

Homotopy Theories of Algebras over Operads

V. A. Smirnov

Received August 12, 2003; in final form, July 6, 2004

Abstract—Homotopy theories of algebras over operads, including operads over “little n -cubes,” are defined. Spectral sequences are constructed and the corresponding homotopy groups are calculated.

KEY WORDS: *homotopy theory, algebra over an operad, little n -cubes operad, category of chain complexes, monad, natural transformation of functors, homotopy group of spheres.*

There are two classical homotopy theories:

- (1) the homotopy theory of topological spaces, in which the calculation of the homotopy groups of spheres is one of the most difficult problems of algebraic topology;
- (2) rational homotopy theory, in which the calculation of the homotopy groups of spheres is a fairly simple problem.

In [1], it was shown that rational homotopy theory is equivalent to the homotopy theory of commutative DGA -algebras. In [2, 3], it was proved that the singular chain complex $C_*(X)$ (cochain complex $C^*(X)$) of a topological space X has the natural structure of an E_∞ -coalgebra (E_∞ -algebra), and the homotopy theory of topological spaces is equivalent to the homotopy theory of E_∞ -coalgebras (E_∞ -algebras).

A natural problem is to find intermediate homotopy theories between the homotopy theories of DGA -algebras and E_∞ -algebras and calculate the homotopy groups of spheres in these theories.

In this paper, we define homotopy theories of algebras over operads, in particular, the little n -cubes operads E_n over little n -cubes, where $1 \leq n \leq \infty$ (see [4]). We construct spectral sequences and calculate the corresponding homotopy groups.

Recall that a family $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{E}(j)\}_{j \geq 1}$ of chain complexes $\mathcal{E}(j)$ on which the permutation groups Σ_j act is called an *operad* if it is endowed with operations

$$\gamma: \mathcal{E}(k) \otimes \mathcal{E}(j_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{E}(j_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(j_1 + \cdots + j_k)$$

compatible with the permutation group actions and satisfying certain associativity relations [2].

A chain complex X with operations

$$\mu(j): \mathcal{E}(j) \otimes X^{\otimes j} \rightarrow X \quad (\tau(j): X \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}(j); X^{\otimes j}))$$

compatible with the permutation group actions and satisfying certain associativity relations [2] is called an *algebra* (respectively, a *coalgebra*) over an operad \mathcal{E} , or simply an \mathcal{E} -*algebra* (an \mathcal{E} -*coalgebra*).

Let us denote the sum

$$\sum_j \mathcal{E}(j) \otimes_{\Sigma_j} X^{\otimes j}$$

by $\underline{\mathcal{E}}(X)$. The correspondence $X \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{E}}(X)$ determines a functor on the category of chain complexes. The operad structure on $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$ determines the natural transformation of functors $\gamma: \underline{\mathcal{E}} \circ \underline{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}$, which defines a monad structure on $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$ [3]. Moreover, a chain complex X is an algebra over the operad $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$ if and only if it is an algebra over the monad $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$.

Dually, for

$$\overline{\mathcal{E}}(X) = \prod_j \text{Hom}_{\Sigma_j}(\mathcal{E}(j); X^{\otimes j}),$$

the correspondence $X \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{E}}(X)$ determines a comonad on the category of chain complexes. A chain complex X is a coalgebra over the operad \mathcal{E} if and only if it is a coalgebra over the comonad $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$.

Operads and algebras over operads can also be defined in the category of topological spaces. In this case, in the definition of the operations γ , the tensor product \otimes must be replaced by the usual Cartesian product \times of topological spaces [3].

Below, we give examples of operads and algebras (coalgebras) over operads.

Example 1. Let $E_0(j)$ be the free module with one zero-dimensional generator $e(j)$ and trivial action of the permutation groups Σ_j (i.e., $E_0(j) \cong R$). Then $E_0 = \{E_0(j)\}$ is an operad. The operation $\gamma: E_0 \times E_0 \rightarrow E_0$ is defined by

$$\gamma(e(k) \otimes e(j_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes e(j_k)) = e(j_1 + \cdots + j_k).$$

It is easy to verify that it is associative and compatible with the actions of the permutation groups.

The algebras (coalgebras) over E_0 are simply commutative and associative algebras (coalgebras).

Example 2. Let $A(j)$ be the free Σ_j -module with one zero-dimensional generator $a(j)$ (i.e., $A(j) \cong R(\Sigma_j)$). Then $A = \{A(j)\}$ is an operad; the operation $\gamma: A \times A \rightarrow A$ is defined by

$$\gamma(a(k) \otimes a(j_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes a(j_k)) = a(j_1 + \cdots + j_k).$$

It is easy to verify that the required relations do hold.

The algebras (coalgebras) over the operad A are simply associative algebras (coalgebras).

Example 3. An arbitrary chain complex X determines the operads

$$\mathcal{E}_X(j) = \text{Hom}(X^{\otimes j}; X), \quad \mathcal{E}^X(j) = \text{Hom}(X; X^{\otimes j}).$$

The actions of the permutation groups are permutations of factors in $X^{\otimes j}$, and the operad structure is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_X(f \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_k) &= f \circ (g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_k), & f \in \mathcal{E}_X(k), & g_i \in \mathcal{E}_X(j_i); \\ \gamma^X(f \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_k) &= (g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_k) \circ f, & f \in \mathcal{E}^X(k), & g_i \in \mathcal{E}^X(j_i). \end{aligned}$$

A chain complex X is an algebra (coalgebra) over the operad \mathcal{E} if and only if there is a map of operads $\xi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X$ (respectively, $\xi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^X$).

Example 4. For $n \geq 0$, let Δ^n denote the normalized chain complex of the standard n -simplex. Then $\Delta^* = \{\Delta^n\}$ is a cosimplicial object in the category of chain complexes.

Let $E^\Delta(j)$ denote the realization of the cosimplicial object $(\Delta^*)^{\otimes j} = \Delta^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta^*$, i.e.,

$$E^\Delta(j) = \text{Hom}(\Delta^*; (\Delta^*)^{\otimes j}),$$

where Hom is considered in the category cosimplicial objects.

The family $E^\Delta = \{E^\Delta(j)\}$ is an operad; the actions of permutation groups and the operad structure are similar to those for the operads \mathcal{E}^X defined above (in the definition, Δ^* instead of X is taken).

Note that, since the chain complexes Δ^n are acyclic, the operad E^Δ is acyclic also.

In [3], it was shown that the singular chain complex $C_*(X)$ of a topological space X admits the natural structure of an E^Δ -coalgebra. Dually, the cochain complex $C^*(X)$ admits the natural structure of an E^Δ -algebra.

Example 5. The main examples of operads in the category of topological spaces are the little n -cubes operads \mathcal{E}_n , which were introduced by Boardman and Vogt [5] and studied by May [4]. In particular, May showed that any n -fold loop space $\Omega^n X$ is an algebra over the operad \mathcal{E}_n .

The inclusions $\mathcal{E}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ hold; we denote the direct limit determined by these inclusions by \mathcal{E}_∞ . The operad \mathcal{E}_∞ is an acyclic operad with free actions of permutation groups.

Any acyclic operad with free actions of permutation groups is called an E_∞ -operad, and any algebra (coalgebra) over an E_∞ -operad is called an E_∞ -algebra (an E_∞ -coalgebra).

Example 6. It is easy to see that if $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{E}(j)\}$ is an operad in the category of topological spaces, then the family of chain complexes $C_*(\mathcal{E}) = \{C_*(\mathcal{E}(j))\}$ is an operad in the category of chain complexes. If \mathcal{E} is an E_∞ -operad, then $C_*(\mathcal{E})$ is an E_∞ -operad.

Let us show that any singular chain complex $C_*(X)$ (singular cochain complex $C^*(X)$) is an E_∞ -coalgebra (respectively, an E_∞ -algebra).

Let E be an E_∞ -operad. Consider the operad $E^\Delta \otimes E$. It is an E_∞ -operad. Consider the projection of operads $p: E^\Delta \otimes E \rightarrow E^\Delta$. The composition

$$E^\Delta \otimes E \xrightarrow{p} E^\Delta \xrightarrow{\xi} \mathcal{E}^{C_*(X)} \quad (E^\Delta \otimes E \xrightarrow{p} E^\Delta \xrightarrow{\xi} \mathcal{E}^{C_*(X)})$$

determines the structure of an $E^\Delta \otimes E$ -coalgebra (respectively, of an $E^\Delta \otimes E$ -algebra) on $C_*(X)$ (on $C^*(X)$).

We denote the operad $E^\Delta \otimes C_*(\mathcal{E}_n)$ simply by E_n and call it the little n -cubes operad. The complex $C_*(X)$ can be regarded as an E_n -coalgebra, and $C^*(X)$ can be regarded as an E_n -algebra.

We need the following general property of algebras (coalgebras) over operads.

Theorem 1. *If $X_* = \{X_n\}$ is a simplicial object in the category of algebras over an operad \mathcal{E} , then its realization $|X_*|$ is an \mathcal{E} -algebra also. Dually, if $X^* = \{X^n\}$ is a cosimplicial object in the category of coalgebras over an operad \mathcal{E} , then its realization $|X^*|$ is an \mathcal{E} -coalgebra also.*

Proof. Suppose that $X_* = \{X_n\}$ be a simplicial object in the category of \mathcal{E} -algebras, and let $\mu_n: \mathcal{E}(X_n) \rightarrow X_n$ be an \mathcal{E} -algebra structure on X_n . The Eilenberg–Zilber maps

$$\psi: |X_*| \otimes \cdots \otimes |X_*| \rightarrow |X_* \otimes \cdots \otimes X_*|$$

commute with the actions of permutation groups and, therefore, induce maps

$$\psi: \mathcal{E}(j) \otimes_{\Sigma_j} |X_*|^{\otimes j} \rightarrow |\mathcal{E}(j) \otimes_{\Sigma_j} X_*^{\otimes j}|.$$

These maps determine a map $\psi: \mathcal{E}(|X_*|) \rightarrow |\mathcal{E}(X_*)|$, and the required map $\mathcal{E}(|X_*|) \rightarrow |X_*|$ is defined as the composition

$$\mathcal{E}(|X_*|) \xrightarrow{\psi} |\mathcal{E}(X_*)| \xrightarrow{\mu_*} |X_*|. \quad \square$$

Corollary. *The realization $B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, X)$ of a simplicial resolution*

$$B_*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, X): \mathcal{E}(X) \leftarrow \mathcal{E}^2(X) \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \mathcal{E}^n(X) \leftarrow \cdots$$

over an \mathcal{E} -algebra X is an \mathcal{E} -algebra. Moreover, the augmentation $\eta: B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, X) \rightarrow X$ is a chain equivalence. Dually, the realization $F(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, X)$ of a cosimplicial resolution

$$F^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, X): \bar{\mathcal{E}}(X) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{E}}^2(X) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{E}}^n(X) \rightarrow \cdots$$

over an \mathcal{E} -coalgebra X is an \mathcal{E} -coalgebra. Moreover, the augmentation $\xi: X \rightarrow F(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, X)$ is a chain equivalence.

We proceed to construct the corresponding homotopy theories. Suppose that \mathcal{E} is an operad and $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\Delta$ is a map of operads. This means that the chain complexes Δ^n have \mathcal{E} -coalgebra

structures compatible with the coface and codegeneracy operators. This requirement is quite natural for homotopy theories. In particular, it allows us to define homotopy groups in these theories.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ denote the category whose objects are \mathcal{E} -algebras and morphisms are maps of \mathcal{E} -algebras.

The category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a closed model category [6] in which the fibrations are surjective maps $p: X \rightarrow Y$, the weak equivalences are maps inducing isomorphisms in homology, and the cofibrations are maps $i: A \rightarrow B$ having the left lifting property with respect to the trivial fibrations. This means that, for any commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & X \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array} ,$$

there exists a diagonal map $f: B \rightarrow X$ preserving commutativity.

Dually, let $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$ denote the category whose objects are \mathcal{E} -coalgebras and morphisms are maps of \mathcal{E} -coalgebras.

The category $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a closed model category in which cofibrations are injective maps $i: A \rightarrow B$, weak equivalences are maps inducing isomorphisms in homology, and fibrations are maps $p: X \rightarrow Y$ having the right lifting property with respect to the trivial cofibrations. This means that, for any commutative diagram of the above form, there exists a diagonal map $f: B \rightarrow X$ preserving commutativity.

Theorem 2. *For any trivial fibration $p: X \rightarrow Y$ in the category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$, there exists a map of \mathcal{E} -algebras $\tilde{q}: B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, Y) \rightarrow X$ such that*

$$p \circ \tilde{q} = \eta: B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, Y) \rightarrow Y.$$

Proof. Let $p: X \rightarrow Y$ be a trivial fibration. This means that p is surjective and induces an isomorphism in homology. Hence there exists a chain map $q: Y \rightarrow X$ and a chain homotopy $h: X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$p \circ q = \text{Id}, \quad d(h) = q \circ p - \text{Id}, \quad p \circ h = 0, \quad h \circ q = 0, \quad h \circ h = 0.$$

Let us construct the required map of \mathcal{E} -algebras $\tilde{q}: B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, Y) \rightarrow X$.

It is easy to see that to define such a map is the same thing as to define a family of maps of \mathcal{E} -algebras $q^n: \mathcal{E}^{n+1}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Delta^n; X)$ for which the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}^n(Y) & \xrightarrow{q^{n-1}} & \text{Hom}(\Delta^{n-1}; X) \\ s_i \downarrow \uparrow d_i & & s_i \downarrow \uparrow d_i \\ \mathcal{E}^{n+1}(Y) & \xrightarrow{q^n} & \text{Hom}(\Delta^n; X) \end{array}$$

are commutative.

Defining a map of \mathcal{E} -algebras $q^n: \mathcal{E}^{n+1}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Delta^n; X)$ is equivalent to defining a chain map $\bar{q}^n: \mathcal{E}^n(Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Delta^n; X)$; thus, defining a map of \mathcal{E} -algebras $\tilde{q}: B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, Y) \rightarrow X$ is equivalent to defining a family of chain maps $\bar{q}^n: \mathcal{E}^n(Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Delta^n; X)$ for which the corresponding maps f^n of \mathcal{E} -algebras give the commutative diagrams specified above.

We set $\bar{q}^0 = q: Y \rightarrow X$ and $\bar{q}^n = h \circ \mu \circ \mathcal{E}(h) \circ \mathcal{E}(\mu) \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{E}^{n-1}(\mu) \circ \mathcal{E}^n(q)$. A direct calculation shows that these maps satisfy the required relations. \square

Corollary. *If A is an \mathcal{E} -algebra, then the \mathcal{E} -algebra $B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, A)$ is a cofibered object in the category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$.*

Indeed, suppose that $p: X \rightarrow Y$ is a trivial fibration and $f: B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, A) \rightarrow Y$ is a map of \mathcal{E} -algebras. Let us construct a map of \mathcal{E} -algebras $\tilde{f}: B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, A) \rightarrow X$ for which $p \circ \tilde{f} = f$.

Theorem 2 gives a map of \mathcal{E} -algebras $\tilde{q}: B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, Y) \rightarrow X$. Since $\eta: B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, A) \rightarrow A$ is a trivial fibration, there exists a map of \mathcal{E} -algebras $\psi: B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, A) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, A))$. We define \tilde{q} as the composition

$$B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, A) \xrightarrow{\psi} B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, A)) \xrightarrow{B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, f)} B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, Y) \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} X.$$

The dual assertion is the following theorem.

Theorem 2'. *For any trivial cofibration $i: A \rightarrow B$ in the category $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$, there exists a map of \mathcal{E} -coalgebras $\tilde{j}: B \rightarrow F(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, A)$ for which*

$$\tilde{j} \circ i = \xi: A \rightarrow F(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, A).$$

Corollary. *If X is an \mathcal{E} -coalgebra, then the \mathcal{E} -coalgebra $F(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, X)$ is a fibered object in the category $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$.*

Consider the category $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathcal{E}}$ whose objects are \mathcal{E} -coalgebras and morphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$ are maps of \mathcal{E} -coalgebras $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow F(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, Y)$.

We say that two morphisms $f_0, f_1: X \rightarrow Y$ in the category $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathcal{E}}$ are *homotopic* and write $f \simeq g$ if there exists a morphism $h: \Delta^1 \otimes X \rightarrow Y$, which is called a *homotopy*, such that

$$h|_{0 \otimes X} = f_0, \quad h|_{1 \otimes X} = f_1.$$

Let $Ho\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$ be the localization of the category $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$ with respect to the weak equivalences (i.e., morphisms inducing isomorphisms in homology).

By $\pi\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$ we denote the category whose objects are \mathcal{E} -coalgebras and morphisms are the homotopy classes of morphisms of the category $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathcal{E}}$. The general theory of homotopy in categories [6] gives the following result.

Theorem 3. *The following equivalence of categories hold:*

$$Ho\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \cong \pi\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

The dual assertion for \mathcal{E} -algebras is the following theorem.

Theorem 3'. *The following equivalence of categories hold:*

$$Ho\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}} \cong \pi\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Now, consider the problem of calculating homotopy groups of \mathcal{E} -coalgebras. Since the chain complexes Δ^n of the standard n -simplices are \mathcal{E} -coalgebras, it follows that the chain complexes S^n of the n -spheres are \mathcal{E} -coalgebras as well.

We define the homotopy groups $\pi_n^{\mathcal{E}}(X)$ of a \mathcal{E} -coalgebra X by $\pi_n^{\mathcal{E}}(X) = [S^n; F(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, X)]$, i.e., as the sets of homotopy classes of maps $f: S^n \rightarrow F(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, X)$ of \mathcal{E} -coalgebras.

Theorem 4. *For any \mathcal{E} -coalgebra X , there is a spectral sequence of homotopy groups $\pi_*^{\mathcal{E}}(X)$ in which the term E^1 is isomorphic to the cobar construction $F(\mathcal{E}_*, X_*)$, where \mathcal{E}_* and X_* denote the homology of \mathcal{E} and X , respectively.*

Proof. Consider the filtration

$$F(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, X) \supset F^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, X) \supset \cdots \supset F^m(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, X) \supset \cdots,$$

where $F^m(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, X): \bar{\mathcal{E}}^m(X) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{E}}^{m+1}(X) \rightarrow \cdots$.

It induces a spectral sequence. The exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow F^{m+1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, X) \rightarrow F^m(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, X) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{E}}^{m+1}(X) \rightarrow 0$$

induce the isomorphisms

$$E_{n,m}^1 = [S^n, \bar{\mathcal{E}}^{m+1}(X)] \cong H_n(\bar{\mathcal{E}}^m(X))$$

and, therefore, the isomorphism $E^1 \cong F(\mathcal{E}_*, X_*)$. \square

If S^n is the trivial \mathcal{E} -coalgebra, then the differentials of this spectral sequence are determined by the differentials in the cobar construction $F(\mathcal{E}, X)$; thus, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5. *If S^n is a trivial \mathcal{E} -coalgebra, then, for any \mathcal{E} -coalgebra X , the following isomorphism holds:*

$$\pi_n^{\mathcal{E}}(X) \cong H_n(F(\mathcal{E}, X)).$$

Now, suppose that X is a topological space and E_n is the little n -cubes operad. Note that if $m \geq n$, then S^m has the trivial structure of an E_n -coalgebra, which implies the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *If X is a topological space and $m \geq n$, then*

$$\pi_m^{E_n}(X) \cong H_m(F(E_n, C_*(X))).$$

The term E^1 of this spectral sequence can be expressed via the homology of the operad E_n and, therefore, of the Dyer–Lashof algebra [7, 8].

Theorem 7. *If X is a topological space, then the term E^1 of the spectral sequence of homotopy groups $\pi_*^{E_n}(X)$ is isomorphic to the module $S^n T_s R_{n-1} L_{n-1} S^{-n} H_*(X)$, where T_s is a free commutative algebra, R_{n-1} is the submodule of the Dyer–Lashof algebra generated by the admissible sequences of redundancy less than n , and L_{n-1} is the free Lie $(n-1)$ -algebra.*

If X is an n -connected topological space, then the cobar construction $F(E_n, C_*(X))$ is chain equivalent to the n -fold suspension over the chain complex of the iterated loop space $\Omega^n X$ [8]. Thus, the following theorem is valid.

Theorem 8. *If X is an n -connected topological space, then*

$$\pi_*^{E_n}(X) \cong S^n H_*(\Omega^n X).$$

This theorem generalizes the result of Quillen [1, 6] asserting that the rational homotopy groups of a simply connected topological space can be expressed in terms of the homology of its loop space. It determines the upper bound on those m for which the homotopy groups (over the operad E_m) of an n -connected space X are related directly to the homology of the m -fold loop space over X .

The method suggested above makes it possible to reduce the very difficult problem of calculating the homotopy groups of spheres over the operad E_∞ to calculating the homotopy groups of spheres over the operads E_n .

In particular, it follows from the theorem proved in this paper that if $m \leq n$, then the homotopy groups (over the operad E_m) of the sphere S^n are isomorphic to the m -fold suspension over the homology of the m -fold loop space over S^n . In this author's opinion, it would be interesting to calculate the homotopy groups of the sphere S^n over the operads E_n, E_{n+1} , etc.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under grant no. 01-01-00482.

REFERENCES

1. D. Quillen, "Rational homotopy theory," *Ann. of Math.*, **90** (1969), no. 2, 205–295.
2. V. A. Smirnov, "On cochain complexes of topological spaces," *Mat. Sb. [Math. USSR-Sb.]*, **115** (1981), 146–158.
3. V. A. Smirnov, "The homotopy theory of coalgebras," *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. [Math. USSR-Izv.]*, **49** (1985), 1302–1321.
4. J. P. May, *The Geometry of Iterated Loop Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 271, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
5. J. M. Boardman and R. M. Vogt, *Homotopy Invariant Algebraic Structures on Topological Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 347, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
6. D. Quillen, *Homotopical Algebra*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 43, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.
7. E. Dyer and R. Lashof, "Homology of iterated loop spaces," *Amer. J. Math.*, **84** (1962), 35–88.
8. V. A. Smirnov, "The homology of iterated loop spaces," *Forum Mathematicum*, **14** (2002), 345–381.

MOSCOW STATE PEDAGOGICAL UNIVERSITY
E-mail: V.Smirnov@ru.net