-10 to

$g(x) = f(x) - x$  to see that for  $x_1, x_2 \in U$ ,  
 then  $\sim n=1$  in this case,,  
 $|f(x_1) - x_1 - (f(x_2) - x_2)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |x_1 - x_2|$ .

Now a small algebraic trick. We have

$$|x_1 - x_2| - |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq$$

$$|f(x_1) - x_1 - (f(x_2) - x_2)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |x_1 - x_2|, \text{ so}$$

$$4) |x_1 - x_2| \leq 2 |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in U.$$

Let  $\partial U =$  boundary of  $U$ . It is closed and bounded, so it is compact. Therefore,

$f(\partial U)$  is compact, and does not contain  $f(a)$ .

It follows that

$$|f(x) - f(a)| \geq d \quad \text{for } x \in \partial U.$$

$$\text{Let } W = \left\{ y : |y - f(a)| < \frac{d}{2} \right\}$$

If  $y \in W, x \in \partial U$ , then

$$5) |y - f(a)| < |y - f(x)|.$$

Goal: For any  $y \in W$ ,  $\exists! x \in U^{\circ} \rightarrow f(x) = y$ .  
interior

To prove this, let  $g: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$g(x) = |y - f(x)|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y^i - f^i(x))^2$$

continuous, so it has a minimum on  $U$ .

If  $x \in \partial U$ , then (5) tells us that  $g(a) < g(x)$ .

~~$g$~~  minimum is not achieved on  $\partial U$

By Theorem 2.6,  $\exists x \in U^{\circ} \rightarrow D_j g(x) = 0 \forall j$ ,

so  $\sum_{i=1}^n 2(y^i - f^i(x)) \cdot D_j f^i(x) = 0 \forall j$

The matrix  $\{D_j f^i\}$  has non-zero determinant,



so,  $y^i - f^i(x) = 0 \quad \forall i$ , i.e.  $y^i = f^i(x)$ ,  
 so  $y = f(x)$ .

This proves the existence of  $x$ . The uniqueness follows from (4).

What have we shown?

Let  $V = U \cap f^{-1}(W)$ . Then

$f: V \rightarrow W$  has an inverse

$f^{-1}: W \rightarrow V$ . It is useful to rewrite (4) as

(6)  $|f^{-1}(y_1) - f^{-1}(y_2)| \leq 2|y_1 - y_2|, \quad y_1, y_2 \in W$

$\Rightarrow f^{-1}$  is continuous!

We must prove that  $f$  is differentiable. We already have a bag of tricks for this. Let  $u = Df(x)$ . We will show that  $f^{-1}$  is differentiable and the derivative is  $u^{-1}$ .

We write

$$f(x_1) = f(x) + \mu(x_1 - x) + \varphi(x_1 - x) \quad w/$$

$$\lim_{x_1 \rightarrow x} \frac{|\varphi(x_1 - x)|}{|x_1 - x|} = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\mu^{-1}(f(x_1) - f(x)) = x_1 - x + \mu^{-1}(\varphi(x_1 - x))$

RECALL: Every  $y_1 \in W = f(x_1)$  for some  $x_1 \in V$

It follows that

~~Algorithm~~

$$\bar{f}^{-1}(y_1) = \bar{f}^{-1}(y) + \mu^{-1}(y_1 - y) - \mu^{-1}(\varphi(\bar{f}^{-1}(y_1) - \bar{f}^{-1}(y))),$$

so matters have been reduced to showing that

$$\lim_{y_1 \rightarrow y} \frac{|\mu^{-1}(\varphi(\bar{f}^{-1}(y_1) - \bar{f}^{-1}(y)))|}{|y_1 - y|} = 0.$$

By the ubiquitous problem 1.10, it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{y_1 \rightarrow y} \frac{|\varphi(\bar{f}'(y_1) - \bar{f}'(y))|}{|y_1 - y|} = 0.$$

We have

$$\frac{|\varphi(\bar{f}'(y_1) - \bar{f}'(y))|}{|y_1 - y_2|} =$$

$$\frac{|\varphi(\bar{f}'(y_1) - \bar{f}'(y))|}{|\bar{f}'(y_1) - \bar{f}'(y)|}$$

$$\frac{|\bar{f}'(y_1) - \bar{f}'(y)|}{|y_1 - y_2|}$$

↓ 0

bounded by 2  
by (6.9)

since  $\bar{f}'(y_1) - \bar{f}'(y) \rightarrow 0$   
 $y_1 \rightarrow y$

and we are done!

Implicit functions:

Consider  $\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ ,  
the unit circle.

Let's work near  $(x_0, y_0) = (0, 1)$ .

Then  $y = \sqrt{1-x^2}$  (positive square root)

Let  $f(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}$

$f'(x) = \frac{-x}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$  exists near  $x=0$

But near  $x=1$ , we have a problem.  
(dividing by 0!)

Calc 1 perspective:

$x^2 + y^2 = 1$        $2x + 2y \cdot \frac{dy}{dx} = 0$

$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{x}{y}$ , OK as long as  $y \neq 0$

We shall develop methods for taking the equation  $f(x,y)=0$  and expressing  $y=g(x)$  when this is possible.

Theorem:  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$   
cont diff in an open set containing  $(a,b)$ ; w/  $f(a,b)=0$ .

Let  $M$  be the  $m \times m$  matrix  $\{D_{a+b} f(a,b)\}$   $1 \leq i,j \leq m$

If  $\det M \neq 0$ ,  $\exists A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open, and  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  open containing  $b$ , w/ following property:  
for each  $x \in A \exists! (y(x) \in B \rightarrow f(x,y(x))=0$ .  
The function  $g$  is differentiable.

Example:  $n=m=1$   $f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1$

$M = 1 \times 1$  matrix  $D_2 f(a,b) = 2y$ , so we want  $y \neq 0$ , as we discussed above!

n=2 m=1

f(x,y) = \int (x', x^2, y) = (x')^2 + (x^2)^2 + y - 1

M = |x| again

D\_3 f(x,y) = 2y and we want y \neq 0 again!

Proof: Define F: R^n x R^m -> R^n x R^m F(x,y) = (x, f(x,y))

Then det F'(a,b) = det M \neq 0

why? write out every step!

By Inverse Function Theorem, \exists W open in R^n x R^m containing F(a,b) = (a,0) and an open set A x B containing (a,b) \to

F: A x B \to W has a diff inverse h: W \to A x B

Observe that  $h(x,y) = (x, K(x,y))$

since  $F$  is of that form. <sup>diff</sup>

$$\text{Let } \pi: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$\pi(x,y) = y; \quad \pi \circ F = f.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, K(x,y)) &= f \circ h(x,y) = (\pi \circ F) \circ h(x,y) \\ &= \pi \circ (F \circ h)(x,y) = \pi(x,y) = y. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f(x, K(x,0)) = 0$ , so we

may take  $g(x) = K(x,0)$ .

We will need the following generalization.

Theorem:  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$  cont diff

in an open set containing  $a$ ,  $p \leq n$ .

if  $f(a) = 0$  and  $\{D_i f(a)\}$  has rank  $p$ ,

then there is an open set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  containing

$a$ , and a diff function  $h: A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

w/ diff inverse  $\exists f \circ h(x^1, \dots, x^n) =$   
 $(x^1, \dots, x^n)$

same  
proof  
as above