

SU(n)/SO(n): Landweber's manifolds

Recently, Peter Landweber wrote to ask some questions about the manifolds $SU(n)/SO(n)$. These are quite interesting manifolds and I thought I would tell you a bit about them. At the same time, I will include $U(n)/O(n)$

To begin, one has fibrations

$$SU(n)/SO(n) \xrightarrow{i} BSO(n) \xrightarrow{\otimes \mathbb{C}} BSU(n)$$

$$U(n)/O(n) \xrightarrow{L} BO(n) \xrightarrow{\otimes \mathbb{C}} BU(n)$$

This gives n -plane bundles E_n over $U(n)/O(n)$ and $SU(n)/SO(n)$ with $E_n \otimes \mathbb{C}$ being a trivial complex bundle. These are the universal (oriented) n -plane bundles with trivial complexification.

If you consider the space of real n -dimensional subspaces $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ with the property that $iV = V^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of V one has what is called the Lagrangian Grassmannian: $\Lambda_n = U(n)/O(n)$ and E_n consists of the pairs — a subspace and a vector in that subspace. $S\Lambda_n = SU(n)/SO(n)$ is called the special Lagrangian Grassmannian.

If you consider mod 2 cohomology for the fibrations one has

$$H^*(BSO(n)) = \mathbb{Z}_2[w_2, w_3, \dots, w_n] \leftarrow H^*(BSU(n)) = \mathbb{Z}_2[c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n]$$

$$H^*(BO(n)) = \mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n] \leftarrow H^*(BU(n)) = \mathbb{Z}_2[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n]$$

and one has $(\otimes \mathbb{C})^*(c_i) = w_i^2$ ($c_1(E \otimes \mathbb{C}) = w_1(E \otimes \mathbb{C}) = w_1(E \otimes E) = w_1(E)^2$)

from which one has

$$H^*(U(n)/O(n)) = \mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, \dots, w_n] \text{ and } H^*(SU(n)/SO(n)) = \mathbb{Z}_2[w_2, \dots, w_n] \\ (w_1^2, \dots, w_n^2)$$

given as the exterior algebras on the Stiefel-Whitney classes of the bundles E_n .

One has $\dim U(n)/O(n) = 1+2+\dots+n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \binom{n+1}{2}$ and $\dim SU(n)/SO(n) = 2+\dots+n = \binom{n+1}{2} - 1$.

One also has $\pi_1(U(n)/O(n)) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_1(SU(n)/SO(n)) = 0$, so $SU(n)/SO(n)$ is simply connected.

An interesting result is

Fact. (Eugenio Calabi) $SU(3)/SO(3)$ is a simply connected nonbounding 5-dimensional manifold.

Note. The cobordism group $\Omega_5 = \mathbb{Z}_2$ so there is a unique cobordism class of nonbounding 5-manifolds. Thom observed that the generator was a manifold described by Wu which is actually the Dold manifold $P(1,2)$. An oriented manifold has $w_1 = 0$ and I think Wu's manifold was the first example of an oriented manifold having a nonzero odd dimensional Stiefel-Whitney class.

Proof. One has

i	0	1	2	3	4	5
H^i	\mathbb{Z}_2	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	0	\mathbb{Z}_2
	1	w_2	w_3	$w_2 w_3$		

By Wu's formula $Sq^2 w_3 = w_2 w_3$ so the Wu class $v_2 = w_2$. Then $v = 1 + w_2$ and $\tilde{w} = w(SU(3)/SO(3)) = Sq v = 1 + w_2 + w_3$ so $\tilde{w}_2 \tilde{w}_3 [SU(3)/SO(3)] \neq 0$. \square

Note. To avoid confusion, I will let \tilde{w} denote the Stiefel-Whitney class of the tangent bundle, using $w = w(E_n)$.

Fact. The complexification of the tangent bundle is trivial for both $U(n)/O(n)$ and $SU(n)/SO(n)$.

Proof. The tangent bundle of $U(n)/O(n)$ is known to be $S^2(E_n)$, the second symmetric power of E_n . Then $S^2(E_n) \otimes \mathbb{C} = S^2(E_n \otimes \mathbb{C}) = S^2(\text{trivial } \mathbb{C}^n \text{ bundle}) = \text{trivial bundle}$. Then $SU(n)/SO(n) \subset U(n)/O(n)$ is a codimension one submanifold and being simply connected, the normal line bundle is trivial. Thus for $SU(n)/SO(n)$, $\tau + 1 = S^2(E_n)$ and the complexification $\tau \otimes \mathbb{C} + 1_{\mathbb{C}}$ is trivial. Being in the stable range for complex vector bundles, $\tau \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is also trivial. \square

Note. For a manifold M^N with $\tau \otimes \mathbb{C}$ trivial, Gromov and Lees have shown that there is a Lagrangian immersion $\varphi: M^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$; i.e. an immersion with $i\varphi_*\tau_p M = (\varphi_*\tau_p M)^\perp$. This is also a totally real; i.e. $\varphi_*\tau_p M \cap i\varphi_*\tau_p M = \{0\}$. Audin has shown that $U(n)/O(n)$ has a Lagrangian imbedding of M^N in \mathbb{C}^N . Peter is interested in knowing whether $SU(n)/SO(n)$ has a totally real imbedding.

Once upon a time, Larry Smith and I calculated the cobordism group for manifolds for which the complexification of the stable tangent bundle was trivial. Our result was that the generators of $\mathcal{N}_* = \mathbb{Z}_2[x_i | i \neq 2^s - 1]$ can be chosen so that $\Omega_*^{U/O} = \mathbb{Z}_2[x_i | i = \text{odd}, i \neq 2^s - 1]$.

Calabi's observation then shows that $SU(3)/SO(3)$ is the 5-dimensional generator and it would be interesting to know the cobordism classes of the other manifolds.

Fact. $SU(n)/SO(n) = \{X \in SU(n) | {}^tX = X\}$ and,
 $U(n)/O(n) = \{X \in U(n) | {}^tX = X\}$

Proof. One considers the function $U(n) \rightarrow U(n)$ sending Y to $Y \cdot {}^tY$, where ${}^tY =$ transpose of Y . This maps onto the symmetric matrices (${}^t(Y \cdot {}^tY) = {}^t({}^tY) \cdot Y = Y \cdot {}^tY$) and sends $U(n)/O(n)$ diffeomorphically to the symmetric matrices ($O(n)$ is the matrices with $Y \cdot {}^tY = I$). (This is proved by Mimura and Sugata). \square

Corollary. For n even, $SU(n)/SO(n)$ bounds and $U(n)/O(n)$ is always a boundary.

Proof. For n even, $-1 \in SU(n)$ is a central element and multiplication by -1 is a free involution on $\{X \in SU(n) | {}^tX = X\}$. For every n , the diagonal matrix with diagonal entry $z \in S^1$ is a central element of $U(n)$ and multiplication by these matrices defines a free circle action on $\{X \in U(n) | {}^tX = X\}$. \square

Note. $SU(2)/SO(2) = S^3/S^1 = S^2$ and the involution just described is the generalization of the antipodal involution. One notes that $SU(1)/SO(1) = \text{point}$ is

nonbounding ($SU(2) = SO(2) = \text{unit group}$).

In order to study the cobordism class of $SU(n)/SO(n)$ for n odd, one would like to know the Stiefel-Whitney class $\tilde{w} = w(SU(n)/SO(n)) = w(S^2(E_n))$.

Consider a general n -plane bundle γ_n . One then has $\gamma_n \otimes \gamma_n = S^2(\gamma_n) \oplus \Lambda^2(\gamma_n)$, where Λ^2 is the second exterior power. ($a \otimes b = \frac{1}{2}(a \otimes b + b \otimes a) + \frac{1}{2}(a \otimes b - b \otimes a)$). One may then apply the splitting principle to write γ_n as a sum of line bundles $l_1 \oplus \dots \oplus l_n$ with $w(l_i) = 1 + x_i$ and one has

$$w(\gamma_n \otimes \gamma_n) = \prod_{i,j} (1 + x_i + x_j) = \prod_i (1 + x_i + x_i) \cdot \prod_{i < j} (1 + x_i + x_j) \prod_{i < j} (1 + x_i + x_j) \\ = \left\{ \prod_{i < j} (1 + x_i + x_j) \right\}^2$$

$$w(\Lambda^2(\gamma_n)) = \prod_{i < j} (1 + x_i + x_j)$$

from which one has $w(S^2(\gamma_n)) = w(\Lambda^2(\gamma_n)) = \prod_{i < j} (1 + x_i + x_j)$.

Unfortunately, there is no known formula to describe $w(\Lambda^2(\gamma_n))$ in terms of $w(\gamma_n)$. However, one has

Lemma. For any n -plane bundle γ_n , one has

$$w(\Lambda^5(\gamma_n)) = 1 + \binom{n-1}{5-1} w_2 + \binom{n-2}{5-2} \{w_2 + w_3 + \dots + w_n\}$$

modulo decomposables.

Proof. Using the splitting principle to write $w(\gamma_n) = \prod_i (1 + x_i)$ one has $w(\Lambda^5(\gamma_n)) = \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_5 \leq n} (1 + x_{i_1} + x_{i_2} + \dots + x_{i_5})$.

To establish the formula it is sufficient to find α with $w_{2t}(\Lambda^5(\gamma_n)) = \alpha w_{2t}$ modulo decomposables. For $2^t \leq k = 2^t + i < 2^{t+1}$ one has, working modulo decomposables, $w_k(\Lambda^5(\gamma_n)) \equiv S_0^i w_{2t}(\Lambda^5(\gamma_n)) \equiv S_0^i \alpha w_{2t} \equiv \alpha w_k$ since $S_0^i w_j = \binom{j-1}{i} w_{i+j}$ modulo decomposables and S_0^i takes decomposables to decomposables.

To find $\tilde{w}_{2t} = w_{2t}(\Lambda^5(\gamma_n))$ one considers the bundle $\gamma_n = 2^t \ell + (n - 2^t)$ over $\mathbb{R}P^\infty$ where ℓ is the standard line bundle with $w(\ell) = 1 + x$. Then $w = w(\gamma_n) = (1+x)^{2^t} = 1 + x^{2^t}$

so that $w_{2^t} = x^{2^t}$ and all decomposable classes of degree 2^t are zero.

The set of classes x_i is then $\{\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_s}_{2^t}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-2^t}\}$. Choosing s of these classes will choose p of the x_i 's and $s-p$ zeros for some p with $p \leq s, p \leq 2^t$ which can be done in $\binom{2^t}{p} \binom{n-2^t}{s-p}$ ways. Thus

$$\tilde{w} = \prod (1 + px)^{\binom{2^t}{p} \binom{n-2^t}{s-p}}.$$

For p even, $px = 0$, so this becomes

$$\tilde{w} = \prod_{p \text{ odd}} (1+x)^{\binom{2^t}{p} \binom{n-2^t}{s-p}} = (1+x)^{\sum_{p \text{ odd}} \binom{2^t}{p} \binom{n-2^t}{s-p}}$$

where the product and sum are taken for p odd, $1 \leq p \leq 2^t, s$.

For $2^t = 1$, this is $\tilde{w} = (1+x)^{\binom{n-1}{s-1}} = 1 + \binom{n-1}{s-1} x + \dots$

giving the desired result.

For $2^t > 1$, with p odd, one has $\binom{2^t}{p} = \frac{2^t (2^t-1)!}{(p-1)!(2^t-p)!} = \frac{2^t}{p} \binom{2^t-1}{p-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } \tilde{w} &= (1+x)^{2^t \sum_{p \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{p} \binom{2^t-1}{p-1} \binom{n-2^t}{s-p}} = (1+x^{2^t})^{\sum_{p \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{p-1} \binom{2^t-1}{p-1} \binom{n-2^t}{s-p}} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{p \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{p} \binom{2^t-1}{p-1} \binom{n-2^t}{s-p} x^{2^t} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficient of x^{2^t} is taken modulo 2. Now

$\binom{2^t-2}{p-1} = \binom{2^t-1}{p-1}$ for p odd and $\binom{2^t-2}{p-1} = 0$ for p even, so this

coefficient becomes

$$\sum_p \binom{2^t-2}{p-1} \binom{n-2^t}{s-p}$$

where the sum is over all p , and that sum is $\binom{n-2}{s-1}$

giving the desired result. \square

Corollary. For n odd, $SU(n)/SO(n)$ is always nonbounding. In particular, $\tilde{w}_2 \tilde{w}_3 \dots \tilde{w}_n [SU(n)/SO(n)] \neq 0$.

Proof. For n odd and $s=2$, $\binom{n-1}{s-1} = 0$ and $\binom{n-2}{s-1} \neq 0$ so one has $\tilde{w} = 1 + w_2 + \dots + w_n$ modulo decomposables. Then

$\tilde{w}_2 \tilde{w}_3 \dots \tilde{w}_n = w_2 w_3 \dots w_n + \text{terms having more than } n-1$

factors. Since every product of n factors in $H^*(SU(n)/SO(n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$

is zero, $\tilde{w}_2 \tilde{w}_3 \dots \tilde{w}_n = w_2 w_3 \dots w_n$ which is the nonzero

class of top degree. \square

Fact. $SU(n)/SO(n)$ is indecomposable in \mathcal{P}_e only for $n=3$.

Proof. A d -dimensional manifold M^d is indecomposable if and only if the characteristic number $S_d[M^d] \neq 0$, where writing $w(M) = \prod(1+x_i)$ $S_d = \sum x_i^d$. One now lets $M^d = SU(n)/SO(n)$, where $d = \binom{n+1}{2} - 1$. Since $S_{2j} = S_j^2$ and squares are zero in $H^*(SU(n)/SO(n))$, $SU(n)/SO(n)$ is decomposable if d is even.

One has a general formula (for any bundle) that the total S -class, $S = S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + \dots$ is equal to $\frac{W_{\text{odd}}}{W} = \frac{w_1 + w_3 + w_5 + \dots}{1 + w_1 + w_2 + w_3 + \dots}$

and since $\tilde{w}_i^2 = 1$ in $H^*(SU(n)/SO(n))$, $S = \tilde{w}_{\text{odd}} \cdot \tilde{w} = \tilde{w}_{\text{odd}} \tilde{w}_{\text{even}} + \tilde{w}_{\text{odd}}^2$
 $= \tilde{w}_{\text{odd}} \tilde{w}_{\text{even}}$, since $\tilde{w}_{\text{odd}}^2 = 0$.

One then has

$$S_d = \begin{cases} (\tilde{w}_0 \tilde{w}_{4p+1} + \tilde{w}_1 \tilde{w}_{4p}) + (\tilde{w}_2 \tilde{w}_{4p+1} + \tilde{w}_3 \tilde{w}_{4p-2}) + \dots + (\tilde{w}_{2p-2} \tilde{w}_{2p+3} + \tilde{w}_{2p-1} \tilde{w}_{2p+2}) + \tilde{w}_{2p} \tilde{w}_{2p+1} & \text{if } d = 4p+1 \\ (\tilde{w}_0 \tilde{w}_{4p+3} + \tilde{w}_1 \tilde{w}_{4p+2}) + (\tilde{w}_2 \tilde{w}_{4p+1} + \tilde{w}_3 \tilde{w}_{4p}) + \dots + (\tilde{w}_{2p} \tilde{w}_{2p+3} + \tilde{w}_{2p+1} \tilde{w}_{2p+2}) & \text{if } d = 4p+3 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } S_q'(\tilde{w}_{2i} \tilde{w}_{2j}) = S_q' \tilde{w}_{2i} \cdot \tilde{w}_{2j} + \tilde{w}_{2i} S_q' \tilde{w}_{2j} = (\tilde{w}_{2i+1} + \tilde{w}_1 \tilde{w}_{2i}) \cdot \tilde{w}_{2j} + \tilde{w}_{2i} (\tilde{w}_{2j+1} + \tilde{w}_1 \tilde{w}_{2j}) \\ = \tilde{w}_{2i+1} \tilde{w}_{2j} + \tilde{w}_{2i} \tilde{w}_{2j+1}$$

and for $2i+2j+1=d$, $S_q'(\tilde{w}_{2i} \tilde{w}_{2j}) = v$, $\tilde{w}_{2i} \tilde{w}_{2j} = \tilde{w}_1 \tilde{w}_{2i} \tilde{w}_{2j}$ which is zero in $SU(n)/SO(n)$, since $\tilde{w}_1 = 0$.

Thus $S_d[M^d] = 0$ if $d = 4p+3$, and if $d = 4p+1$,

$$S_d[M^d] = \tilde{w}_{2p} \tilde{w}_{2p+1} = \tilde{w}_{2p} S_q' \tilde{w}_{2p}$$

Now, if \tilde{w}_{2p} is decomposable, $\tilde{w}_{2p} = \sum \tilde{z}_i \dots \tilde{z}_r$ then

$$\tilde{w}_{2p} S_q' \tilde{w}_{2p} = \sum \tilde{z}_i \dots \tilde{z}_r (\sum S_q'(\tilde{z}_j \dots \tilde{z}_j)) = \sum \{\tilde{z}_i \dots \tilde{z}_r (S_q' \tilde{z}_j \dots \tilde{z}_j)\}$$

and for $(i_1 \dots i_r) \neq (j_1 \dots j_s)$, $\tilde{z}_i \dots \tilde{z}_r S_q' \tilde{z}_j \dots \tilde{z}_s \neq S_q' \tilde{z}_i \dots \tilde{z}_r \cdot \tilde{z}_j \dots \tilde{z}_s$
 $= S_q'(\tilde{z}_i \dots \tilde{z}_r \tilde{z}_j \dots \tilde{z}_s) = v$, $(\tilde{z}_i \dots \tilde{z}_r \tilde{z}_j \dots \tilde{z}_s) = 0$, so

$$\tilde{w}_{2p} S_q' \tilde{w}_{2p} = \sum \tilde{z}_i \dots \tilde{z}_r S_q' \tilde{z}_i \dots \tilde{z}_r = \sum \tilde{z}_i \dots \tilde{z}_r \cdot \sum_k \tilde{z}_i \dots (S_q' \tilde{z}_i) \dots \tilde{z}_r$$

and every term here is zero because it has a factor \tilde{z}_i^2 .

Thus $S_d[M^d] = 0$ if \tilde{w}_{2p} is decomposable. For

$M^d = SU(n)/SO(n)$, M^d bounds if n is even, and if n is odd, $n = 2q+1$, \tilde{w}_{2j} is decomposable for $2j > 2q$. Thus if $S_d[M^d] \neq 0$ then $d = 2+3+\dots+2q+2q+1 \leq 2q+2q+1$ and $2q+1 = 3$. \square

Combining the results one has

Fact. $SU(n)/SO(n)$ bounds if n is even and is nonbounding for n odd. Also $SU(n)/SO(n)$ is indecomposable only for $n=3$.

Note. To prove that $S = w_{\text{odd}}/w$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{w_{\text{odd}}(E+F)}{w(E+F)} &= \frac{w_{\text{odd}}(E) w_{\text{even}}(F) + w_{\text{odd}}(E) w_{\text{odd}}(F) + w_{\text{odd}}(E) w_{\text{odd}}(F) + w_{\text{even}}(E) \cdot w_{\text{odd}}(F)}{w(E) \cdot w(F)} \\ &= \frac{w_{\text{odd}}(E) \cdot w(F)}{w(E) w(F)} + \frac{w_{\text{odd}}(F) w(E)}{w(F) w(E)} \\ &= \frac{w_{\text{odd}}(E)}{w(E)} + \frac{w_{\text{odd}}(F)}{w(F)} \end{aligned}$$

and if L is a line bundle with $w(L) = 1+x$ then

$$\frac{w_{\text{odd}}(L)}{w(L)} = \frac{x}{1+x} = x + x^2 + x^3 + \dots = S(L).$$

The result then follows from the splitting principle.

Comment. One has $\Lambda^2(\gamma_{n+2}) = \Lambda^2(\gamma_n) \otimes \Lambda^2(2) + \Lambda^1(\gamma_n) \otimes \Lambda^1(2) + \Lambda^0(\gamma_n) \otimes \Lambda^2(2)$
 $= \Lambda^2(\gamma_n) + 2\gamma_n + 1$

and under the inclusion of $SU(n)/SO(n)$ in $SU(n+2)/SO(n+2)$ the bundle E_{n+2} restricts to E_n . Thus $w(SU(n+2)/SO(n+2))$ restricts to $SU(n)/SO(n)$ to become $w(SU(n)/SO(n)) \cdot w(E_n)^2 = w(SU(n)/SO(n))$. Thus there is a formula for $w(SU(n)/SO(n))$ which is universal, depending only on n modulo 2. Using the formula for $\Lambda^2(\gamma_{n+1})$ one sees that $w(SU(\text{even})/SO(\text{even})) = w(SU(\text{odd})/SO(\text{odd})) = w$, relating the two formulae.

Comment. One also has a formula

$$w(S^s(\gamma_n)) = 1 + \binom{n+s-1}{s-1} w_1 + \binom{n+s}{s-1} \{w_2 + \dots + w_n\} \text{ modulo decomposables.}$$

One can also ask for similar formulae for complex vector bundles, working over the integers. It appears that

8

$$c_k(\Lambda^s(\gamma_n^{cx})) = \left\{ \binom{n}{s-1} - \binom{n}{s-2} 2^{k-1} + \binom{n}{s-3} 3^{k-1} + \dots + (-1)^{s-2} \binom{n}{1} (s-1)^{k-1} + (-1)^{s-1} 5^{k-1} \right\} c_k$$

modulo decomposables. This formula is correct for $s=2$ and 3 ,
 is correct for $k=1$ and 2 (the coefficients reduce to $\binom{n-1}{s-1}$ and $\binom{n-2}{s-1}$),
 and has the correct mod 2 reduction. For $s=2$, the formula is

$$c(\Lambda^2(\gamma_n^{cx})) = 1 + (n-1)c_1 + (n-2)c_2 + (n-4)c_3 + \dots + (n-2^{k-1})c_k + \dots + (n-2^{n-1})c_n$$

modulo decomposables, and one has

$$c(S^2(\gamma_n^{cx})) = 1 + (n+1)c_1 + (n+2)c_2 + (n+4)c_3 + \dots + (n+2^{n-1})c_n$$

modulo decomposables.