# Topological Hochschild homology

David Mehrle

24 April 2018

## 1 Hochschild homology

Good references for Hochschild homology are [Lod13, Chapter 1] and [Wei94, Chapter 9]. Let k be a commutative ring, A a k-algebra, and M an (A, A)-bimodule.

**Definition 1.1.** The **cyclic bar construction** of A with coefficients in M is the simplicial k-module  $B^{cyc}(A;M)$  with n-simplicies  $M \otimes_k A^{\otimes_k n}$  and face maps and degeneracies given by the following formulae:

$$d_{i}(m \otimes a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}) = \begin{cases} ma_{1} \otimes a_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n} & i = 0, \\ m \otimes a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i}a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n} & 0 < i < n, \\ a_{n}m \otimes a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1} & i = n, \end{cases}$$

 $s_i(\mathfrak{m}\otimes\mathfrak{a}_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathfrak{a}_n)=\mathfrak{m}\otimes\mathfrak{a}_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathfrak{a}_i\otimes 1\otimes\mathfrak{a}_{i+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathfrak{a}_n$ 

**Definition 1.2.** The **Hochschild homology** of A with coefficients in M are the homotopy groups of the geometric realization of the cyclic bar construction:

$$HH_{n}^{k}(A;M) := \pi_{n}|B^{cyc}(A;M)|$$

These are also the homology groups of the associated (via the Dold-Kan correspondence) **Hochschild chain complex**  $C_{\bullet}(A; M)$ .

$$0 \longleftarrow M \xleftarrow{b} M \otimes_k A \xleftarrow{b} M \otimes_k A \otimes_k A \xleftarrow{b} \cdots$$

where  $b = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{i} d_{i}$ .

**Example 1.3.** When M = A = k, the Hochschild complex is

$$k \xleftarrow[]{0} k \xleftarrow[]{1} k \xleftarrow[]{0} k \xleftarrow[]{1} k \cdots$$

and therefore

$$HH_{n}(k) = \begin{cases} k & (n = 0), \\ 0 & (n > 0). \end{cases}$$

**Example 1.4** ([Lod13, 1.1.6]). The zeroth Hochschild homology of A with coefficients in M is the **module of coinvariants of** M:

$$\mathrm{HH}_{0}(A; \mathrm{M}) = \mathrm{M}_{A} := \frac{\mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{am} - \mathrm{am} \mid \mathrm{m} \in \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{A}}$$

When M = A,  $HH_0(A) = A/[A, A]$ , the quotient of A by its commutator submodule. If A is abelian, the commutator submodule is zero so  $HH_0(A) = A$ .

**Example 1.5** ([Wei94, 9.1.2]). Let G be a group and let A = k[G] be the group algebra of G with coefficients in k. Let M be a right G-module, considered as an (A, A)-bimodule with trivial left action. Then the Hochschild homology of A with coefficients in M is the group homology of G with coefficients in M: HH<sub>\*</sub>(A; M) = H<sub>\*</sub>(G; M).

**Example 1.6** ([Wei94, 9.1.4]). Let n be a positive integer and assume that k is a field of characteristic coprime to n. Let  $A = k[x]/\langle x^{n+1} \rangle$ . Then  $HH_i(A) = A/x^n A$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

## 2 Topological Hochschild homology

The original references for topological Hochschild homology are [B85b, B85c, B85a], although they are hard to find (email me if you'd like a copy). The section on the Loday construction follows [AR05, Section 3].

Fix a symmetric monoidal category of spectra (**Sp**,  $\land$ , **S**). Denote the monoidal category of commutative ring spectra in **Sp** by (**CommRingSp**,  $\land$ , **S**), and the monoidal category of R-algebra spectra for a ring spectrum R by (**AlgSp**<sub>R</sub>,  $\land$ <sub>R</sub>, **S**).

## 2.1 The Loday Construction

The **Loday construction** gives a concise description for topological Hochschild homology via

$$THH(R) = R \otimes S^1.$$

where S<sup>1</sup> is a simplicial model of the circle with n-many n-simplicies. A good description of this construction of the spectrum THH(R) can be found in [AR05, Section 3], and we follow it here.

Let R be a commutative ring spectrum and let U be a finite set. Define

$$\mathbf{R}\otimes\mathbf{U}:=\bigwedge_{\mathbf{u}\in\mathbf{U}}\mathbf{R};$$

this is the smash product of one copy of R for each element of U. This is again a commutative ring spectrum. It is often convenient to specify the copy of R in

the smash product corresponding to  $u \in U$  by  $\{u\} \otimes R$ , so we will write

$$R\otimes U=\bigwedge_{u\in U}R\otimes \{u\}.$$

If  $f: U \to V$  is a function of finite sets, define  $f \otimes R: U \otimes R \to V \otimes R$  as follows. For each  $v \in V$ , define a map

$$\bigwedge_{u\in f^{-1}(\nu)} R \xrightarrow{f_{\nu}} R \otimes \{\nu\}$$

by iterated multiplication; since R is a commutative ring spectrum, there is no ambiguity in the order of multiplication. If  $f^{-1}(v)$  is empty, this is the unit map  $S \to R \otimes \{v\}$ . The function  $R \otimes f \colon R \otimes U \to R \otimes V$  is the smash product over all  $v \in V$  of the maps  $f_v$ .

It follows that the construction  $U \otimes R$  is functorial in U. We extend it degree wise to simplicial finite sets K to define a simplicial spectrum

$$\mathbf{R} \otimes \mathbf{K} \colon [\mathbf{q}] \mapsto \mathbf{R} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{q}}$$

with face and degeneracy maps  $R \otimes d_i$  and  $R \otimes s_j$ .

**Definition 2.1.** The **Loday construction**  $\mathcal{L}_{K}(R)$  of a commutative ring spectrum R with respect to a simplicial finite set K is the geometric realization of  $R \otimes K$ .

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{K}}(\mathsf{R}) := |\mathsf{R} \otimes \mathsf{K}|$$

#### Remark 2.2.

- (a) The choice of name Loday Construction comes from [HHL<sup>+</sup>18].
- (b) The definition of the Loday construction K ⊗ R depends only on the geometric realization of the simplicial set K, up to weak equivalence. See for example [AR05, Lemma 3.8].
- (c) For any simplicial spectrum X<sub>•</sub>, its geometric realization is given by the coend

$$|X_{\bullet}| := \int^{\lfloor n \rfloor \in \Delta} (X_n) \wedge |\Delta^n|_+.$$

This is in analogy to the geometric realization of a simplicial set  $K_{\bullet}$ , which is given by the coend

$$|\mathsf{K}_{\bullet}| := \int^{[n] \in \Delta} \mathsf{K}_{n} \times \Delta^{n}.$$

The construction and its properties are detailed in [EKMM07, Chapter X].

(d) The Loday construction describes how the category of ring spectra with the smash product is tensored over simplicial finite sets.

**Definition 2.3.** The **topological Hochschild homology** of a commutative ring spectrum R is the spectrum

$$THH(R) = |\mathcal{L}_{S^1}(R)| = |R \otimes S^1|.$$

If we restrict to the category of R-algebra spectra and use instead the smash product over R, then we obtain a relative Loday construction, which we denote  $\mathcal{L}_{K}(R;A)$ . In this manner, the category of R-algebra spectra is tensored over simplicial finite sets. To distinguish this from the tensor over simplicial finite sets as a commutative ring specturm, we write this as  $A \otimes_{R} S^{1}$ .

**Definition 2.4.** The **topological Hochschild homology** of an R-algebra spectrum X is the spectrum

$$THH^{R}(A) = |\mathcal{L}_{S^{1}}(A; R)| = |A \otimes_{R} S^{1}|.$$

In this notation,  $\text{THH}^{S}(A)$  is the topological Hochschild homology of A as an S-algebra, i.e. a ring spectrum. We will sometimes drop the superscript if the base ring spectrum is understood.

**Remark 2.5.** It is also possible to define the topological Hochschild homology  $THH^{R}(A; M)$  of an R-algebra A with coefficients in an A-module M, but this is not necessary for our purposes. See [EKMM07, IX.1.1].

### 2.2 Connection to Hochschild homology

Why does this deserve to be called topological Hochschild homology? Let k be a discrete commutative ring and let A be a k-algebra. Assume that A is flat as a k-algebra. Consider

$$THH^{Hk}(HA) = |HA \otimes_{Hk} S^1|.$$

Since  $S^1$  has q-many q-simplicies, the simplicial spectrum  $\mathsf{HA} \otimes_{\mathsf{Hk}} S^1$  has q-simplicies:

$$\underbrace{\mathsf{HA}\wedge_{\mathsf{Hk}}\mathsf{HA}\wedge_{\mathsf{Hk}}\cdots\wedge_{\mathsf{Hk}}\mathsf{HA}}_{\mathsf{q}}$$

The homotopy of this spectrum is

$$\underbrace{A \otimes_k A \otimes_k A \otimes_k A \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k A}_{q}.$$

In fact, the degeneracies and face maps of  $HA \otimes_{Hk} S^1$  become the degeneracies and faces of the cyclic bar construction after applying  $\pi_*$  level-wise. In particular,

$$\pi_*(\mathsf{HA} \otimes_{\mathsf{Hk}} \mathsf{S}^1) \cong \mathsf{B}^{\mathsf{cyc}}(\mathsf{A}).$$

Therefore,

$$\pi_* \operatorname{THH}^{\mathsf{Hk}}(\mathsf{HA}) = \pi_* |\mathsf{HA} \otimes_{\mathsf{Hk}} \mathsf{S}^1| \cong \pi_* |\mathsf{B}^{\mathsf{cyc}}(\mathsf{A})| = \mathsf{HH}^{\mathsf{k}}_*(\mathsf{A}).$$

(See also [EKMM07, IX.1.7])

Here is a handy table to clarify the analogy.

unstable	stable
space	spectrum
Z	S
$\mathbb{Z}$ -module (abelian group)	S-module (spectrum)
Z-algebra (ring)	S-algebra (ring spectrum)
k-algebra	R-algebra spectrum
tensor product $\otimes_k$	smash product $\wedge_{R}$
cyclic bar construction $B^{cyc}(A)$	Loday construction $R \otimes S^1$
realization of the cyclic bar construction $ B^{cyc}(A) $	topological Hochschild spectrum THH(R)
Hochschild homology $HH_n(A) = \pi_n  B^{cyc}(A) $	$\pi_n \operatorname{THH}(R)$

Indeed, computing topological Hochschild homology often comes down to computing Hochschild homology.

**Theorem 2.6** ([EKMM07, IX.1.11]). Let E and R be commutative ring spectra, and A a commutative R-algebra. If  $E_*(A)$  is flat over  $E_*(R)$ , then there is a spectral sequence of  $E_*(R)$ -modules

$$\mathsf{E}^2_{\mathfrak{i},j} \cong HH^{\mathsf{E}^*(\mathsf{R})}_{\mathfrak{j}}(\mathsf{E}_*(\mathsf{A}))_{\mathfrak{i}} \implies \mathsf{E}_{\mathfrak{i}+\mathfrak{j}}(THH^{\mathsf{R}}(\mathsf{A}))$$

*Proof sketch* [*MS93, Proposition 3.1*] (*c.f.* [*EKMM07, Theorem X.2.9*]). Given a simplicial spectrum  $X_{\bullet}$ , there is a simplicial filtration on  $|X_{\bullet}|$  and a spectral sequence (called the **skeleton spectral sequence**)

$$\mathsf{E}^{1}_{\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{j}} = \mathsf{E}_{\mathfrak{i}}(\mathsf{X}_{\mathfrak{j}}) \implies \mathsf{E}_{\mathfrak{i}+\mathfrak{j}}(|\mathsf{X}_{\bullet}|)$$

When  $X_{\bullet}$  is the simplicial spectrum  $A \otimes S^1$  whose realization is THH(A), then

$$E_*^1(X_j) = E_*(A^{\wedge_R(j+1)}) \cong (E_*A)^{\otimes_{E_*R}(j+1)},$$

this last isomorphism by the flatness assumption. The homology of this complex computes the ordinary Hochschild homology of  $E_*A$ , so we have

$$\mathsf{E}^2_{\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{j}} = \mathsf{HH}^{\mathsf{E}_*\mathsf{R}}_{\mathfrak{j}}(\mathsf{E}_*\mathsf{A})_{\mathfrak{i}},$$

where j is the homological degree and i is the simplicial degree of the graded  $E_*R$ -algebra  $E_*A$ .

Bökstedt uses this spectral sequence in [B85c] when R = S, and  $E = A = HF_p$  to find the homology of the spectrum  $THH(HF_p)$ , which is then used to compute the homotopy type of this spectrum. With these choices of R, E and A, we have

$$\mathsf{E}^2_{\mathfrak{i},j}\cong HH^{(\mathsf{H}\mathbb{F}_p)_*S}_{\mathfrak{j}}((\mathsf{H}\mathbb{F}_p)_*(\mathsf{H}\mathbb{F}_p))_{\mathfrak{i}} \implies (\mathsf{H}\mathbb{F}_p)_{\mathfrak{i}+\mathfrak{j}} \operatorname{THH}^S(\mathsf{H}\mathbb{F}_p).$$

This can be simplified: notice that

$$(\mathsf{H}\mathbb{F}_p)_*\mathbb{S} = \pi_*(\mathsf{H}\mathbb{F}_p \wedge \mathbb{S}) = \pi_*(\mathsf{H}\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p$$
 in degree 0

Also, notice that  $(H\mathbb{F}_p)^*(H\mathbb{F}_p) = [H\mathbb{F}_p, H\mathbb{F}_p]$  is the set of all cohomology operations mod p, or the Steenrod algebra. So  $(H\mathbb{F}_p)_*(H\mathbb{F}_p)$  is the dual Steenrod algebra, which we write  $\mathcal{A}_p$ . So the  $E^2$ -page of this spectral sequence simplifies to computing

$$\mathsf{E}^{2}_{\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{j}} = \mathrm{HH}_{\mathfrak{j}}^{\mathbb{F}_{p}}(\mathcal{A}_{p})_{\mathfrak{i}} \implies (\mathsf{H}\mathbb{F}_{p})_{\mathfrak{i}+\mathfrak{j}} \operatorname{THH}^{\mathsf{S}}(\mathsf{H}\mathbb{F}_{p}).$$

**Theorem 2.7** ([B85c, Theorem 1.1a]). As rings, THH<sub>\*</sub>( $H\mathbb{F}_p$ )  $\cong \mathbb{F}_p[\sigma]$  where  $\sigma$  is in degree 2.

He also computes the topological Hochschild homology of the integers.

**Theorem 2.8** ([B85c, Theorem 1.1b]).

$$THH_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & (n = 0), \\ 0 & (n = 2i, i > 0), \\ \mathbb{Z}/_{i\mathbb{Z}} & (n = 2i - 1). \end{cases}$$

## 3 The trace map

The trace map is a way to extract information about K-theory, which is hard to compute, via topological Hochschild homology, which is significantly easier to compute. This frequently loses information, but the trace map factors through **topological cyclic homology**, which is much closer to K-theory.

## 3.1 Hochschild Homology of an Exact Category

Let **C** be an exact category.

**Definition 3.1** ([McC94, Section 2]). The **additive cyclic nerve** of **C** is the simplicial abelian group  $N_{\bullet}^{cyc}(\mathbf{C})$  with N-simplicies

$$N_{n}^{cyc}(\mathbf{C}) = \bigoplus_{(c_{0},c_{1},...,c_{n})} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(c_{1},c_{0}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(c_{2},c_{1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(c_{n},c_{n-1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(c_{0},c_{n}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{H$$

where the sum runs over all (n + 1)-tuples  $(c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  of objects in **C**. The face maps and degeneracies are

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{d}_{i}(\alpha_{0}\otimes\alpha_{1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_{n}) &= \begin{cases} \alpha_{0}\otimes\alpha_{1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_{i}\alpha_{i+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_{n} & 0\leq i< n\\ \alpha_{n}\alpha_{0}\otimes\alpha-1\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_{n} & i=n \end{cases}\\ \mathbf{s}_{i}(\alpha_{0}\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_{n}) &= \begin{cases} \alpha_{0}\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_{i}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{c_{i+1}}\otimes\alpha_{i+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_{n} & 0\leq i< n\\ \alpha_{0}\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_{n}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{c_{0}}i=n \end{cases} \end{split}$$

**Definition 3.2.** The **Hochschild homology** groups of an exact category **C** are the homotopy groups of the geometric realization of the additive cyclic nerve.

$$HH_n(\mathbf{C}) := \pi_n |\mathsf{N}^{cyc}_{\bullet}(\mathbf{C})|$$

This is an appropriate generalization of Hochschild homology, insofar as it agrees with the Hochschild homology of a ring when **C** is the category of finitely generated projective modules over that ring.

Theorem 3.3 ([McC94, Corollary 2.4.4]). When A is a commutative ring,

$$HH_n(A) = HH_n(\mathbf{Proj}^{\mathrm{rg}}(A)),$$

where **Proj**<sup>fg</sup>(A) is the category of finitely generated projective A-modules.

We may likewise define the topological Hochschild homology of any category enriched in spectra. This is done in [BM12, Section 10].

#### 3.2 The trace map

This section follows [McC94] and [KM00].

For an exact category **C**, we may construct the K-theory space of **C** via the Waldhausen S<sub>•</sub> construction:  $K(\mathbf{C}) = \Omega |S_{\bullet}\mathbf{C}|$ . The trace map is defined via a map from S<sub>•</sub>**C** to N<sup>cyc</sup>(**C**) by inverting a weak equivalence as in the diagram below.



This gives a map from the q-simplicies of  $S_{\bullet}C$  to the (q-1)-simplicies of  $N_{\bullet}^{cyc}(C)$ . Upon taking realizations, this gives a map of spaces

$$\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{C}) = \Omega |\mathsf{S}_{\bullet}\mathbf{C}| \to |\mathsf{N}_{\bullet}^{\mathsf{cyc}}(\mathbf{C})|$$

and taking homotopy groups, this gives a homomorphism

$$K_n(\mathbf{C}) \to HH_n(\mathbf{C})$$

When  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Proj}^{fg}(A)$  is the category of finitely generated projective *A*-modules, then this homomorphism is

$$K_n(A) \rightarrow HH_n(A).$$

A similar construction is made for topological Hochschild homology in [BM12, Section 10].

### 3.3 Dennis trace

For any ring R, we construct the K-theory space of R via the plus construction:  $K(R) = B \operatorname{GL}(R)^+$ . The Hurewicz map gives a homomorphism from the homotopy of this space to its homology.

$$K_n(R) = \pi_n(B \operatorname{GL}(R)^+) \xrightarrow{h} H_n(B \operatorname{GL}(R)^+; \mathbb{Z})$$

By properties of the plus-construction, the homology of  $B \operatorname{GL}(R)^+$  and the homology of  $B \operatorname{GL}(R)$  agree. Moreover, for any group G, the homology of BG is the same as the homology of G. So we may extend the Hurewicz homomorphism's codomain to be the group homology  $H_n(\operatorname{GL}(R);\mathbb{Z})$ .

$$K_{n}(R) = \pi_{n}(B \operatorname{GL}(R)^{+}) \xrightarrow{h} H_{n}(B \operatorname{GL}(R)^{+}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{n}(\operatorname{GL}(R); \mathbb{Z})$$
(1)

By [Wei94, Example 9.1.2], there is a homomorphism from the group homology of G to the Hochschild homology of  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ , for any ring  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

$$H_n(G;\mathbb{Z}) \to HH_n(\mathbb{Z}[G])$$

In the case  $G = GL_m(R)$ , there is an inclusion  $\mathbb{Z}[GL_m(R)] \to M_m(R)$ , where  $M_m(R)$  is the ring of  $m \times m$  matrices with coefficients in R. By Morita invariance of Hochschild homology, this last term is isomorphic to  $HH_n(R)$ , and the isomorphism is induced by the trace map tr:  $M_m(R) \to R$ . The composite yields a homomorphism:

$$H_{n}(GL_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbb{R});\mathbb{Z}) \to HH_{n}(\mathbb{Z}[GL_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbb{R})]) \to HH_{n}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbb{R})) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{tr}_{*}}_{\cong} HH_{n}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Now taking the colimit over all m, these together yield a homomorphism

$$H_{n}(GL(R);\mathbb{Z}) \cong \operatorname{colim} H_{n}(GL_{m}(R);\mathbb{Z}) \to HH_{n}(R)$$
(2)

The composite of (2) and (1) is referred to as the **Dennis Trace Map**, after Keith Dennis.

#### 3.4 An example

**Example 3.4.** We can try to find the K-theory of  $\mathbb{Z}$  using the Dennis trace map. We have

$$\mathrm{HH}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & (\mathfrak{n} = 0), \\ 0 & (\mathfrak{n} > 0). \end{cases}$$

So this can't give us any information about the K-theory of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , except  $K_0(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , which we already knew. This shortcoming is resolved by lifting the trace map to topological Hochschild homology in the next section.

**Theorem 3.5** ([B85a, Theorem 1.1]). *The trace map*  $\pi_{2k-1} K(\mathbb{Z}) \to \pi_{2k-1} THH(\mathbb{Z})$  *is surjective for all positive integers* k.

**Example 3.6.** In Example 3.4, we tried to use the Dennis trace map to learn about the K-theory of the integers, but this failed because  $HH_n(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $n \ge 0$ . Let's try again with the trace map  $K(\mathbb{Z}) \to THH(\mathbb{Z})$ . We have

$$THH_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & (n = 0), \\ 0 & (n = 2j, j > 0), \\ \mathbb{Z}/_{j} & (n = 2j - 1). \end{cases}$$

And moreover, the trace map  $K_{2i-1}(\mathbb{Z}) \to THH_{2i-1}(\mathbb{Z})$  is surjective, so we learn that  $K_{2i-1}(\mathbb{Z})$  is nontrivial for each i. This is a whole lot more than we learned with the Dennis trace!

## References

- [AR05] Vigleik Angelveit and John Rognes. Hopf algebra structure on topological hochschild homology. *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*, 5:1233–1290, October 2005.
- [B85a] Marcel Bökstedt. The natural transformation from  $k(\mathbb{Z})$  to th $h(\mathbb{Z})$ . Universität Bielefeld Preprint, 1985.
- [B85b] Marcel Bökstedt. Topological hochschild homology. Universität Bielefeld Preprint, 1985.
- [B85c] Marcel Bökstedt. Topological hochschild homology of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ . Universität Bielefeld Preprint, 1985.
- [BM12] Andrew Blumberg and Michael A Mandell. Localization theorems in topological hochschild homology and topological cyclic homology. *Geometry and Topology*, 16(2):1053–1120, 2012.

- [EKMM07] Anthony D Elmendorf, Igor Kriz, Michael A Mandell, and J Peter May. *Rings, modules, and algebras in stable homotopy theory*. Number 47 in Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, 2007.
- [HHL<sup>+</sup>18] Gemma Halliwell, Eva Höning, Ayelet Lindenstrauss, Birgit Richter, and Inna Zakharevich. Relative loday constructions and applications to higher thh calculations. *Topology and its Applications*, 235:523–545, February 2018.
- [KM00] Miriam Ruth Kantorovitz and Claudia Miller. An explicit description of the dennis trace map. *Communications in Algebra*, 28(3):1429– 1447, 2000.
- [Lod13] Jean Louis Loday. Cyclic Homology, volume 301. Springer, 2013.
- [McC94] Randy McCarthy. The cyclic homology of an exact category. *Journal* of Pure and Applied Algebra, 93:251–296, 1994.
- [MS93] James E McClure and Ross E Staffeldt. On the topological hochschild homology of bu, i. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 115(1):1–45, February 1993.
- [Wei94] Charles Weibel. *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*. Number 38 in Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1994.