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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

1. The Adams spectral sequence [1] (see Chapter 2, section 1 for a summary) is the most powerful tool presently available for studying the stable homotopy of spheres. The Adams theory is essentially a stable one, in its present form, and so gives information about $\pi_{j}\left(S^{n}\right)$ only for $j<2 n-1$.

The next block of $n-1$ groups, i.e., for $2 n-1 \leq j<3 n-2$, is called the metastable range and it too has many regular properties. But stable arguments do not in general apply. The main result now available for this range of groups is the following theorem of Toda.
THEOREM I $[25 ; 11.7]$. The following sequence is exact for $j<2 n-2$ and is exact on the two component for $j<3 n-3$ :
1.1

$$
\rightarrow \pi_{j+n}\left(S^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{k, n}} \pi_{j+k+n}\left(S^{n+k}\right) \xrightarrow{I_{k, n}} \pi_{j-1+n}\left(\Sigma^{n-1} P_{n}^{n+k-1}\right) \xrightarrow{P_{k, n}} \pi_{j-1}\left(S^{n}\right) \rightarrow
$$

where $P_{n}^{n+k-1}=P^{n+k-1} / P^{n-1}$ and $P^{n}$ is the real $n$-dimensional projective space.
Note that if $k>n+1$ and $j<n-2$ then $\pi_{j+k}\left(S^{n+k}\right)$ and $\pi_{j-1}\left(\sum^{n-1} P_{n}^{n+k-1}\right)$ are stable groups.

Our object is to bring to Toda's theorem the power of stable methods developed by Adams. One main result is
THEOREM A. Assume $k>n+1$. There is a map between Adams spectral sequences which on the $E_{2}$ level gives

$$
\mathrm{Ext}_{A}^{s, t}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{2}, \mathrm{Z}_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{I_{k, n}^{2}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s-1, t}\left(\tilde{H} *\left(P_{n}^{n+k-1}\right), \mathrm{z}_{2}\right)
$$

for $t-s<2 n-2$ and projects in $E_{\infty}$ to the same map to which $I_{k, n}$ of Toda's
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theorem projects for the same range. In addition if we restrict $t-s$ to be greater than $n-1, I_{k, n}^{2}$ is a mapping of $H^{*}(A)$ modules. ( $H^{*}(A)=E_{A} t_{A}^{*},\left(Z_{2}, z_{2}\right)$.) (Note that $t-s>_{n-1} E x t_{A}^{s, t}\left(Z_{2}, Z_{2}\right)$ is an $H^{*}(A)$ module.) One can think of $I_{k, n}$ in theorem I as a generalized Hopf homomorphism and our primary interest will always center on the case where $k>n+1$, i.e., where we map the cokernel of the suspension from an unstable group to a stable group. The map $P_{k, n}$ in theorem I is a generalized Whitehead product and there have been several efforts to get general results about it [10], [11], and [19]. Theorem A gives a quick proof of all the results of [19] and substantial generalizations.
2. It is quite clear from theorem I that a detailed study of the homotopy of stunted projective spaces is central in the metastable homotopy of $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$. A second major object of this paper is to develop a technique which renders this a comparatively easy job if one knows Ext for a sphere. The details of the computation of $\pi_{k+p}\left(P_{k}\right)$ for $p \leq 29$ are given in Chapter III. The use of a large computer was important in this work; compare III section 8.* Table 4.1 tabulates these results. Detailed tables are given in Chapter III section 8.

Together with a proof of theorem A, Chapter II introduces a map between stable objects, $\lambda: P_{1} \rightarrow S^{0}$. ( $P_{n}^{k}=R P^{k} / R P^{n-1}$ where $R P^{k}$ is a real k-dimensional projective space.) It is conjectured that this map is onto in homotopy (II.4.2) and this conjecture is verified as far as we have gone (Chapter IV).

In [4], Adams defines a collection of direct summands in certain stable stems. Table 1 gives a listing of them with names for the generators.
$i=8 j+$
A summand of $\pi_{i}$
Name of generator

| -1 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $z_{\lambda(j)}$ | $z_{2}$ | $z_{2}+z_{2}$ |
| $\rho_{j}$ | $\eta \rho_{j}^{* *}$ | $\eta^{2} \rho_{j}, \mu_{j}$ |
|  | Table | 1. |

$\eta \mu_{j} \quad \xi_{j}$

Table 1.
*Dr. D. MacLaren did the programming using Cogent, a programming language developed by John Reynolds. Argonne National Laboratories supplied the machine time; compare [18].
**We actually will work with an element which is $\eta \rho_{j}$ modulo $2 \pi_{8 j}{ }^{S}$.

Let $p(j)$ be defined by $8 j \equiv 2^{p(j)-1}\left(2^{p(j)}\right)$. In table $1, \lambda(j) \equiv 2^{p(j)}$.
We will give particular representations of these elements in Chapter IV. Our representations are defined in such a way that $\eta^{2} \rho_{j} \varepsilon$ in $J$ for all $j>1$ and some multiple of $\rho_{j}$ is in in $J$ if $j=2^{p}$ for each $p$. The second statement is not proved here but will be discussed in another place and is not used here. It is believed that $\rho_{j}, \eta \rho_{j}, \eta^{2} \rho_{j}$ and $\xi_{j}$ generate the real image of J. In particular we will prove
THEOREM B. It is possible to choose generators $\rho_{j}(j>0), \mu_{j}$ and $\xi_{j}$ (for $j \geq 0$ ) in stems given in table 1 so that they have the following properties:
i) $\rho_{j}$ has filtration $\geq 4 j-p(j)$.
ii) $\eta^{2} \rho_{j}$ has filtration $\geq 4 j$ for $j>1$.
iii) $\mu_{j}$ has filtration $\geq 4 j+1$.
iv) $\xi_{j}$ has filtration $\geq 4 j+1$.
v) $e\left(\rho_{j}\right)=2^{-p(j)-1}\left(\bmod 2^{-p(j)}\right)$.
vi) $d_{R}\left(\mu_{j}\right) \neq 0$.
vii) $\theta_{R}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{8}\left(\bmod \frac{1}{4}\right)$.

We will also investigate the Whitehead product structure for all these. THEOREM C. Let $a$ be an element in table 1. Suppose $\left[l_{n}, a_{n}\right.$ ] is in $\pi_{k}\left(S^{n}\right)$ and $k<4 n-3$. Then the order of $\left[z_{n}, a_{n}\right]$ is given by table 2 except if $i=$ $8 p, a=\rho_{j} ; i=8 p-2, a=\mu_{j} ; i=8 p-3, a=\eta \mu_{j}$ and $i=8 p-4, a=\xi_{j}$. For these cases we require

| $i=$ | $8 p$ | $8 p-2$ | $8 p-3$ | $8 p-4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $8 j<$ | $8 p-6 v+2$ | $8 p-6 v-2$ | $8 p-6 v-5$ | $8 p-6 v-7$ |

where $v$ is defined by $8(p+j) \equiv 2^{\mathrm{V}}\left(2^{\mathrm{v}^{+1}}\right)$.
Before we state theorem $D$ we need some notation. Let $n$ be an integer and let $a$ and $b$ be defined by $n=4 a+b, 0 \leq b \leq 3$. Let $\varphi(n)=8 a+2^{b}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{\mathrm{n}} & =\rho_{\mathrm{a}+1} & & \mathrm{~b}=3 \\
& =\xi_{\mathrm{a}} & & \mathrm{~b}=2 \\
& =\eta^{2} \rho_{\mathrm{a}} & & \mathrm{~b}=1 \\
& =\eta \rho_{\mathrm{a}} & & \mathrm{~b}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$



Table 2.
Notice that $\beta_{n} \varepsilon \pi_{\varphi(n)-1}^{S}$.
THEOREM D. If $n+\varphi(m)+1 \equiv 2^{m}\left(2^{m+1}\right)$, where $3 \leq m^{\prime} \leq m$, then $\left[l_{n}, \beta_{m}\right]=0$. If $n+\varphi(m)+1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{m+1}\right)$, then $\left[\tau_{n}, \beta_{m}\right]$ is either zero or of order 2 . Conjecture. $\left[2_{n}, \beta_{m}\right] \neq 0$ if $n+\varphi(m)+1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{m+1}\right)$ but $n+\varphi(m)+1 \neq 2^{m+1}$, and $\left[l_{n}, \beta_{m}\right]=0, n+\varphi(m)+1=2^{m+1}$, iff $\left\{h_{m}^{2}\right\}$ is a permanent cycle in the Adams spectral sequence. In particular, we conjecture that if $h_{m}^{2}$ projects to a non-zero homotopy class $a_{m}$ in the Adams spectral sequence then in the diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{2^{m+1}-2} \xrightarrow{I_{n}} & \pi_{2^{m+1}-3+n}\left(\Sigma^{n-1} P_{n}\right) \\
& \prod_{2^{m+1}-3+n}\left(S^{2 n-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I_{n}$ is as in theorem $I$, $i$ is a generator and $n=2^{m+1}-\varphi(m)-1$, $I_{n}\left(a_{m}\right)=i_{*} \beta_{m}$.

Partial results supporting this conjecture are known but they will not be discussed here. In particular the conjecture is true for $m \leq 4$.
3. In addition to the above information we get detailed results on the first twenty or so unstable stems. In particular we give a table 4.2 which gives $\pi_{j}\left(S^{n}\right)$ for $23 \leq j \leq 40$ if $n>(j+3) / 3$. These results follow easily from the collected calculations, and no detailed proof is given. We also can get rather strong statements about what the homomorphisms look like if $\mathrm{j}>40$, $28 \geq j-n \geq-1$. These are collected in tables 4.3 and 4.4. Propositions which make this explicit are given in Chapter $V$. The results there are sufficient to compute $\left[\imath_{n}, a\right]$ for most $\alpha \varepsilon \pi_{j}\left(S^{0}\right), j<21$. The results would really be quite satisfying if a specific conjecture about Ext $A_{A}^{s, t}\left(z_{2}, Z_{2}\right)$ could be verified, V.2.4. This conjecture is almost certainly true and it seems within range of present techniques. When verified the Whitehead product question for any element in $\pi_{j}\left(S^{\circ}\right) \leq 29$ with the exception of $\left\{c_{1}\right\}$ would be settled in the sense that im $\left(I_{k, n}\right)$ could be given.
4. This section contains the tables which collect the calculations made in the paper. The first table gives $\pi_{k+n}\left(P_{n}\right) \simeq \pi_{k+n}\left(V_{n+m, m}\right)$ for $m>k+1$. By [8] we see that $\pi_{k+n}(B O S(n)) \simeq \pi_{k+n}(B S O)(n+m) \oplus \pi_{k+n}\left(V_{n+m, m}\right)$ for $m>k+1$, $n>13, k<n-1$. Thus table 1 also gives a table of the unstable homotopy groups of BOS( $n$ ).

An element in table 1 consists of some powers of some integers. For example, for $n=1, k=19$ we have 8,2 as the entry. This means that $\pi_{n+19}\left(P_{n}\right)=Z_{8} \oplus Z_{2}$ if $n \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$. In addition some entries contain the symbol $A$ or $B$ or $C$. If for a given $k$ and $n$ value the table lists $C, 2^{2}$ this means that the group is $C(k, n) \oplus Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2}$ where $C(k, n)$ (and $A$ and $B$ ) are given by the following result.
PROPOSITION 4.1. a) Let $m(n, k)$ be defined by $n+k+1 \equiv 2^{m}\left(\bmod 2^{m+1}\right)$. Let $q$ be defined by $\varphi(q) \leq k<\varphi(q+1)$. Let $i(n, k)=\max (q-m(n, k), 0)$. Then $A(k, n)$ is a cyclic group of order $2^{i(n, k)}$.
b) $B(k, n)=\bar{B}(k, n) \oplus Z_{2}$ if $m(n, k)=4$ and $B(k, n)=\bar{B}(k, n)$ if $m=4$. $\bar{B}(k, n)$ is a cyclic group of order $2^{m+1}$ if $q-m(n, k) \geq 0$ and the order of $I_{k}$ in tables III.8.i, $i=2, \ldots, 16$.
c) $C(k, n)=z_{2}$ if $m(n, k)>4$ and $c=0$ if $m(n, k)=4$.

Tables 2, 3 and 4 are quite clear. The kernel of the unstable J-homo-
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$\| \oplus \pi_{38}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$
Table 4.2. $\quad \pi_{n+2}\left(s^{n}\right)$

Table 4.2, continued. $\pi_{n+k}\left(S^{n}\right)$
Vertical lines indicate that the group on the left of the line is repeated
until either another group is given or until the stable range is reached.
$10$
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Table 4.4. $\quad \pi_{2 n+k}\left(S^{n}\right), k+n=2^{j}+1$

## MAHOWALD

morphism can be easily detected. In particular if the unstable group in one of these tables does not contain $\pi\left(P_{n}\right)$ then the unstable J-homomorphism has a kernel. Comparison with table l gives the kernel. The groups in parentheses in table 3 refer to undecided cases. Conjecture V. 2.4 if true would decide in favor of the group not in parentheses. In addition the reader should be warmed that not all the group extensions have been settled. This applies particularly to table 4.l.
5. The author would like to express his thanks to A. Luilevicius for many profitable conversations on the material of this paper.

## CHAPTER II

## THE ADAMS SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

1. INTRODUCTION. The purpose of this chapter is to summarize the Adams spectral sequence, section 2 ; to prove theorem A, section 3 ; and to introduce the map $\lambda: P_{1} \rightarrow S^{0}$, section 4. 2. THE ADAMS SPECTRAL SEQUENCE. (See also [1].)

Suppose $X$ is an $n-1$ connected space. By a resolution of $X$ we will mean a system of fiber spaces 2.1

together with the system induced by 2.1 over a point 2.2


Each space of 2.3 is the fiber of a composite map of 2.1 , i.e.

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}
$$

is a fiber space. The Puppe sequence gives a map $f_{s}: \Omega X \rightarrow B_{s}$. It is clear that the system 2.2 together with the maps $f_{s}$ define 2.1. Because of this we frequently will call 2.2 together with $\left\{f_{s}\right\}$ a resolution.

Associated with a resolution is a spectral sequence defined by the exact couple
2.3

of course in this generality nothing much can come from 2.3. There are several useful specializations. The first leads to DEFINITION 2.4. A resolution (mod p) is called admissible through dimension $T<2 n-1$ if

1) Each $A_{s}$ is a product of Eilenberg MacLane spaces ( $K(Z, q)$ or $K(Z, q)$ ) of
dimensions less than $T$;
2) $\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{s}{ }^{*}: \pi_{*}(\Omega \mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \pi_{*}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right)$ is strictly monotonically decreasing.

The most important resolution has this
DEFINITION 2.5. A resolution is called an Adams resolution mod $p$ if

1) it is admissible through dimension $2 n-1$; and
2) each $A_{s}$ is a product of $K\left(Z_{p}, q\right)$ 's;
3) $p_{s} *$ is zero for each $s$ with $Z_{p}$ for coefficients through dimension $T$ (in (2.1).

Because of 2.4 .2 the spectral sequence associated with an admissible resolution of a $n-1$ connected space with finitely generated homotopy converges to a graded group associated with $\sum_{j<T} \pi_{j}(x)$, filtered by 2.1. Using both the s filtration and the $q$ filtration of $A_{s}$ we see that 2.3 is aluys begraded. In the case of an Adams resolution the $\mathrm{E}_{2}^{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{s}-1, \mathrm{t}}\left(\tilde{\mathrm{H}} *(\mathrm{X}), \mathrm{z}_{2}\right)$ for $t-s<T-1$; for details see [1].

Related to the above is another notion which will be useful. Let $D \subset H *\left(X ; Z_{p}\right)$ such that $D$ is a vector space over $Z_{p}$. DEFINITION 2.6. We say $X_{D}$ represents $D$ if

1) $X_{D}$ is a product of Eilenberg MacLane spaces;
2) there is a l-1 correspondence with fundamental classes $\{a\}$ of $X_{D}$ and a homogeneous basis of $D$ such that if $a \varepsilon D \cap H^{j}(X)$ then $a_{a} \varepsilon H^{j-1}\left(X_{D}\right)$.
3) there is a map $f: X \rightarrow X_{D}$ such that $f^{*}\left(a_{a}\right)=a$.

Given a subspace $D \subset H *(X)$ there is always a fiber space
2.7

$$
X_{D} \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X
$$

with $\tau\left(a_{a}\right)=a$ for each a $\varepsilon$ D. For more detaile see [ 2 ; chapter 3].
3. THE CONSTRUCTION. Let $\Omega Y_{n}{ }^{n}$ be the fiber of the $2 n-2$ connected fiber space over $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$. That is, there is a map $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{S}^{n} \rightarrow Y_{n}{ }^{n}$ such that $f_{*}: \pi_{j}\left(S^{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $\pi_{j}\left(Y_{n}{ }^{n}\right)$ is an isomorphism for $j<2 n-1$ and $\pi_{j}\left(Y_{n}^{n}\right)=0$ for $j \geq 2 n-1$. Sinco $Y_{n}{ }^{n}$ has homotopy only through the stable range we can define an $\Omega$-spectrum based on $Y_{n}$, i.e., $\Omega Y_{k+1}^{n}=Y_{k}^{n}$ for all $k \geq n$. Let $F_{n+k, k}$ be the fiber for the following map:

$$
F_{n+k, k} \rightarrow \Sigma^{k_{1}} Y_{n}^{n} \rightarrow Y_{n+k^{*}}^{n}
$$

Note that $n$ is a fixed integer and $Y_{n+k}^{n}$ depends on $n$. We will keep $n$ fixed
throughout the remainder of this section and thus suppress the superscript $n$. It will be understood throughout this section. PROPOSITION 3.1. There is a homotopy equivalence through the $3 n+k-2$ skeleton between $F_{n+k, k}$ and $\Sigma^{n+k_{P}} P_{n}{ }^{n+k-1}$.

Proof. Consider

$$
\Omega^{k_{F+k, k}} \xrightarrow{i} \Omega^{\frac{k}{\Sigma}{ }_{2} Y_{n}} \rightarrow Y_{n} .
$$

This fibration has a cross-section $\varphi: Y_{n} \rightarrow \Omega^{k} \Sigma^{k} Y_{n}$ given by $\varphi(y)\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$ $=\left(y, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$ where $\left(y, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$ is a point in $\Sigma^{k} Y_{n}$ in the standard representtation. We can make $\varphi$ into a fiber map giving

$$
Q_{n+k, k} \rightarrow Y_{n} \rightarrow \Omega^{\frac{k}{\Sigma} k_{n}}
$$

where $Q$ is defined as the fiber. In any fibration $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ the boundary homomorphism in homotopy can be realized by a map $f: \Omega B \rightarrow F$. Using this map we have

$$
\Omega^{k+I_{F}}{ }_{n+k, k} \xrightarrow{i} \Omega^{k+1} \Sigma^{k} Y_{n} \xrightarrow{f} Q_{n+k, k^{*}}
$$

Since $\pi_{j}\left(Y_{n}\right)=0$ for $j \geq 2 n-1$, $f i$ induces an isomorphism in homotopy for all dimension. Thus $\Omega^{k^{+}} 1_{F_{n+k}, k}$ is homotopicably equivalent to $Q_{n+k}, k^{\text {- }}$

Now consider the following diagram of fibrations:
3.1.1

$$
Q_{n+k, k}^{1} \rightarrow S^{n} \rightarrow \Omega_{S}^{k_{S} n+k}
$$



If $k=1$ James [14] showed that $Q_{n+1,1}^{\prime}=S^{2 n-1}$ through homotopy dimension $3 n-3$. While Barcus and Meyer [6] showed that $F_{n+1,1}=Y_{n} * Y_{n}=S^{2 n+2}$ through dimension $3 n$. Since $Q_{n+1,1}=\Omega^{2} F_{n+1,1}$ and $i_{1}$ corresponds to $s^{2 n-1} \rightarrow \Omega^{2} \Sigma^{2} S^{2 n-1}$ we see that $i_{1}$ is a homotopy equivalence through $3 n-3$. We now proceed by induction. Consider
and


The natural maps between the two diagrams give

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{j}\left(Q_{n+1,1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{j}\left(Q_{n+k, k}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{j-1}\left(Q_{n+k, k-1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{j-1}\left(Q_{n+1,1}\right) \\
& \uparrow_{i}{ }^{*} \quad \uparrow j_{2} * \\
& \pi_{j}\left(Q_{n+1,1}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{j}\left(Q_{n+k, k}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{j-1}\left(Q_{n+k, k-1}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{j-1}\left(Q_{n+1,1}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By hypothesis $j_{1}{ }^{*}$ and $j_{3}{ }^{*}$ are isomorphisms for $j<3 n-3$ and $j<3 n-2$ respectively. Hence $j_{2}{ }^{*}$ will be an isomorphism too for $j<3 n-3$. Theorem I completes the proof.
COROLLARY 3.2. $\pi_{j}\left(\Sigma^{k_{1}} Y_{n}\right)=\pi_{j}\left(Y_{n+k}\right)+\pi_{j}\left(\Sigma^{n+k_{1}} P_{n}^{n+k-1}\right)$ for $j<3 n+k-3$.
Note that either one or the other group is zero in the range of interest. Let $\lambda: \pi_{j}\left(\Sigma^{k} Y_{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{j}\left(\Sigma^{n+k_{p}} P_{n}^{n+k-1}\right)$ be the projection map. Of course it is defined only for $j<3 n+k-3$ and is not generated by any geometric map.

The following is an important corollary of the proof of 3.1.
PROPOSITION 3.3. The composite

$$
\pi_{j}\left(S^{n+k}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{j}\left(\Sigma^{k} Y_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \pi_{j}\left(\Sigma^{n+k_{P}}{ }_{n}^{n+k-1}\right)
$$

is just

$$
\pi_{j}\left(S^{n+k}\right) \xrightarrow{I_{k}} \pi_{j-1-k}\left(\Sigma^{n-1} P_{n}^{n+k-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{k+1}} \pi_{j}\left(\Sigma^{n+k_{P}} P_{n}^{n+k-1}\right)
$$

where $I_{k}$ is the Coda map of theorem 1.
Proof. The proof is immediate from diagram 3.1.1.
Proposition 3.3 is the key to the proof of theorem A. The only thing left is to construct a suitable resolution of the cohomology of $\frac{\sum_{k} Y_{n}}{}$ so as to be able to identify the copy of $\Sigma^{n+k_{P}} P_{n}^{n+k-1}$ which is present there.

Let

$$
Y_{k} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow X_{s, k} \xrightarrow[\rho_{s, k}]{\ldots} \rightarrow X_{2, k} \xrightarrow[\rho_{2, k}]{ } X_{1, k} \xrightarrow[\rho_{1, k}]{ } K(Z, k)
$$

be an Adams resolution of $Y_{k}$ through dimension $2 n+k-1$ (Def. 2.5). We require that $\Omega \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{k}+1}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{k}}$.

The rest of this section will be devoted to proving the existence of the following diagram with the properties we will require (and show) it to have.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Diagram } 3.4
\end{aligned}
$$

The resolution of $\Sigma^{k_{Y}}{ }_{n}$, which will give a proof of theorem $A$, is the diagonal one in this diagram, ie.,

$$
\frac{k_{1}}{\sum Y_{n}} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow A_{s}^{s} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow A_{1}^{1} \rightarrow K\left(Z_{n+k}\right)
$$

Hence the tower induced by the left hand column over a point must be an Adams
 the general case involving the parameters $s$ and $s-1$. In everything that follows we will only consider cohomology through dimension $3 n+k-1$. $H^{*}(X)$


First we need a lemma.
L.MMA 3.4.1. Let $F_{n+k, k}^{s}$ be the fiber of $\Sigma^{k} X_{s, n} \rightarrow X_{s, n+k}$ and let $f_{s}: F_{n+k, k} \rightarrow F_{n+k, k}^{s}$ be the natural map. For each $s f_{s}^{*}$ is surjective in dimension less than $3 n+k$.

Proof. We proceed by induction. We need only show it for $F^{\circ}$. We have the following diagram:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma^{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{o}}{ }_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{i}_{1}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}}^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{p}_{1}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}-1}^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $F_{n+1, I}^{\circ}=K(Z, n) * K(Z, n)[6] f_{0}^{n *}$ is surjective. The bottom cohomology sequence splits into a short sequence. By induction suppose $f_{0}{ }^{t *}$ is surjective. Then $\left.\left(p_{2} f_{0}\right)^{1}\right)^{*}$ is surjective in dimension for which $p_{2}{ }^{*}$ is. Since $F_{n+k, k-1}$ is $2 n+k$ connected $i_{1} *$ is surjective in dimension $2 n+k$, which complates the proof.

First the lower right corner. Consider the following diagram:


Let $H *\left(F_{n+k, k}^{\circ}\right)=\operatorname{ker} f^{*}+D_{0}$ where $D_{0}$ is defined by this equation (although not uniquely). First observe that
3.5
$\tau: D_{0} \rightarrow H^{*}(K(Z, n+k))$
is a monomorphism. Indeed if a $\varepsilon D_{0}$ satisfies $\delta^{*} a=0$, then there is an a' such that $i_{1}{ }^{*} a^{\prime}=a$. But then $i_{2}{ }^{*} g^{*} a^{\prime}=f^{*} a \neq 0$ but $g^{*}$ is clearly zero in dimension $\neq n+k$. Let $X_{D_{0}}$ be a product of Eilenberg MacLane spaces which represents $D_{0}(2.6)$. We can form the fiber space

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{D}_{0}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}(\mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k})
$$

where the image of $D_{0}$ under transgression is given by 3.5 .
The second row of 3.4 is induced by 3.6 .
Now consider Let $H *\left(F^{1}\right)=$ ter $f^{*}+D_{1}$ where $D_{1}$ is defined by this equation. Let $X_{D_{1}}$ be a representation of $D_{1}$ and define $X_{D_{1}} \rightarrow A_{2}^{1} \rightarrow A_{1}^{1}$ by requiring the transgression on $D_{1}$ to be the same as for the fibration $F^{l} \rightarrow \sum^{k} X_{1, n} \rightarrow A_{1}{ }^{l}$. The third row of 3.4 is induced by this fibration. In order to show that $X_{D_{1}}$ is the correct fiber for the second stage of a resolution of $F_{n+k, k}$ we must show $f^{*}$ is onto. First observe that $i^{*}$ is zero. To see this consider the following diagram:


Since $g^{*}$ is onto (because $f_{0}^{*}$ is onto according to 3.4 .1 ), $q^{*}$ is zero and thus $i^{*}$ is zero. Now consider the diagram

where $F_{k+n, n}^{l}$ and $F_{k+n, k}^{\circ}$ are the fibers of $\sum^{\frac{\sum^{k}}{}}{ }_{l, n} \rightarrow X_{l, n+k}$ and $\Sigma^{k} K(Z, n) \rightarrow$ $X(Z, n+k)$ respectively. Now ger $j_{l}{ }^{*}=\operatorname{ker}(j, j) *$. Indeed, $H *\left(F_{k+n, k}^{o}\right)$ is composed of the cohomology of $\Sigma^{k} K(Z, n)$ which is not in in $H^{*}(K(Z, n+k))$, (i.e. suspension of cup product terms) together with the kernel of the map $H^{*}(\mathbb{K}(Z, n+k)) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\Sigma^{k} K(Z, n)\right)$, i.e., those classes with an excess of greater than $n$ in the Carton basis representation [23]. Clearly $j_{1} *$ maps to zero all cup product terms and all classes which transgress to classes with excess greater than $n$ except those classes which transgress to ${S q^{i}}^{i}, i>n$. But these classes which transgress to ${S q^{i}}^{i}$ are also mapped nontrivially by $j^{*} j_{1}{ }^{*}$.

## MAHOWALD

Hence if there is an $a \in H^{*}\left(X_{D_{0}}\right)$ such that $p^{*} \alpha=0$, then $p_{1}^{*} \alpha$ is zero too. Since $q^{*}=0$ this shows that $f^{*}$ is onto.

The pattern of the above argument is repeated in each successive square, While it is clear that ker $j_{1}{ }^{*}=\operatorname{ker}\left(j_{1} j\right) *$ in this setting it is less clear later on because one does not have a hold on $H^{*}\left(X_{s, q}\right), q=n$ and $n+k$. Now we will do the general case. Consider the following diagram:
3.7


The fiber $Q_{S-1}$, in the induction hypothesis, is the $s-1$ space in a resolution of $F_{n+k, k}$, through $3 n+k-3$, i. $\theta$.
3.8

where 3.8 is an Adams resolution of $F_{n+k, k}$ through dimension $3 n+k-1$. Let $D_{s}$ be defined by $H^{*}\left(F^{s}\right)=$ ker $f^{*}+D_{s^{*}}$ As before, let $X_{D_{s}}$ be a product of Eilenberg MacLane spaces which represents $D_{s}$ and form the fiber space

$$
X_{D_{s}} \rightarrow A_{s^{+}}^{s} \rightarrow A_{s}^{s}
$$

where the image of $D_{s}$ under transgression is the same as in the fiber space

$$
F^{s} \rightarrow \sum^{k_{X}}{ }_{s, n} \rightarrow A_{s}^{s}
$$

The $s+1$ row of 3.4 is induced by 3.9. All that remains is to show that $X_{D_{s}}$ is the correct sth fiber in 3.8 and this requires only that $f^{*}$ be onto. For this we go to the previous stage obtaining the diagram below where the top roir is defined in 3.7 while the middle row is the fiber from $\sum^{k k^{k}} X_{s-1, n} \rightarrow X_{s-1, n+k}$, and so forth. The following lemma implies that $f^{*}$ is onto as above. IEMMA 3.10. In this diagram ker $j_{s}{ }^{*}=\operatorname{ker}\left(j_{s} j^{*}\right.$ *.


Proof. Consider the tower of fiber spaces


Just as 2.3 is associated with 2.1 there is a cohomology spectral sequence associated with this tower whose $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ term is

$$
E_{1}=\sum_{i} H *\left(C^{i}\right)
$$

and whose $E_{\infty}$ is a graded group associated with $H *\left(F_{n+k, k}^{s}\right)$. Barcus and Meyer [6] prove that $C^{i}=\Sigma^{k-i} X_{s, n+i} X_{s, n+i}$ at least through $3 n+k-1$ dimensions, where $c^{i}$ is the fiber of $\Sigma^{k-i+1} \bar{x}_{s, n+i} \rightarrow \Sigma^{k-i+2} x_{s, n+i-1}$. If $\beta_{i}, r_{i}$ are $\varepsilon H *\left(X_{s-1, n-i}\right)$ then $j_{s}^{*}\left(\beta_{i}^{*} r_{i}\right)=\left(\rho_{s-1}^{*} \beta_{i}\right) * \rho_{s-1}^{*}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)=0$ unless $\beta_{i}=r_{i}=a_{i}$ where $a_{i}$ is the fundamental class of $x_{s-1, n-i}$. But $j^{*} j_{s} *\left(\alpha_{i}{ }^{*} \alpha_{i}\right) \neq 0$ for each $i$, hence the lemma holds for $E_{1}$ in this spectral sequence. Now ( $s^{k-i} \alpha_{i} * \alpha_{i}$ ) projects to a non-zero class in $E_{\infty}$ and $j^{*} j_{s}{ }^{*}$ on these classes in $E_{\infty}$ is an isomorphism. This implies the lemma.

The proof of this lemma completes the proof of the existence of 3.4 with 3.8 being a resolution of $F_{n+k}, k^{*}$

Now consider the resolution

$$
\sum^{k} Y_{n} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow A_{s}^{s} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow A_{1}^{1} \rightarrow K(Z, n+k)
$$

At each stage the fiber is a product of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces since in going from $A_{s}{ }^{s}$ to $A_{s+1}^{s}$ the fiber consists of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces in dimensions above $2 n+k$ while in going from $A_{s+1}^{s}$ to $A_{s+1}^{s+1}$ the dimensions of the homotopy in the fiber are all less than $2 n+k$. Thus this is an admissible
resolution. Let $E_{r}^{s, t}$ be the spectral sequence associated with it. Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{2}^{s, t} & =\operatorname{Ext}^{s, t}\left(Z_{2}, z_{2}\right), t-s<n-1 \\
& =\operatorname{Ext}^{s, t}\left(\widetilde{H}^{*}\left(P_{n}^{n+k-1}\right), z_{2}\right), n \leq t-s<n-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the spectral sequence based on 3.11 splits into the Adams spectral sequince for a sphere if $t-s<n-1$ and the one for $P_{n}^{n+k-1}$ for $n \leq t-s<n-1$. There is a map of an Adams resolution of $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}}$ into the resolution of 3.11 which induces a map between spectral sequences $\lambda: \mathbb{E}_{r}^{\mathrm{s}}, \mathrm{t}\left(\mathrm{S}^{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}}$. The map of the theorem is obtained by just considering the portion of $\mathrm{E}_{r}^{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}}$ for $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{s}>\mathrm{n}-1$. This gives

$$
I_{k}{ }^{r}: \mathbb{E}_{r}^{s, t}\left(S^{0}\right) \rightarrow E_{r}^{s, t}\left(P_{n}^{n+k-1}\right)
$$

The module statement is clear by considering the entire spectral sequence as mapped by $\lambda$. Proposition 3.3 shows that $I_{k}^{\infty}$ is the map associated with $I_{k}$ of theorem $I$ and this completes the proof of theorem $A$.
4. THE MAP $\lambda$.

Adams [5] has shown that $\left.\tilde{K}\left(P_{1}{ }^{n}\right)=Z_{(2 \varphi(n)}\right)$ where $\varphi(n)$ is a well defined function whose exact value is not important here. Let $H_{n}$ be the generator of this group. It is well known that $H_{n}$ can be chosen as the Hopi bundle over $P_{n}$. Let $T\left(j H_{n}\right)$ be the $T h o m$ complex of $j H_{n}$. It is easily seen that $T\left(j H_{n}\right)=$ $P_{j}^{j+n}$. Hence $\left(2^{\varphi(n)}-1\right) H_{n}=P_{2^{\varphi}}^{2^{\varphi(n)}}-1$-1+n . By James periodicity [13] $P_{2^{2^{(n)}}}{ }^{(n)}-1+n$ $=\Sigma^{2^{\varphi(n)}} P_{0}^{n-1}$ where $P_{0}=P_{1} \cup\{p t$.$\} if n$ satisfies: $n^{\prime}<n$ implies $\varphi\left(n^{\prime}\right)<$ $\varphi(\mathrm{n})$. Consider the Dupe sequence

$$
\mathrm{s}^{2^{\varphi(n)}-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{2 \varphi(n)-1}^{2 \varphi(n)-1+n} \rightarrow \Sigma^{2 \varphi(n)}{ }_{P_{0}^{n-1}} \xrightarrow{\lambda^{\prime}} \mathrm{s}^{2 \varphi(n)} .
$$

The map $\lambda^{\text {nil }}$ clearly defines a map in the stable category of Adams giving $\lambda^{\prime}: P_{0} \rightarrow S^{0}$. Generally we will find the map $\lambda: P_{1} \rightarrow S^{0}$ more useful where $\lambda$ is the restriction.

There is another such map. James [15] has constructed a map $P_{1}^{n} C$
 Let $\bar{\lambda}^{n+1}$ be the adjoint of the composition, ie. $\bar{\lambda}^{n+1}: \Sigma^{n^{n+1}} P_{1}{ }^{n} \rightarrow S^{n+1}$. This
also defines a map in the stable category $\bar{\lambda}$.
Conjecture 4.1. a) $\lambda$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ are the same.
b) $\lambda_{*}$ (or $\bar{\lambda}_{*}$ ) is an epimorphism in both homotopy and in Ext.

In Chapter IV we will verify the conjecture as far as the computations go.
PROPOSITION 4.2. The following diagram is commutative:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ldots \xrightarrow{a} \pi_{j}\left(\Sigma^{n} P_{1}^{n-1}\right) \xrightarrow{b} \pi_{j+k}\left(\Sigma^{n+k_{p}} P_{1}^{n+k-1}\right) \xrightarrow{c} \pi_{j-1}\left(\Sigma^{n-1} P_{n}^{n+k-1}\right) \rightarrow \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

for $j<4 n-3$ where $a, b$, and $c$ are suspensions or desuspensions of correspounding maps in

$$
\Sigma^{n} P_{I}^{n-1} \rightarrow \Sigma^{n} P_{1}^{n+k-1} \rightarrow \Sigma^{n_{P}} P_{n}^{n+k-1}
$$

which is a fibration for our range of dimensions.
Proof. We will first prove the proposition for $k=1$. Let $g: s^{n-1} \rightarrow$ $P_{1}^{n-1}$ be the attaching map for the $n-c e l l$ of $P_{1}^{n}$. Let $f: P_{1}^{n-1} \rightarrow B S O(n-1)$ be the classifying map for any $n-1$ plane bundle which is stably $\left(2^{\varphi(n)}-1\right) H_{n-1}$.

We have the diagram

where $h$ is the classifying map of $2^{\varphi(n)}-1$ (as a stable bundle). If $f g \simeq 0$ then $h^{l}$ would exist but since $h * W_{n} \neq 0$, it cannot happen. But $\mathrm{pfg} \simeq 0$ and so there is a map $\overline{\mathrm{f}}: \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \rightarrow V_{\mathrm{n}-1}$. If n is even, then $[\overline{\mathrm{f}}]$ generates $\pi_{\mathrm{n}-1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)$; if $n$ is odd, then $f$ can be chosen so that $[\bar{f}]$ generates $\pi_{n-1}\left(V_{n-1}\right)$. Hence the bundle corresponding to fg is just the tangent bundle of $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}-1}$.

This gives

$$
S^{n-1} U_{\left[l_{n-1}, l_{n-1}\right]} e^{2 n-2} \rightarrow T(f)=S^{n-1} U_{\lambda^{n-1}} \Sigma^{n} P_{0}^{n-2}
$$


where $\sigma \simeq\left[\tau_{n-1}, \tau_{n-1}\right]$. This gives


James has shown that $S^{n-1} / S^{2 n-3} \simeq \Omega S^{n}$ through the $3 n-5$ skeleton and if we replace $S^{n-1} / S^{2 n-3}$ by $\Omega S^{n}$ the resulting sequence in homotopy is exact through dimension $4 n-7$. This gives the proposition for $k=1$. The induction argomont is the same kind of argument as used to prove 3.1.

Note that this proposition is trivial for $\bar{\lambda}$.
REMARK. If conjecture 4.l.b were valid then this proposition would suffice for providing the kind of map described in theorem A. Since we do not have it we can use 4.2 for computation involving early stems (up to 44 ) and we use theorem A for any general result.

COROLLARY 4.3. Suppose $\alpha \in \pi_{j+k}\left(S^{n+k}\right)$, $k$ large, $j<4 n-3$, and $\alpha \varepsilon$ in $\lambda_{*}^{n+k}$. Then $I_{k}(\alpha) \neq 0$ iff $c(\bar{\alpha}) \neq 0$ for any $\bar{\alpha}$ such that $\lambda_{*}^{n+k}(\bar{\alpha})=\alpha$.

This result suggests the following definition.
DEFINITION 4.4. Let $a$ be an element of either Ext or $\pi_{*}$ for a sphere. Let i: $S^{n} \rightarrow P_{n}$, the inclusion onto the bottom cell. Suppose there is a $j$ such that for $P_{n-j} \xrightarrow{p} P_{n^{i}}(\alpha) \notin$ jim $p_{*}$ stably. Let $j$ be the smallest integer with this property. Then consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
s^{n-j} \rightarrow P_{n-j} \xrightarrow{p_{2}} & P_{n-j+1} \\
& P_{n}^{\downarrow p_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the root of $\alpha, \sqrt{\alpha}$ we mean $\alpha_{*}(\bar{\alpha})$ for any $\bar{\alpha}$ satisfying $p_{1}{ }^{*} \bar{\alpha}=1 * a$. Then $n-j$ is the dimension of the root.
PROPOSITION 4.5. Let $\alpha$ be as in 4.4. If $\alpha \varepsilon$ jim $I_{k}$ and if there is an $\bar{a}$ such that $I_{k}(\bar{\alpha})=\alpha$ and $\bar{\alpha} \varepsilon$ in $\lambda_{*}^{n+k}$ then $\alpha$ has an imaginary root, ie., $j \geq n$.

## THE METASTABLE HOMOTOPY OF $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{n}}$

This is clear from 4.2 and the definition.
PROPOSITION 4.6. Suppose a $\varepsilon \pi_{q}\left(S^{n}\right)$, and $a$ has a root of dimension $q^{\prime}$ such that $3 q^{\prime}-2>q+n$ then $P_{k}(\alpha) \neq 0$.

Proof. Consider the diagram


Let $j=2 n+q$, then $i_{*} \alpha \varepsilon \pi_{j-1}\left(\Sigma^{n-1} P_{n}^{n+k-1}\right)$. The restriction on $q^{\prime}$ which is important is $4 q^{1}-3>j-n+q^{1}-1$ or $3 q^{\prime}-2>q+n$. Then $i_{*} \alpha \notin$ om $I_{k}$ since $i_{*} \alpha \notin$ in $p_{*} I_{k^{\prime}}$. Hence $P_{k}(\alpha) \neq 0$.

## CHAPTER III

THE CALCULATION OF $\pi_{k+p}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ FOR $\mathrm{p} \leq 29$

1. In this chapter we introduce a spectral sequence which leads to an easy calculation of Ext for $H^{*}\left(P_{k}\right)$. We then compute all the differentials in this sequence and in Adams's spectral sequence which are needed to give the homotopy groups of $P_{k}$ in a range of dimensions. The results are complete modulo group extensions through $p=27$. Almost complete results are obtained for $p=28$ and 29. Tables at the end of the chapter summarize these calculations, The explanation of the tables is in section 8. Frequent reference is made to the tables during the calculations and so some familiarity with section 8 is required to follow these arguments. These calculations extend the results announced in [12]. The method of calculation there is totally different.
2. Consider the collection of cofibrations

$$
P_{n}^{n+k-1} \xrightarrow{i_{k}} P_{n}^{n+k} \xrightarrow{p_{k}} S^{n+k}
$$

for a fixed n. The cohomology sequence of these cofibrations all break into short exact sequences of length 3 .

Hence $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{A}}$ applied to the cohomology gives a long exact sequence [2; 2.6.1],

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s, t}\left(\tilde{H} *\left(P_{n}^{n+k-1}\right), z_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s, t}\left(\tilde{H} *\left(P_{n}^{n+k}\right), z_{2}\right) \\
& \left.\rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s, t}\left(\tilde{H} *\left(S^{n+k}\right), z_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s+1}, t_{(\tilde{H} *}\left(P_{n}^{n+k-1}\right), z_{2}\right) \rightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

The entire system gives rise to the following exact couple
2.1

whose $E_{\infty}$ term is a group associated with $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s, t}\left(\tilde{H} *\left(P_{n}\right), Z_{2}\right)$. Since both are vector spaces over $Z_{2}$, the $\mathrm{E}_{\infty}$ term is isomorphic, as a vector space, to $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s, t}\left(\tilde{H}^{*}\left(P_{n}\right), Z_{2}\right)$. As a module over $H^{*}(A)$ the two are not isomorphic. We are -able to recover much of the module structure by a more careful analysis of the
couple together with some geometric considerations.
For the remainder of this chapter we take $n$ to be fixed. It is convenient for notational purposes to decrease the t-filtration of each term of 2.1 by $n$. Then the $E_{1}$ term of 2.1 is

$$
E_{I}^{s, t}=\sum_{k} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s, t}\left(H^{*}\left(S^{k}\right), Z_{2}\right)
$$

Clearly $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s, t}\left(\tilde{H} *\left(S^{k}\right), Z_{2}\right) \simeq H^{s, t-k}(A)$ and so $E_{1}^{s, t, k}=H^{s, t-k}(A)$. Let $a \in E_{1}^{s, t, k}$, then $a$ is identified with $\tilde{\alpha}_{s, t-k} \varepsilon H^{s, t-k}(A)$. We will use the name of $\tilde{\alpha}$ as given in table 8.1 together with the additional subscript $k$. For example the non-zero element in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{l}}^{\mathrm{l}}, \mathrm{k}^{+2}, \mathrm{k}$ is written $\mathrm{h}_{1}, \mathrm{k}^{*}$ * Hence generically $a_{k}$ is in $E_{l}^{*},{ }^{++} k, k$ with $a$ being the label of an element in $H^{*}, *(A)$.

The differentials $\delta_{r}$ of this spectral sequence are maps $\delta_{r}: E_{r}^{s, t, k} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{E}_{r}^{s+l, t, k-r}$. Each class in $E_{r}^{s, t, k}$ has a representation $\alpha_{k}$ where $\alpha \in H^{s}, t-k(A)$ and we will describe $\delta_{r} \alpha_{k}$ by giving an operation $\delta_{r}{ }^{\prime}: H^{s, t-k}(A) \rightarrow H^{s+1, t-k+r}(A)$. It is clear that $\delta_{r}{ }^{\prime}$ describes completely $\delta_{r}$.
PROPOSITION 2.1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{1}^{\prime} \alpha_{k} & =h_{0} \alpha_{k-1} & & n+k \equiv 0(2) \\
& =0 & & n+k \equiv 1(2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The definition of a differential in an exact couple asserts that $\delta_{1}$ is the composite $p_{k-1} * \delta_{k}$ which we can think of as coming from the geometric maps $S^{n+k} \xrightarrow{\partial_{k}} \Sigma P_{n}^{n+k-1} \xrightarrow{P_{k-1}} S^{n+k}$. But consider


Hence $\delta_{1}$ is just $\partial_{*}$. But $P_{n+k-1}^{n+k}=S^{n+k-1} \cup_{2 \imath} e^{n+k}$ if $n+k \equiv O(2)$ and it is a wedge otherwise. [2: $2,6,1]$ completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 2.3. $\quad$| $\delta_{2}{ }^{t} a_{k}$ | $=h_{1} a_{k-2}$ | $n+k$ | $\equiv 0,1(4)$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | $=0$ |  | $\equiv 2,3(4)$. |

Proof. As in proof of 2.2 we get the diagram below. If $a_{k} \varepsilon E_{2}$ then $\bar{p}_{*} \partial_{*} a_{k}=0$ and so $\partial_{*} a_{k}=i_{*} \beta_{k-2}$ for some $\beta_{k-2}$ and $\delta_{2} a_{k}=\beta_{k-2}$. To determine
*In many places it is more convenient to index by a prefix and the symbol $a_{k}$ and $k^{\alpha}$ are to be identified. The latter appears in the tables.
2.3 .1
$\beta_{k-2}$ observe that if $n+k \equiv O(2)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{ll}
P_{n+k-2}^{n+k-1} \rightarrow & P_{n+k-2}^{n+k} \rightarrow S^{n+k} \\
i \uparrow \downarrow^{n} & \downarrow
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CP}_{(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-2) / 2}^{(n+k) / 2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}} \underset{\mathrm{\sigma}^{2}}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-1} \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\bar{i} \bar{\partial} f$ is just $a$ of 2.3 .1 restricted to image of $\bar{i}$. But $\bar{\partial}_{*^{a}}=h_{1} a$ if $n+k \equiv 0(4)$ and 0 if $n+k \equiv 2(4)$ by [2:2.6.1]. On the other hand, if $n+k \equiv$ I(4) we have the James map [15] giving

Now $\bar{i} \bar{\partial}=0$ and so $\delta_{2}^{\prime} \alpha_{k}=h_{1} \alpha_{k-2}, n+k \equiv 1(4)$

$$
=0, \quad n+k \equiv 3(4)
$$

and this completes the proof.
PROPOSITION 2.4.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{3}{ }^{\prime} a_{k} & =\left\langle h_{0}, h_{1}, a\right\rangle_{k-3} & & k+n \equiv 0(4) \\
& =\left\langle h_{1}, h_{0}, a\right\rangle_{k-k} & & k+n \equiv 2(4) \\
& =0 & & k+n \equiv 1,3(4)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Consider the sequence


Applying Ext to this diagram we get the following diagram.
If $a_{k} \varepsilon E^{s} t^{s, t}\left(S^{n+k}\right)$ is in $E_{3}$ of the spectral sequence then there is an $\bar{\alpha}_{k} \varepsilon \operatorname{Ext}^{s, t}\left(P_{n+k-2}^{n+k}\right)$ such that $p_{1} * p_{2} * \bar{a}_{k}=\alpha_{k}$. Also it is clear from the
$\left.E x t_{A}^{s, t}\left(\tilde{H} *\left(P_{n+k-3}^{n+k}\right), Z_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{p_{3 *}} E x t^{s, t}\left(P_{n+k-2}^{n+k}\right) \xrightarrow{P_{2}} E_{x t^{s}, t}^{\left(P_{n+k-1}^{n+k}\right.}\right) \xrightarrow{p_{2}} E_{x t^{s}, t}\left(S^{n+k}\right)$ $E x t^{s, t}\left(S^{n+k-3}\right)$.
definition that $\delta_{3}{ }^{\prime} \alpha_{k}=\delta \bar{\alpha}_{k}$. Now suppose $n+k \equiv 2(4)$. Then $p_{1}{ }^{*}\left\langle I_{k-1}, h_{0}, \alpha\right\rangle$ $=a_{k}$ and the Massey product is defined since $h_{0} a_{k}=\delta_{1}{ }^{\prime} a_{k}=0$ because $a_{k} \varepsilon E_{2}$. There is a class $\bar{I}_{k-1}$ such that $p_{2}{ }^{*} \bar{I}_{k-1}=I_{k-1}$ and $h_{0} \bar{I}_{k-1}=0$ and so we can form the product $\left\langle\bar{I}_{k-1}, h_{0}, \alpha\right\rangle \varepsilon \operatorname{Ext}^{s, t}\left(P_{n+k-2}^{n+k}\right)$. Now $\delta\left\langle\bar{I}_{k-1}, h_{0}, \alpha\right\rangle$ $=\left\langle\delta \bar{I}_{k-1}, h_{0}, a\right\rangle=\left\langle h_{1}, h_{0}, a\right\rangle_{k-3}$ by the argument used in the proof of 2.3. Now suppose $n+k \equiv 0(4)$. Then $p_{1} *\left\langle 1_{k-1}, h_{0}, \alpha\right\rangle=\alpha_{k}$. As above there is a $\bar{I}_{k-1}$ such that $p_{2}^{*} \bar{I}_{k-1}=I_{k-1}$ but a simple direct calculation shows $h_{0} \bar{I}_{k-1}$ $=h_{1} l_{k-2} \neq 0$ since this formula is an immediate consequence of $\mathrm{Sq}^{2} \alpha^{n+k-2}=$ $\mathrm{Sq}^{1} a^{n+k-1}=a^{n+k}, n+k \equiv 0$ (4).

$$
p_{2} *\left\langle_{I_{k-2}, h_{1}}^{I_{k-1}, h_{0}},{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle I_{k-1}, h_{0}, a\right\rangle
$$

and the left side exists since $a_{k}$ is in $E^{3}$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\delta \bar{I}_{k-1} \bar{I}_{k-2}, h_{1}, a\right\rangle & =\left\langle{ }_{\left.8 I_{k-2}, h_{1}, a\right\rangle}^{8 \bar{I}_{k-1}} h_{0}\right\rangle=\left\langle\hat{h}_{0, h_{1}, a}^{0, h_{0}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle h_{0}, h_{1}, a\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $P_{n+k-3}^{n+k}=S^{n+k-3} \vee P_{n+k-1}^{n+k-1} \vee S^{n+k}$ if $n+k \equiv 3(4), 8_{3}^{\prime}$ is zero in this congruence. Finally suppose $n+k \equiv 1(4)$. We have, using the James map,


Now $\delta_{3}$ is defined by looking at $i: \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-2} \rightarrow \Sigma \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-3}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-1}$ and comparing in $i_{*}$ with in $\partial_{*^{*}}$. Since jim $i_{*} \cap$ in $\bar{i}_{*}=\{0\}$ and $\partial_{*}=(\bar{i} \bar{\partial})_{*}$, we see that in $\partial_{*} \cap$ in $i_{*}=\{0\}$ or $\delta_{3}^{1}=0$. This completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 2.5.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{4}^{\prime} a_{k} & =h_{2} a_{k} & & k+n \equiv 0,1,2,3(8) \\
& =0 & & k+n \equiv 4,5,6,7(8) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of this proposition follows closely the proof of 2.3 and we leave it to the reader.

This much of the computation is sufficient to get all of the calculations of Paechter [22].
3. SOME ALGEBRA EXTENSIONS.

Rather than continuing the step by step computations of the preceding section it is useful to recover some of the module structure and to use it to get further differentials.
PROPOSITION 3.1. Let $n+k \equiv O(4)$. Then in $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{0, n+k-1}\left(\tilde{H} *\left(P_{n+k-2}^{n+k}\right), Z_{2}\right)$ there is an element, $I_{k-1}$ and $h_{0} 1_{k-1}=h_{1} 1_{k-2}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that a basis of the Steenrod algebra for $\tilde{H} *\left(P_{n+k-2}^{n+k}\right)$ is given by $a^{n+k-2}$ and $a^{n+k-1}$. The class represented by $a^{n+k-1}$ in $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}\left(H *\left(r_{n+k-2}^{n+k}\right), Z_{2}\right)$ is $I_{k-1}$. Since $S q^{1} a^{n+k-1}=S q^{2} a^{n+k-2}=a^{n+k}$ we see $h_{0} k_{k-1}=h_{1}{ }_{k-2}$.
PROPOSITION 3.2. Let $n+k \equiv 0(2)$. Then in

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{2, n+k+4}\left(\tilde{H} *\left(P_{n+k-1}^{n+k}\right), z_{2}\right), I_{k-1}, h_{1}^{2}=\left\langle I_{k-1}, h_{0}, h_{1}\right\rangle h_{0} .
$$

The proof is obvious in this context.
PROPOSITION 3.3. Let $n+k \equiv 1$ (4). Then in $\operatorname{Ext}^{2, n+k+6}\left(\widetilde{H}\left(P_{n+k-2}^{n+k}\right), z_{2}\right)$ there is a class $\beta$ such that $h_{0} \beta \neq 0$ and
a) under $p: p_{n+k-2}^{n+k} \rightarrow S^{n+k}, p_{*} \beta=I_{k} h_{1}^{2}$
b) under $\mathrm{p}: \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-2}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}} \vee \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-1}, \mathrm{p}_{*} \beta \mathrm{~h}_{0}=I_{\mathrm{k}-1} \mathrm{~h}_{1}{ }^{3}$.

Proof. Consider the sequence

$$
s^{n+k-2} \rightarrow \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-2}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}} \vee \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-1}
$$

Applying the Ext functor we get a long exact sequence where $\delta I_{k}=I_{k-2} h_{1}$ and ${ }^{81} I_{k-1}=I_{k-2} h_{0}$ since $S q^{2} \alpha^{n+k-2}=a^{n+k}$ and $S q^{1} a^{n+k-2}=a^{n+k-1}$. Hence $\delta\left(I_{k-1} h_{0} h_{2}+I_{k} h_{1}^{2}\right)=0$ and this defines $\beta$ which satisfies the proposition.

PROPOSTIION 3.4. Let $n+k \equiv I$ (4). Let $a_{k} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}\left(\tilde{H} *\left(S^{n+k}\right), z_{2}\right)$ satisfy: $h_{1} q_{k}=h_{0} a_{k}=0$. Then $\left\langle I_{k-2}, h_{1}, a\right\rangle$ projects to $a_{k}$ under $P_{n+k-2}^{n+k} \rightarrow S^{n+k}$ and $\left\langle I_{k-2}, h_{1}, a\right\rangle h_{0}=\left\langle h_{1}, a, h_{0}\right\rangle_{k}$.

The proof is clear in view of the argument used for 3.3.
PROPOSITION 3.5. Let $n+k \equiv 0(8)$. In Ext $\left(H^{*}\left(P_{n+k-4}^{n+k}\right), z_{2}\right), I_{k-1} h_{0}=I_{k-4} h_{2}$.
Proof. As before this follows directly from $S q^{1} \alpha^{n+k-1}=S q^{4} \alpha^{n+k-4}$ for the given congruence.

PROPOSITION 3.6. If $n+k \equiv 5$ (8) then $l_{k} h_{2}=I_{k+2} h_{1}$ in Ext for $\tilde{H} *\left(P_{n+k}^{n+k+4}\right)$.
Proof. It is sufficient to verify that $S q^{4} \alpha^{n+k}=S q^{2} \alpha^{n+k+2}$.
PROPOSITION 3.7. a) If $n \equiv 3$ (4) there is a class $j_{2} \varepsilon$ Ext $^{7, n+k+33}\left(H *\left(P_{n}^{n+2}\right), z_{2}\right)$ such that in $S^{n} \longrightarrow P_{n}^{n+2} \longrightarrow S^{n+2}, p_{*}\left(j_{2}\right)=j$ and $h_{0}\left(j_{2}\right)=i_{*} P^{l} g$.
b) If $n \equiv 3(4)$ there is a class $i_{2} \varepsilon \operatorname{Ext}^{7, n+k+30}\left(H^{*}\left(p_{n}^{n+2}\right), z_{2}\right)$ such that $p_{*} i_{2}$ $=i$ and $h_{0}\left(i_{2}\right)=i_{*} P^{l} e_{0}$.
PROPOSITION 3.8. a) In Ext for $P_{n}^{n+3}, n \equiv I(4)$ there is a class $\left(h_{0} h_{2} g\right)_{3}$ such that in $S^{n} \vec{i} P_{n} \vec{p} S^{n+3}, p_{*}\left(h_{0} h_{2} g\right)_{3}=h_{0} h_{2} g$ and $h_{0}\left(h_{0} h_{2} g\right)_{3}=i_{*}(j)$.
b) With the same data there is a class $\left(h_{0}{ }^{2} g\right)_{3}$ such that $p_{*}\left(h_{0}{ }^{2} g\right)_{3}=h_{0}{ }^{2} g$ and $h_{0}\left(h_{0}^{2} g\right)_{3}=i_{*}(i)$.

Proof of 3.7 and 3.8 . Consider the sequence
where the integers are intended to represent congruence classes mod 4 of $n+k$. By the computations made already and by the proof of the first part of provosition 402 (which does not use these propositions) we see that there is a class $\left(h_{1}^{2}\right)_{9}$ such that $i_{*}\left(h_{1}^{2}\right)_{9}=h_{1}^{2}$ and $\left.h_{0}^{2}\left(h_{1}^{2}\right)_{9}=i_{6} \ldots i_{2 *}<i_{3}, h_{0}^{4}, h_{3}\right\rangle$. The class $\left\langle I_{3}, h_{0}^{4}, h_{3}\right\rangle$ has the property that if $h_{3} a=0$ then $\left\langle I_{3}, h_{0}^{4}, h_{3}\right\rangle a=$

course we cannot be sure that $\left(h_{7}{ }^{2}\right)_{9} h_{0}{ }^{2} g \neq 0$. In Ext for $P_{3}{ }^{8}$ there is a class $\left(h_{1}{ }^{3}\right)_{8}$ such that $i_{6 *}\left(h_{1}^{3}\right)_{8}=\left(h_{1}^{2}\right)_{9} h_{0}$. Now $\left(h_{1}{ }^{3}\right)_{8} h_{0} g=i_{5} \cdots i_{1} *\left(P^{1} g\right)$ and by inspection this map is non-zero, since only $j \in E^{7,39}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{6}\right)$ could "kill" $\left(\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{~g}\right)_{3}$ and it kills $h_{0} j$. But this implies $i_{5} \ldots i_{1} *\left(P^{l} g\right)$ can be divided by $h_{0}$. Again by inspection only $j_{5}$ satisfying $i_{5} * j_{5}=j$ could satisfy $i_{5} \ldots i_{3} *\left(j_{5}\right) h_{0}=$ $i_{5} \ldots i_{1} * P^{l} g$. This proves 3.7 a) and in a similar fashion using e instead of g proves 3.8 a ).

We have shown that $q_{9} \cdots q_{6}{ }^{* j}=h_{0} g P_{6}{ }^{*}\left(h_{1}{ }^{2}\right)_{q}$, i.e., $q_{9} \ldots q_{6}{ }^{* j}$ can be divided by $h_{0}$. A quick inspection of table 8.1 shows that the only possibility is $\left(h_{0} h_{2} g\right)_{8}$. This gives 3.7 b ) and the same argument using $\theta_{0}$ and $i$ shows 3.8 b).

PROPOSITION 3.9. If $n+k \equiv 15$ (16) then $h_{0} I_{k}=h_{3} I_{k-7}$ (together with what 3.5 implies) in Ext for $P_{n+k-7}^{n+k+1}$.

Proof. This is clear since $S^{8} \alpha^{n+k-7}=\alpha^{n+k+1}=S q^{1} a^{n+k}$ for this congruence.
PROPOSITION 3.10. $h_{0}\left(h_{1} h_{3}\right)_{k}=\left(h_{0} h_{3}{ }^{2}\right)_{k-6}$ for $k+n \equiv 6(8)$.
Proof. Consider the sequence

$$
S^{n+k-7} \rightarrow P_{n+k-7}^{n+k+\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{p} P_{n+k-6}^{n+k+\varepsilon}
$$

with $\varepsilon=0$ or 2 , and for $k+n \equiv 6(15)$. Now $P_{n+k-6}^{n+k+2}=S^{n+k-6} \vee P_{n+k-5}^{n+k-2}$, ${ }^{81} 1_{k-6}=$ $h_{0} I_{k-7}$ and $\delta I_{k+1}=h_{3} I_{k-7}$. Hence $\delta\left(h_{0} h_{3} I_{k+1}+h_{3}^{2} I_{k-6}\right)=0$. Let $\beta$ satisfy $p_{*} \beta=\left(h_{0} h_{3} l_{k+1}+h_{3}^{2} I_{k-6}\right)$. Then $p_{*} h_{0} \beta=h_{0} h_{3}^{2} \eta_{k-6}$. Since $h_{0} h_{3} I_{k+1}=\left(h_{1} h_{3}\right)_{k}$ the proposition is established for $\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k} \equiv 6$ (15). Since it only involves six cells periodicity completes the proof.
PROPOSITION 3.11. If $n+k \equiv 3(8)$, then $h_{2}{ }^{2} I_{k}=h_{1}\left(h_{2}\right)_{k+2}$.
Proof. Consider the sequence

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}+1} \xrightarrow{i} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}+2} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}+2} .
$$

Then $p_{*}\left\langle I_{k}, h_{1}, h_{2}\right\rangle=h_{2}$ so $\left\langle I_{k}, h_{1}, h_{2}\right\rangle=\left(h_{2}\right)_{k}$. Now $\left\langle I_{k}, h_{1}, h_{2}\right\rangle h_{1}=i_{*} h_{2}{ }^{2}$. PROPOSITION 3.12. If $n+k \equiv 7(8)$ then $h_{1}\left(h_{2}^{2}\right)_{k+4}=\left(h_{2}^{3}\right)_{k+2}$.

Proof. Consider the sequence
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$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}+1} \xrightarrow{i} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}+4} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}+2}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}+4}
$$

By 2.5 we see $81_{k+4}=h_{2} 1_{k}$ while $8 I_{k+2}=h_{1} I_{k}$. Hence $\delta\left(h_{3}{ }^{2}\right)_{k+4}=\left(h_{2}{ }^{3}\right)_{k}=$ $\delta\left(\left(h_{1} h_{3}\right)_{k+2}\right)$. Hence $\delta\left[\left(h_{2}^{2}\right)_{k+4}+\left(h_{1} h_{3}\right)_{k+2}\right]=0$. This class will represent $\left(h_{2}^{2}\right)_{k+4}$ in the spectral sequence and $h_{1}\left[\left(h_{2}^{2}\right)_{k+4}+\left(h_{1} h_{3}\right)_{k+2}\right]=\left(h_{1}^{2} h_{3}\right)_{k+2}$ $=\left(h_{2}{ }^{3}\right)_{k+2}$.
PROPOSITION 3.13. If $k+n \equiv 5(8)$ then $\left(h_{3}{ }^{2}\right)_{k+4} h_{1}=\left(c_{1}\right)_{k}$.
Proof. Consider the sequence

$$
\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{n}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}^{+} \mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{k}^{+} \mathrm{n}+4} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}^{+} \mathrm{n}+1}^{\mathrm{k}^{+} \mathrm{n}+4} .
$$

Then $p_{*}\left\langle I_{k}, h_{2}, h_{3}{ }^{2}\right\rangle=\left(h_{3}{ }^{2}\right)_{k+4}$ while $\left\langle I_{k}, h_{2}, h_{3}{ }^{2}\right\rangle h_{1}=i_{*}\left\langle h_{2}, h_{3}{ }^{2}, h_{1}\right\rangle=c_{1}$.
An argument similar to 3.11 gives
PROPOSITION 3.14. If $n+k \equiv 3(8)$, then $h_{1}\left(c_{1}\right)_{k+2}=\left(h_{2} c_{1}\right)_{k}$.
PROPOSITION 3.15. a) If $k+n \equiv 3(8)$ then $h_{0}\left(h_{3}^{2}\right)_{k}=\left(c_{1}\right)_{k-5^{*}}$
b) If $k+n \equiv 6(8)$ then $h_{0}\left(c_{1}\right)_{k}=\left(h_{2} c_{1}\right)_{k-3}$.

Proof. Consider the sequence

$$
S^{n+k-5} \xrightarrow{i} P_{n+k-5}^{n+k} \xrightarrow{p} P_{n+k-4}^{n+k} \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} S^{n+k}
$$

Then $\left.\bar{p}_{*} p_{*}<l_{n+k-4}, h_{2}, h_{3}{ }^{2}\right\rangle=h_{3}{ }^{2}$. Now $\left\langle I_{n+k-4}, h_{2}, h_{3}{ }^{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle I_{n+k-L_{4}}, h_{2}, h_{3}>h_{3}\right.$ and $h_{0}\left\langle I_{n+k-4}, h_{2}, h_{3}\right\rangle=\left\langle I_{n+k-5}, h_{2}, h_{1} h_{3}\right\rangle$. Multiplication by $h_{3}$ completes the proof. The proof of $b$ is easy and similar.
4. The determination of $\delta_{5}$, seems to be more complicated and some special attention is required. By inspection of table 8.1 we see that the only possibilities are:
(a) $\quad\left(P^{j} h_{0}{ }^{i} h_{2}\right)_{k} \rightarrow\left(p^{j} h_{0}{ }^{i+1} h_{3}\right)_{k-5}$
(b) $\left(\mathrm{P}^{j}{ }_{0}{ }_{0}{ }^{3} h_{3}\right)_{k} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{P}^{j} \mathrm{~h}_{2}\right)_{k-5}$
(c) $\left(\mathrm{h}_{0} \mathrm{~h}_{3}{ }^{2}\right)_{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{h}_{0}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~h}_{2} \mathrm{~h}_{4}\right)_{\mathrm{k}-5}$
(d) $\left(h_{0} h_{3}{ }^{2}\right)_{k} \rightarrow\left(f_{0}\right)_{k-5}$
(e) $\left(\mathrm{P}^{i} \mathrm{~d}_{0}\right)_{k} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{P}^{i} \mathrm{~h}_{0} \mathrm{f}_{0}\right)_{k-5}$
(f) $\left(P^{i_{e}}\right)_{k} \rightarrow\left(P^{i_{h}}\right)_{k-5}$

Because of the parity either one side or the other of (a) and (c) is zero for each $k=E_{5}$; hence (a) and (c) contribute nothing. A check of the previous propositions shows that both sides of (b) are present in $E_{5}$ only if $n+k$ 三 $4(8)$. Again a check of the previous propositions shows that both sides of (d) are defined when $k+n \equiv 0,2,4(8)$. Both sides of (e) are defined when $n+k \equiv$ $3(4)$ and finally both sides of ( $f$ ) are present only if $n+k \equiv I(2)$.

With these data we will prove
PROPOSITION 4.I.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { i) } \delta_{5}^{\prime}\left(P^{j} h_{0}^{3} h_{3}\right)_{k} & =\left(P^{j} h_{2}\right)_{k-5}, \\
\text { ii) } \quad \delta_{5}^{\prime}\left(h_{0} h_{3}^{2}\right)_{k} & =\left(f_{0}\right)_{k-5}, \\
\text { iii) } \quad \delta_{5}^{\prime}\left(P^{i} d_{0}\right)_{k} & =\left(P^{i} h_{h_{0}} f_{0}\right)_{k-5}, k+4(8) ; \\
\text { iv) } \quad \delta_{5}^{\prime}\left(P^{i} e_{0}\right)_{k} & \equiv\left(P^{i} h_{1} g\right)_{k-5}, k+n \equiv 1,3(8) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\delta_{5}$ is zero on all other classes.
Proof. i) Consider the sequence

$$
S^{n+k-5} \xrightarrow{i} P_{n+k-5}^{n+k} \xrightarrow{p} \overbrace{n+k-4}^{n+k}
$$

In Ext for $\tilde{H} *\left(P_{n+k-4}^{n+k}\right)$ we can form $\left\langle I_{k-1}, h_{0}^{4}, h_{3}\right\rangle=\beta$ and $\bar{p}_{*} \beta=h_{0}^{3} h_{3}$. Since $\delta_{*} l_{k-1}=I_{k-5} h_{2}$ or zero if $n+k \equiv 4(8)$ or $\equiv O(8)$ respectively i) is established for $j=0$. The periodicity operator is defined on $\beta$ giving $\bar{p}_{*} \mathrm{P}_{\beta}=$ $P^{j} h_{0}^{3} h_{3}$. Thus i) is established.
ii) Consider the diagram


In Ext for $\tilde{H} *\left(P_{n+k-2}^{n+k}\right)$ we can form $\left\langle I_{k-1}, h_{0}^{2} h_{3}, h_{3}\right\rangle=\beta$ and $\bar{p}_{*} \beta=h_{0} h_{3}{ }^{2}$. There are three cases. If $n+k \equiv O(5)$ then $\delta_{* I_{k-1}}$ in the top sequence is zero and
g) $\mathrm{h}_{1}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~h}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{~h}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{1}$
h) $\mathrm{f}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~h}_{2} \mathrm{~g}$
i) $\mathrm{h}_{0} \mathrm{f}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~h}_{0} \mathrm{~h}_{2} \mathrm{~g}$
j) $\mathrm{h}_{1} \mathrm{~g} \rightarrow \mathrm{~h}_{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~g}$
k) $i \rightarrow P^{\perp} g$

Next, by checking both sides against earlier differentials we get the following table.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Formula } & \text { occurs when } \\
\text { a } & n+k \equiv 2 \bmod 8 \\
b, i=0 & \text { never } \\
b, i>0 & n+k \equiv 3 \bmod 8 \\
c & \text { never } \\
d & n+k \equiv 3,5(\bmod 8) \\
e \text { and } f & n+k \equiv 2 \bmod 4 \\
g & n+k \equiv 1 \bmod 8 \\
h & n+k \equiv 3 \bmod 8 \\
i & n+k \equiv 0,2,4(\bmod 8) \\
j & n+k \equiv 0,1,4(\bmod 8) \\
k & n+k \equiv 1 \bmod 2
\end{array}
$$

PROPOSITION 4.2.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { i) } \delta_{6}^{\prime} h_{1}=h_{2}^{2} & n+k \equiv 2(8) \\
& \delta_{6}^{\prime} h_{1} d_{0}=h_{0}^{2} g \\
& \\
\delta_{6}^{\prime} h_{1} h_{4}=h_{3}^{3} & \\
\text { ii) } \delta_{6}^{\prime} h_{3}^{2}=c_{1} & n+k \equiv 5(8) \\
\text { iii) } \delta_{6}^{\prime} f_{0}=h_{2} g & n+k \equiv 3(8) \\
\text { iv) } \delta_{6}^{\prime} h_{0} f_{0}=h_{0} h_{2} g & n \equiv 0,2(8) \\
\text { v) } \delta_{6}^{\prime} P^{i} h_{2}=P^{i-1} h_{1} d_{0}=p^{i}\left(h_{1} h_{3}\right)^{i>0} & n+k \equiv 3(8) \\
\text { vi) } \delta_{6}^{\prime} h_{1} g=h_{2}^{2} g & n+k \equiv 0,1(8) \\
\text { vii) } \delta_{6}^{\prime} i=P^{l} g & n+k \equiv 3,5(8) .
\end{array}
$$

The rest are zero.
Proof. The three parts of i) are equivalent. Consider the sequence

$$
P_{n+k-6}^{n+k-1} \rightarrow P_{n+k-6}^{n+k+2} \rightarrow P_{n+k}^{n+k+2}
$$

where $n+k \equiv 2(8)$. In Ext for $P_{n+k}^{n+k+2}, h_{0} l_{k+1}=h_{1} l_{k}$ by 3.1. In the long exact sequence of Ext for this cofibration $81_{k+1}=h_{2} 1_{k-3}$. Now in Ext for $p_{n+k-6}^{n+k-1}, h_{0} I_{k-3}=h_{2} I_{n+k-6}$ by 3.5. Hence $\delta h_{0} 1_{k+1}=\delta\left(h_{1} I_{k}\right)=h_{0} h_{2} 1_{k-3}=$ $h_{2}{ }^{2} l_{n+k-6^{0}}$ This is i) but since $h_{0}{ }^{2} g=h_{2}{ }^{2} d_{0}$ and $h_{3}{ }^{3}=h_{2}{ }^{2} h_{4}$ this implies the other cases too. For cases ii) and iv) the congruence $n+k \equiv 6(8)$ is easily settled since $I_{k+1}$ pulls back to Ext for $P_{n+k-6}^{n+k-2}$ and so $h_{0} d_{0} l_{k+1}$ pulls back. This implies that $\delta_{6}^{\prime}\left(h_{1} d_{0}\right)=8_{6}^{\prime}\left(h_{1} h_{4}\right)=0$.

This is also a good time to verify that $\delta_{6}{ }^{9}\left(h_{1}{ }^{2} h_{4}\right)_{k}=0$ if $n+k \equiv 1(8)$. From the above discussion and 3.2 it is clear that $\delta_{6}^{\prime}\left(h_{1}^{2} h_{4}\right)_{k}=h_{1}\left(\delta_{6}^{\prime} h_{1} h_{4}\right)_{k-1}$ and $h_{1} h_{3}{ }^{3}=0$.

We now will prove ii).

$$
\underset{\uparrow^{n+k-6}}{\substack{n+k-6}} \xrightarrow{p^{n+k}}, P_{n+k-5}^{n+k} \xrightarrow{p_{3}} P_{n+k-2}^{n+k} \xrightarrow{p_{1}} s^{n+k}
$$

If $n+k \equiv 5(8)$ then $p_{1}{ }^{*} p_{2}{ }^{*}\left\langle I_{n+k-2}, h_{1} h_{3}{ }^{2}\right\rangle=h_{3}{ }^{2}$. But $\delta\left\langle I_{n+k-2}, h_{1}, h_{3}{ }^{2}\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle h_{2}, h_{1}, h_{3}{ }^{2}\right\rangle=c_{1}$. If $n+k \equiv 3(8)$, then $p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}{ }^{*}\left\langle l_{n+k-4}, h_{2}, h_{3}{ }^{2}\right\rangle=h_{3}{ }^{2}$ and so $\delta_{6}{ }^{\prime} h_{3}^{2}=0$ in this case.

To see iii) consider

If $n+k \equiv 3$ (8) then $\left.p_{1} *<I_{k-4,}, h_{1}, g, f_{0}\right\rangle=f_{0}$ since $h_{1} g=h_{2} f_{0}$. Now using 3.6 we see that $\delta\left\langle l_{k-4, h_{1}, g} \frac{h_{2}, f_{0}}{0}\right\rangle=h_{2} g$. If $n+k \equiv 7(8)$ then $l_{n+k}$ pulls back to $\tilde{H} *\left(P_{n+k-6}^{n+k}\right)$ and so $8_{6} f_{0}$ is zero. vi) is similar using 3.2 and $\left\langle h_{2}, h_{1}, P^{1} h_{2}\right\rangle$ $=h_{1}{ }^{2} d_{0}$.

To see iv) observe that if $n+k \equiv 2(8)$ iv) follows from i), indeed $h_{0} f_{0}$ $=h_{1} \theta_{0}$ and $h_{0} h_{2} g=h_{2}^{2} e_{0}$. Consider the diagram below.

If $n+k \equiv 0$ (8) then $p_{*}\left\langle\frac{I_{k-1}, h_{0}}{I_{k-4}, h_{2}}, h_{1} e_{0}\right\rangle=h_{1} e_{0}$ by 3.5. Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-6}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}-4}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}} \\
& \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-5}
\end{aligned}
$$

 we get zero.

To see vi) we argue in a similar fashion using $\left\langle h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{1}\right\rangle=h_{2}{ }^{2}$. The last one, vii), requires a new argument. Consider the sequence

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-7}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-3} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-6}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-2}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}}
$$

with $n+k \equiv 5(8)$. By $3.7(\mathrm{~b})$ there is a class $i_{k}$ in Ext for $P_{n+k-3}^{n+k}$ and $h_{0} i_{k}=$ $\left(\mathrm{P}^{l_{e}}\right)_{k-2}$. By 4.1. iv $\delta_{5}\left(\mathrm{P}^{l_{e}}\right)_{k-2}=\left(\mathrm{P}^{l_{h}} \mathrm{~g}\right)_{k-7}=h_{0}^{2}{ }_{k}{ }_{k-7}$. Hence $\delta_{*}\left(i_{k}\right)=a$ where $h_{0} a=P^{1} h_{1} g_{k-7}$. Using 3.1 this gives $a=\left(P^{I} g\right)_{k-6}$ which completes the proof. The same argument shows that $\delta_{6}{ }^{\prime}(i)=0$ if $n+k \equiv 1(8)$. A similar discussion handles the cases $n+k \equiv 3$ and 7(8).

A check of table 8.1 together with a comparison of the earlier differentials gives the following table as the only possible for $\delta_{7}$ '.
a) $\mathrm{h}_{1}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{c}_{0}$
$n+k \equiv 1(4)$
b) $\mathrm{h}_{1}{ }^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{~h}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{0}$
$\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k} \equiv \mathrm{O}$ (4)
c) $\mathrm{h}_{1} \mathrm{~h}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{~h}_{0} \mathrm{~h}_{3}{ }^{2}$ never
d) $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{O}} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}_{0}$
e) $h_{1} c_{0} \rightarrow h_{1} d_{0}$
f) $h_{0} h_{3}^{2} \rightarrow g$
6(8)
g) ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{h}_{2} \mathrm{~g}$
h) $\mathrm{f}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~h}_{1} \mathrm{~h}_{4} \mathrm{c}_{0} \quad$ never
i) $\mathrm{h}_{0}^{2} \mathrm{~g} \rightarrow \mathrm{j}$

0,6(8)
PROPOSITION 4.3.
i) $\delta_{7}{ }^{\prime} h_{1}^{2}=c_{0}$
$n+k \equiv 1(8)$
ii) $\delta_{7} \mathrm{~h}_{1}{ }^{3}=\mathrm{h}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{0}$
$n+k \equiv 0(8)$
iii) $\delta_{7}^{\prime} c_{0}=d_{0}$
$n+k \equiv 6(8)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { iv) } \delta_{7}{ }^{t} h_{1} c_{0}=h_{1} d_{0} & n+k \equiv 5(8) \\
\text { v) } \delta_{7}{ }^{i} h_{0} h_{3}{ }^{2}=g & n+k \equiv 6(8) \\
\text { vi) } \delta_{7}{ }^{\prime} h_{0}^{2} g=j & n+k \equiv 6,0(8)
\end{array}
$$

Proof. The proofs of all of these are similar and are based on "multiplication by $h_{0}$ " considerations. We will prove only i). Consider the sequince

$$
P_{n+k-7}^{n+k-1} \rightarrow P_{n+k-7}^{n+k+1} \rightarrow P_{n+k}^{n+k+1}
$$

with $n+k \equiv 1(8)$. Then $h_{0}\left(h_{1}\right)_{k+1}=\left(h_{1}^{2}\right)_{k}$. Since $\delta_{6}^{\prime}\left(h_{1}\right)_{k+1}=\left(h_{2}^{2}\right)_{k-5}$ and $h_{0}\left(h_{2}^{2}\right)_{k-5}=c_{0}$ by $3.4, \quad \delta_{7}^{1}\left(h_{1}^{2}\right)_{k}=c_{0}$.
5. It is now convenient to group together all the differentials from $\delta_{8}^{\prime}$ to 815. Table 5.1 gives the listing of all possible differential homomorphisms as they would appear in $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ of the spectral sequence.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8 \\
& \begin{array}{l}
h_{3,3} \rightarrow h_{3}^{2} \\
h_{3,3}^{2} \rightarrow h_{3}{ }^{3}
\end{array} \\
& 9 \\
& h_{2,0}^{3} \rightarrow e_{0} \\
& h_{l^{3}} d_{0,0} \rightarrow p^{1} e_{0} \\
& \mathrm{I}_{7} \rightarrow \mathrm{~h}_{3} \\
& h_{3,7} \rightarrow h_{3}{ }^{2} \\
& h_{1,6} \rightarrow h_{1} h_{3} \\
& e_{0,5} \rightarrow h_{1} h_{4} c_{0} \\
& h_{1}^{2}{ }_{0,1} \rightarrow i \\
& 12 \\
& 13 \\
& 14 \\
& 15 \\
& \mathrm{~h}_{2,5} \rightarrow \mathrm{~h}_{3}^{2} \quad \quad \mathrm{~h}_{1,5}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~h}_{0} \mathrm{~h}_{3}^{2} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{h}_{2,3}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{c}_{1} \\
\mathrm{~h}_{2,1}^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{~h}_{2} \mathrm{c}_{1} \\
\mathrm{~h}_{2,0}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~h}_{2} \mathrm{c}_{1}
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{~h}_{2,1}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~h}_{4} \mathrm{c}_{0} \\
& \mathrm{~h}_{1} \mathrm{~h}_{3,2} \rightarrow \mathrm{c}_{1} \\
& \mathrm{~h}_{0}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~h}_{3,0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~h}_{1} \mathrm{e}_{0} \\
& h_{0} h_{3,0}^{2} \rightarrow h_{4}{ }^{c} 0 \\
& 11 \\
& h_{1} h_{3,6} \rightarrow h_{3}{ }^{3} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}_{7}, 5}^{2} \rightarrow 1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Table 5.1

All possible differentials between 8 and 15.
The second subscript indicates the congruence class of $n+k \bmod 8$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { i) } \delta_{8}^{\prime} a_{k}=a_{k-8} h_{3} & k+n \equiv 0,1, \ldots, 7(16) \\
\text { ii) } \delta_{8}^{\prime} e_{0, k}=\left(c_{0} h_{1} h_{4}\right)_{k-8} & k+n \equiv 13(16) \\
\text { iii) } \delta_{8}^{\prime} h_{1}{ }^{2} d_{0, k}=i_{k-8} & k+n \equiv 1(8)
\end{array}
$$

and all other $8_{8}^{17 s}$ are zero.
Proof. Part i) follows immediately since $S q^{8}{ }_{a}{ }^{n+k}=a^{n+k+8}$ if $n+k$ satisfies the required congruence and is zero otherwise.

In order to prove ii) we need a little more.
LEMMA 5.3.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { i) } \delta_{14}^{1}\left(h_{1} h_{3}\right)_{k}=h_{3}^{3} & \text { if } n+k \equiv 6(15) \\
\text { ii) } \delta_{10}^{1}\left(h_{0} h_{3}^{2}\right)_{k}=h_{4}^{c} \mathrm{c} & \text { if } n+k \equiv 0(15)
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Consider the sequence

$$
P_{n+k-15}^{n+k-6} \rightarrow P_{n+k-14}^{n+k} \rightarrow P_{n+k-5}^{n+k}
$$

where $n+k \equiv 7(8)$. Now $h_{0} h_{3} I_{k}=h_{1} h_{3} l_{k-1}$ and since $\delta_{8}^{\prime} I_{k}=h_{3} I_{k-8}, 8_{8}^{\prime} h_{3} l_{k}=$ $h_{3}^{2} I_{k-8}$ and $\delta_{8}^{1}\left(h_{0} h_{3} I\right)_{k}=I_{k-8} h_{0} h_{3}^{2}$ by $3.9 h_{0} I_{k-8}=h_{3} I_{k-15^{\prime}}$. Multiplying both sides by $h_{3}{ }^{2}$ we have $h_{0} h_{3}{ }^{2} I_{k-8}=h_{3}{ }^{3} I_{k-15^{*}}$. This proves i).

Consider the sequence

$$
P_{n+k-16}^{n+k-7} \rightarrow P_{n+k-16}^{n+k} \rightarrow P_{n+k-6}^{n+k}
$$

for $n+k \equiv 6(15)$. Now $\delta_{8}{ }^{\prime}\left(h_{1} h_{3}\right)_{k}=\left(h_{3}{ }^{3}\right)_{k-14}$ but $h_{0}\left(h_{4} c_{0}\right)_{k-1}=\left(h_{1} h_{4} c_{0}\right)_{k-8}$. Hence $h_{0}\left(h_{0} h_{3}{ }^{2}\right)_{k+3} \neq 0$. The only possibilities are $\left(e_{0}\right)_{k}$ and $\left(h_{1}{ }^{3} h_{4}\right)_{k-1}$. Since $h_{0}^{3}\left(h_{4}\right)_{k-4}=\left(h_{1}^{3} h_{4}\right)_{k-1}$ the latter choice is incompatible with the other requirements.

Consider the sequence

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-8}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-8}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}+1}
$$

for $n+k \equiv 1(8)$. For this congruence we have $\delta_{6}\left(h_{1} d_{0}\right)_{k+1}=\left(h_{0}^{2} g\right)_{k-5}$ by 4.2.i. By $3.8 .6 \quad h_{0}\left(h_{0}^{2} g\right)_{k-5}=i_{k-8}$ and by $3.2 \quad h_{0}\left(h_{1} d_{0}\right)_{k+1}=\left(h_{1}^{2} d_{0}\right)_{k}$. Hence $8 h_{0}\left(h_{1} d_{0}\right)_{k+1}=\delta\left(h_{1}^{2} d_{0}\right)_{k}=h_{0}\left(h_{0}^{2} g\right)_{k-5}=i_{k-8}$.
PROPOSITION 5.4. $\delta_{9}^{\prime}\left(h_{2}^{3}\right)=e_{0}$ and $\delta_{9}^{\prime}\left(h_{1}^{3} d_{0}\right)_{k}=P^{1} e_{0}$ for $k+n \equiv 0(8)$.
Proof. Consider the sequence

$$
P_{n+k-9}^{n+k-1} \rightarrow P_{n+k-9}^{n+k+1} \rightarrow P_{n+k}^{n+k+1}
$$

for $n+k \equiv 0(8)$. By 5.2.iii, $\delta\left(h_{1}^{2} d_{0}\right)_{k+1}=(i)_{k-7}$. Combining 3.7 b and 3.3 one easily obtains the result for $h_{1}{ }^{3} d_{0}$. Noticing that $P^{1} h_{2}{ }^{3}=h_{1}{ }^{3} d_{0}$ complates the proof.

Observe that Lemma 5.3 settles $\delta_{10}^{\prime}$ and there is no $811^{\circ}$. PROPOSITION 5.5.
a) $\delta_{12}^{\prime}\left(h_{2}\right)_{k}=h_{3}^{2} \quad k+n \equiv 5(16)$
b) ${ }_{8}^{1}\left(h_{1} h_{3}\right)_{k}=c_{1} \quad k+n \equiv 2(16)$
c) $\delta_{1 / 4}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{2}^{2}\right)_{k}=\mathrm{c}_{1} \quad \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{n} \equiv 3(16)$
d) $\delta_{1 / 4}^{1}\left(h_{2}^{3}\right)_{k}=h_{2} c_{1} \quad k+n \equiv I(16)$.

Proof. By inspection we have seen that all entries in the equation of 5.5 are present in $E_{12}$ (and for those that pertain to it, in $E_{14}$ ). Propositions $3.13,14$ and 15 relate the right hand sides by multiplication by $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$ which corresponds exactly to the way $3.11,12$ and $h_{3}$ multiplied by the result of 3.2 relate the left hand side. Hence to prove all the formulas we must only start it someplace. But $\delta_{8}^{\prime}\left(h_{3}\right)_{k}=h_{3}^{2}$ if $k+n \equiv 3(16)$ does start it, i.e., consider

$$
P_{n+k-13}^{n+k-2} \rightarrow P_{n+k-13}^{n+k} \rightarrow P_{n+k-1}^{n+k}, \quad n+k \equiv 3(8)
$$

Now $\delta\left(h_{3_{k}}\right)=\left(h_{3}^{2}\right)_{k-8}$ hence $\delta h_{0}\left(h_{3}\right)_{k}=\delta\left(h_{1} h_{3}\right)_{k-1}=h\left(h_{3}^{2}\right)_{k-8}=\left(c_{1}\right)_{k-13}$. Similar arguments work for the other cases too.
Remark. A computation such as this is needed to compute the entire 23-stem as Barratt or Yoda do it. From this point of view the result was difficult and was settled using [19]. In particular (a) implies $\left[i_{21}, \nu\right] \neq 0$. (More general calculations of this sort are given in Chapter V.)
PROPOSITION 5.6.
a) ${ }_{813}^{1}\left(h_{1}^{2}\right)_{k}=h_{0} h_{3}^{2}$
$n+k \equiv 5(16)$
b) $\delta_{1 / 4}^{1}\left(P^{1} h_{1}^{2}\right)_{k}=1$
$n+k \equiv 13(16)$
c) $\delta_{15}^{1}\left(h_{1}^{3}\right)_{k}=P^{i} e_{0}$
$n+k \equiv(4+i 8)(16)$
d) ${ }_{1}^{1}{ }_{12} P^{i}\left(h_{0}^{3} h_{3}\right)_{k}=P^{i}\left(h_{1} e_{0}\right)_{k-12} n+k \equiv(0+i 8)(16)$
e) $\delta_{12}^{1} P^{i}\left(h_{1}\right)_{k}=P^{i-1}\left(h_{0}^{2} g\right)_{k-12} n+k \equiv(6+18)(16)$.
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Proof. These follow immediately from multiplication by $h_{0}$. Indeed, $h_{0}\left(h_{1}\right)_{k+1}=\left(h_{1}^{2}\right) k$ if $n+k \equiv 5(16)$. But $8_{9}^{\prime}\left(h_{1}\right)_{k+1}=\left(h_{1} h_{3}\right)_{k-8}$ but $h_{0}\left(h_{1} h_{3}\right)_{k-8}=h_{0} h_{3}^{2}$ by 3.10 and this gives (a). To prove (b) one uses $3.8(\mathrm{~b})$ and so forth. Tables 8.2-8.16 give copies of $\mathrm{E}_{16}$. The tables are explained in section 8.
6. THE ADAMS DIFFERENTIALS, 1.

Recall again that the tables are not really copies of Ext for the stunted projective spaces but just $E_{16}$ of a pre-spectral sequence whose $E_{\infty}$ term is associated with Ext. We will call them Ext anyway. The composite last differential of this pre-spectral sequence is called $\delta_{1}$. The task of evaluating the Adams differentials is not as extensive as it might seem at first. The pre-spectral sequence has the additional advantage of grouping elements together into families. We will evaluate the differentials by making much use of this interplay between the various stunted projective spaces.

First observe that if two classes, $\alpha$ and $\beta$, in Ext for a sphere are related by an Adams differential and their image in Ext for $P_{k}$ under $i_{*}$ induced by $S^{k} \rightarrow P_{k}$ is non-zero, then their images under $i_{*}$ will be related by an Adams differential too. This occurs frequently when $k \equiv O(2)$. PROPOSITION 6.1.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a) } \delta_{2}\left(h_{0} h_{3}^{2}\right)_{k}=\left(h_{1} d_{0}\right)_{k-2} & n+k \equiv 4(8) \\
\text { b) } \delta_{2}\left(h_{0}^{2} g\right)_{k}=\left(P^{l} d_{0}\right)_{k-3} & n+k \equiv 6(8) \\
\text { c) } \delta_{2}(i)_{k}=\left(P^{1} h_{1} d_{0}\right)_{k-1} & n+k \equiv 3(8)
\end{array}
$$

Proof. These three are grouped together because results of section 3 imply that whenever both sides are present in Ext the following equations hold:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
h_{0}\left(h_{0} h_{3}^{2}\right)_{k}=\left(e_{0}\right)_{k-3} & n+k \equiv 4(8) \\
h_{0}\left(p^{i} e_{0}\right)_{k}=P^{i}\left(h_{1} e_{0}\right)_{k-1} & n+k \equiv 1(8) \\
h_{0}\left(h_{1} 0_{0}\right)_{k}=\left(h_{0}^{2} g\right)_{k-2} & n+k \equiv 0(8) \\
h_{0}\left(h_{0}^{2} g\right)_{k}=i_{k-3} & n+k \equiv 6(8) \\
h_{0}(i)_{k}=\left(P^{l_{e}}\right)_{k-2} & n+k \equiv 3(8)
\end{array}
$$

Multiplying $d_{0}$ on both sides of the equations given in $3.1,2$ and 3 we get similar module extensions for the right side of the equations in 6.1. Hence
we must only prove a similar result someplace in the sequence to get everything else by naturality. But $\delta_{2} e_{0}=h_{1}{ }^{2} \alpha_{0}$ in a sphere and this completes the proof. (Compare Ext for $P_{1}$. .)
PROPOSITION 6.2. a) $\delta_{2}\left(h_{1} g\right)_{k}=i_{k-3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n+k \equiv 0(8) \\
& n+k \equiv 6(8)
\end{aligned}
$$

b) $\delta_{2}\left(h_{0} h_{2} g\right)_{k}=\left(P_{1} e_{0}\right)_{k-3}$

Proof. The argument for these is similar to the above. The two families which are related by multiplication by $h_{0}$ in a fashion similar to the above are:

$$
h_{1} g, h_{0} h_{2} g, j, P^{I} g \text { and } h_{1} e_{0}, h_{0}^{2} g, i, P^{I} e_{0}, P^{I} h_{1} e_{0}
$$

with the first beginning with $h_{1} g_{k}, n+k \equiv O$ (8) and the second beginning with $\left(h_{1} e_{0}\right)_{k}, n+k \equiv 2(8)$. Again all we must do is to prove a result someplace in the sequence to get the proposition. To do this we need the following lemma. PROPOSITION 6.3. In $P_{n+k}$ for $n+k \equiv 6(8) \quad \delta_{3}\left(h_{1} g\right)_{2+k}=\left(P_{1} d_{0}\right)_{k}$.

Proof. In [19] it is shown that $\left\{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{0}\right\}=\eta^{2}\{g\}$. Since $i_{*} \eta=2 v_{1}$ in $\pi_{*}\left(P_{n+k}\right)$ where isS $S^{0} \rightarrow \Sigma^{-(n+k)} P_{n+k}$ and $l_{1}$ is a generator of $\left.\pi_{1}\left(\Sigma^{-(n+k}\right)_{P_{n+k}}\right)$, $i_{*} \eta^{2} g=0$. This implies that either $i_{*} P_{1} d_{0}=0$ or $i_{*} P_{1} d_{0}$ is a boundary. There are two possibilities, $\delta_{3}\left(h_{1} g\right)_{2}$ or $\delta_{4}\left(f_{0}\right)_{5}$. Consider the sequence

$$
s^{6} \vee s^{7} \xrightarrow{i} P_{6}^{8} \rightarrow P_{6}^{8} \xrightarrow{p} s^{8}
$$

In the homotopy exact sequence $\partial_{*} I_{8}=\eta l+2 l_{7}$. Hence there is a class in Ext for $P_{6}^{8}$ which maps to $h_{1} g$ under $p_{*}$. Call this class $\left(h_{1} g\right)_{2}$. (It clearly corresponds to $\left(h_{1} g\right)_{2}$ in homotopy, hence $\left(h_{1} g\right)_{2}$ cannot be a cycle for all $r$. ) The only possibility is $\delta_{3}\left(h_{1} g\right)_{2}=i_{*}\left(P_{1} d_{0}\right)$. By naturality this completes the proof of 6.3.

Now we return to 6.2. Consider the map

$$
P_{5} \xrightarrow{p} P_{6} .
$$

Clearly $p_{*}\left(h_{1} g\right)_{3}=\left(h_{1} g\right)_{2}$. If $\delta_{2}\left(h_{1} g\right)_{3}=0$ then $p_{*}\left(\delta_{3} h_{1} g\right)_{3}=p_{*}(0) \neq\left(p_{1} d_{0}\right)_{0}$ which contradicts 6.3. Hence $\delta_{3}\left(h_{2} g\right)_{3}=h_{0}^{2}\left(h_{0} f_{0}\right)_{5}=i_{0}$ in Ext for $P_{5}$. This completes the proof of 6.2 .
PROPOSITION 6.4.
a) $\delta_{3}\left(h_{0}{ }^{2} g\right)_{k}=\left(P_{1}{ }^{2} h_{1} d_{0}\right)_{k-4}$
$n+k \equiv 0(8)$
b) $\delta_{3}\left(h_{0} f_{0}\right)_{k}=\left(P_{1} d_{0}\right)_{k-5}$
$n+k \equiv 2(8)$.
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Proof. These two are further consequences of the peculiar group extension in $\pi_{23}\left(S^{0}\right)$. By [20] $4 \nu\{g\}=\left\{h_{1} P_{1} d_{0}\right\}$, since $4 i_{*}\{g\}=0$ where $i: s^{n+k} \rightarrow$ $P_{n+k}, n+k \equiv 0(8)$ is the usual inclusion. $i_{*}\left\{P_{1} h_{1} d_{0}\right\}=0$. Hence $\left(P_{2} h_{1} d_{0}\right)_{0}$ is a boundary and the only possibility is $\delta_{3}\left(h_{0}^{2} g\right)_{4}$. The argument for b) is similar. PROPOSITION 6.5. a) $\delta_{2}\left(P_{i+1} h_{1} h_{3}\right)_{k}=\left(P_{i} h_{1} d_{0}\right)_{k-7} \quad n+k \equiv 0(8)$
b) $\delta_{2}\left(h_{1}^{2} d_{0}\right)_{k}=\left(P_{1} d_{0}\right)_{k-7} \quad n+k \equiv l(8)$.

Proof. We will first prove $\delta_{2}\left(P_{2} h_{1}{ }^{2} h_{3}\right)_{k}=\left(P_{1} h_{1} d_{0}\right)_{k-1}$. Clearly, $i_{*}(4 \mathcal{L}\{g\})=0$ where $i: S^{I} \rightarrow P_{1}$. Hence $i_{*} P_{1} h_{1} d_{0}$ is a boundary for some Adams differential. The only possibility is the one clajmed. Since $h_{0}^{2}\left(h_{0}^{2} g\right)_{5}=P^{l}\left(e_{0}\right)_{0}$ and $\delta_{2} \mathrm{P}^{I_{e}} e_{0}=P^{I_{h_{1}}}{ }^{3} d_{0}$ we can conclude:
6.6.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
h_{1}\left(h_{0}^{2} h_{2} d_{0}\right)_{k}=P^{l}\left(e_{0}\right)_{k-7} & \text { and } \\
h_{1}\left(h_{1}{ }^{2} h_{3}\right)_{k}=\left(e_{0}\right)_{k-7} & \text { if } k+n \equiv 0(8)
\end{array}
$$

Indeed the first statement is now clear but since $P^{\prime}\left(h_{1}{ }^{2} h_{3}\right)=h_{1}{ }^{3} d_{0}$ the second is clear too. Using the second we complete the proof of a). The argument for b) follows 6.3 in concept.

Implicit in the above calculations are a few other module extensions such as 6.6. Most of them are indicated in the tables.
PROPOSITION 6.7. a) $\delta_{2}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~g}\right)_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{p}^{1} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{k}-3}$
$n+k \equiv 6(8)$
b) $\delta_{2}\left(h_{0} h_{2} g\right)_{k}=P^{l} g_{k-5}$
$n+k \equiv 0(8)$.
Proof. Both of these involve arguments "off the page" in the sense that we will need to look at Ext for $t-s=29$. First observe that $h_{2}\left(h_{1} g\right)_{k}=$ $\mathrm{h}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{k}-2}$ where $\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{n} \equiv \mathrm{O}(8)$. Indeed consider the map

$$
\mathrm{P}_{5}^{8} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathrm{CP}_{3}^{4}
$$

By construction $\lambda_{*}\left(h_{0}\left(h_{1} g\right)_{8}\right)=\lambda_{*}\left(h_{0} h_{2} g\right)_{6}=\left(\overline{\left.h_{0} h_{2} g\right)_{6}}\right.$ where the barred classes indicate elements in Ext for $\mathrm{CP}_{3}{ }^{4}$. Hence $\lambda_{*}\left(h_{1} g_{8}\right)=\overline{h_{2} \mathrm{~g}_{6}}$ and $\lambda_{*} h_{2}\left(h_{1} g_{8}\right)=$ $\overline{h_{2}^{2} g_{6}}$ but this implies $h_{2}\left(h_{1} g\right)_{8}=\left(h_{2}^{2} g\right)_{i}$ in Ext for $P_{5}^{8}$. Also by similar arguments one can show $h_{2}\left(h_{0} g_{k}\right)=h_{0}\left(h_{0} h_{2} g\right)_{k}$ for $n+k \equiv 0(8)$. Putting these together with 6.2 completes the proof of a). Using 3.1 we see that $\mathrm{h}_{0}^{3}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{0} \mathrm{~h}_{2} \mathrm{~g}\right)_{k}=\left(\mathrm{h}_{0}^{2} \mathrm{k}\right)_{k-6}$ since $\mathrm{h}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1} g=\mathrm{h}_{0}^{2} \mathrm{k}_{\text {. Now part }}$ b) follows by naturaldty.

## 7. ADAMS DIFFERENTIALS, 2.

Let $m$ be an integer and let $a$ and $b$ be defined by $m=4 a+b, 0 \leq b<4$. Let $\varphi(m)=8 a+2^{b}$. Notice that $\pi_{\varphi(m)-1} O(t) \neq 0$ for $t$ large and each nonzero group appears for a suitable $m$.

We will prove several very general propositions in this section which will give the remaining Adams differentials. Indeed we essentially prove theorem $C$ of the introduction but need some additional information first. This discussion is in Chapter IV.

Let $X=s^{0} U_{2 l} e^{I}$ and let $S^{0} \xrightarrow{i} X \xrightarrow{p} S^{I}$ be the obvious cofibration. Elements in Ext for $X$ are either in the image of $i_{*}$ or else map under $p_{*}$ to a non-zero class. Let $\bar{\alpha}$ be any class in Ext for $X$ such that $p_{*} \bar{\alpha}=\alpha$. PROPOSITION 7.1. The following table identifies the element in Ext for $X$ which projects in $E_{\infty}$ to the element to which $\beta_{m}$ projects.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
m & =1 \\
i_{*} \beta_{m}=\{\alpha\} \text { for } \alpha & =i_{*} h_{1}
\end{array} i_{*} h_{2} \quad i_{*} h_{3} \quad i_{*} h_{1} h_{3} \quad i_{*} h_{1}^{2} h_{3}\right\}
$$

where the last four entries require that $m \geq 6$.
Proof. The first five entries are obvious. Next notice that in $\operatorname{Ext}^{4,12}\left(\mathrm{H} *(\mathrm{X}), \mathrm{Z}_{2}\right)$ there is a class $\overline{\mathrm{h}_{0}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~h}_{3}}$. This class behaves like a periodicity operator in the sense that if we multiply $\overline{h_{0}{ }^{3} h_{3}}$ by $a$ where $h_{0}{ }^{3} h_{3} a=0$ we get $i_{*}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$. As a homotopy class $\overline{h_{0}{ }^{3} h_{3}}$ projects to $\left\langle i_{*}^{2}, 2 \tau, 80\right\rangle$ where 2 generates the I-stem, and $\sigma$ generates the seven stem. By the Bott periodicity we see $\left\langle i_{*}{ }^{2}, 21,80\right\rangle \beta_{m}=i_{*} \beta_{m+4}\left(i_{*}\left(2 \pi_{\varphi(m+4)-1}\left(s^{0}\right)\right)\right)=i_{*} \beta_{m+4}$. Hence if we identify the elements corresponding to $i_{*} \beta_{m}$ for $6 \leq m \leq 9$ we will be finished. The argument fails to apply to the first five cases since the periodic copies for $m=4$ are not permanent cycles, the image of the periodic copy of $m=3$ and 5 is zero in Ext for $X$ (since it is $h_{0}$ of something) and the image of the periodic copy of $h_{1}$ is exceptional for several reasons. Also there is some difficulty if $m \equiv 3(4)$ since the element in Ext is not in in $i_{*}$. We will discuss this in a moment.

Since the $I I$-stem contains only $P^{I} h_{2}, i_{*} P^{I} h_{2}$ must represent the image of
J. This settles all $m \equiv 2(4), m \geq 6$.

To see the next case we look at Ext for $t-s=14,15$ and 16 for $X$.

14
15
16

$$
h_{5} \quad \overline{h_{0} h_{3}^{2}}
$$

$$
0 \quad 1
$$



2
$\mathrm{a}_{0}$

3
4

$P^{l} c_{0} \quad \overline{h_{0} h_{4}}$

Since $i_{*} \beta_{7}=\left\{\overline{h_{0}{ }^{3} h_{3}}\right\}\left\{h_{3}\right\}$, the $s$ filtration of $i_{*} \beta_{7}$ must be at least 5 (and equal to 5 only if $\mathrm{P}^{l_{h}} \neq 0$ ) and hence greater than 5. Thus the only possibility is $\overline{h_{0}^{2} d_{0}}=\overline{\mathrm{P}^{1} h_{2}^{2}}$. Now $\left\langle i_{*} 1, h_{0}, h_{0}^{2} d_{0}\right\rangle h_{1}=i_{*}\left\langle h_{0}, h_{0}^{2} d_{0}, h_{1}\right\rangle=P^{l} c_{0}$ and since $\eta \beta_{7}=\beta_{8}$ and $\eta \beta_{8}=\beta_{9}$ we have completed the proof of the proposition for $m \equiv 0, I(4)$.

We must be a little more careful with the case $m \equiv 3(4)$. By induction suppose $i_{*} \beta_{m}=\left\{\overline{P_{m-3}-\frac{3}{2} L^{2}}\right\}$. Then there is a map $S^{2 m+1} \rightarrow S^{0} U_{2 L} e_{1}$ such that $\left(P_{m-3}^{4} h_{2}^{2}\right)=p_{*}(1)$, ie., $\{p\}$ is in the homotopy class of $i_{*} \beta_{m}$. Clearly $h_{3}\left(P_{\frac{m}{4}-3} h_{2}^{2}\right)=0$ and $\sigma\left(i_{*} \beta_{m}\right)=0$. (Note that neither statement follows from the other but both follow from the fact that $\overline{\mathrm{P}_{\frac{m}{4}-3}^{4} h_{2}^{2}}$ is on the Adams edge and so the composition can be checked.) Hence we can form

$$
\mathrm{s}^{2 m+9} \underset{\overrightarrow{16} t}{ } e^{2 m+9} U_{\sigma} s^{2 m+1} \underset{\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}{\Rightarrow} s^{0} \cup_{2 l}^{1} e^{1}
$$

By the Bott periodicity we know that $\beta_{m+4}=\tilde{p}_{1} \overline{162}\left(16 \pi_{2 m+9}\left(S^{0}\right)\right)$ and hence $\tilde{\mathrm{p}} \overline{162}=1_{*} \beta_{\mathrm{m}+4^{\circ}}$. But in Ext the map $\overline{162}$ raises the $s$ filtration by 4 and so leaves as the only possibility $i_{*} \beta_{m+4}^{\prime}=\left\{\overline{P_{m+l} h_{2}}{ }^{2}\right\}$. This completes the proof of 7.1.

In order to get the remaining differentials we will use this result together with the following theorem which uses this diagram:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{n+\varphi(m)}\left(S_{\uparrow}^{n+\varphi(m)}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{*}} \pi_{n+\varphi(m)-1}\left(V_{n+\varphi(m), \varphi(m)}\right) \\
& \pi_{n+\varphi(m)}\left(V_{n+\varphi(m)+1, \varphi(m)}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}^{*}} \uparrow_{i} \prod_{n+\varphi(m)-1}\left(S^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

THEOREM 7.2. Suppose $n+\varphi(m)+1 \equiv 2^{m}\left(2^{m+1}\right)$. Then there is a class $\tau_{n+\varphi}(m)$
such that $p_{*}\left(\tau_{n+\varphi(m)}\right)=r$ and $\partial_{1} r^{*} r_{n}(m)=\beta$. such that $p_{*}\left(r_{n+\varphi(m)}\right)=r$ and $\partial_{1}{ }^{*} r_{n+\varphi(m)}=\beta_{m}$.

This is just a recast of 4.3 .2 of [19] which was a recast of a theorem of Toda [26] and Adams [5]. The following proof is included for completeness.

Proof. Let $k=n+\varphi(m)$ and consider the following diagram:
7.2 .1

where $p^{\prime}$ is the obvious projection. If we can prove that 7.2.1 exists with $i^{\prime}$, the identity map, then in the stable range at least $i_{*} \partial_{2}{ }^{2}=a_{1}(i)$ and clearly $\partial_{2} l=\beta_{m}$, where $\partial_{1}$ refers to the boundary homomorphism in the top sequence. This implies the theorem except if $k+1=16$. A detailed hand calculation is needed and can be found in Toda [25]. Using Spanier Whitehead duality* we see that 7.2 .1 exists with it the identity map if and only if the dual diagram exists. Now $\mathscr{D}\left(P_{n}^{k-1}\right)=P_{g^{k}+1}^{k}, \mathscr{D}\left(P_{n}^{k-1}\right)=P_{2^{k}{ }^{k}-1}$ and $D\left(S^{n} U_{\beta_{m}} e^{k}\right)=$ $S^{2 m} \cup_{\beta_{m}} e^{\kappa}$, where $k=2^{m}+\varphi(m) . *$ Thus the dual diagram is
7.2 .2
with $\mathscr{D}\left(i^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathscr{D}(i)$ being maps of degree 1. Clearly $P^{\kappa}{ }^{k}-1$ is the Thom Complex of $2^{m-1}$ times the canonical line bundle over $P_{l}^{\varphi(m)}$. Since this bundle is trivial over the $\varphi(m)-1$ skeleton the classifying map factors through $P_{1}^{\varphi(m)} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{S}^{\varphi(\mathrm{m})} \rightarrow \mathrm{BSO}_{2^{\mathrm{m}-1}}$ map generates $\pi_{\varphi(m)}$ (BSO). Passing to Thom complexes we have 7.2.2 and this completes the proof of 7.2.

In our language 7.2 becomes

[^0]PROPOSITION 7.3. Suppose $n+\varphi(m)+1 \equiv 2^{m}\left(2^{m+1}\right)$. Then in the Adams spectral sequence for $P_{n}$ we have
a) $m>5, \delta_{r} I_{n+\varphi(m)}=0$ for $r<m-1$ and $\delta_{m-1}\left(1_{n+\varphi(m)}\right)=j_{*} a_{m}$ where $j: P_{n}^{n+1} \rightarrow P_{n}$ and $a_{m}$ is the entry in the table of 7.1 corresponding to $m$.
b) $m=5, \delta_{r} I_{n+\varphi(5)}=0, r<4$, and $\delta_{4}^{l_{n}}{ }^{1}+\varphi(5)=j_{*}\left(i_{*} h_{1} c_{0}\right)$.
c) $m=4, \delta_{2} l_{n+\varphi(4)}=i_{*} h_{1} h_{3}$ where $i: S^{n} \rightarrow P_{n}$.

Proof. Theorem 7.2 implies that $i_{*} \beta_{m}^{\prime} \neq 0$ where i: $S^{n} \rightarrow P_{n}^{n+\varphi(m)-1}$ but $i_{1}: S^{n} \rightarrow P_{n}$ satisfies $i_{1} * \beta_{m}^{\prime}=0$. Hence $100 k$ at $S_{n} U_{2 l} e^{n+1} \rightarrow P_{n}^{n+\varphi(m)-1}$ $\rightarrow P_{n}$. Suppose $m>5$. In Ext for $S^{n} \cup_{2 i} e^{n+1}$ we see that $a_{m}$ is on the edge or one below it and so its image in $P_{n}^{n+\varphi(m)-1}$ is well defined and must reprosent $\beta_{m}^{\prime}$. Therefore $\alpha_{m}$ is a surviving permanent cycle. The only change possibile in Ext for $P_{n}^{n+\varphi(m)-1}$ and Ext for $P_{n}$ in the $n+\varphi(m)$ stem is the addition of 1 representing the $n+\varphi(m)$ cell. Hence the differential must behave as described in the proposition, part a.

Now suppose $m=5$ and consider

$$
\text { i: } S^{n} \cup e^{n+1} \rightarrow P_{n} \text { for } n \equiv 21(64)
$$

A glance at table 8.6 shows $i_{*}\left(h_{1}{ }^{2} h_{3}\right)=0$. Yet theorem 7.2 implies $i_{*} \beta_{5}{ }^{\prime} \neq 0$. Hence the class which represents $\beta_{5}^{\prime}$ has filtration higher than $h_{1}{ }^{2} h_{3}$, i.e., higher than 3. There are two possibilities, $\delta_{4}(1)_{10}=\left(h_{1} c_{0}\right)_{0}$ or $\delta_{5}\left(l_{0}\right)=$ $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}_{1}}^{2}\right)_{1}$. The second would imply the corresponding differential in the spectrail sequence for $P_{n+1}$, contradicting 7.2. Hence $\delta_{4}\left(I_{10}\right)=\left(h_{1} c_{0}\right)_{0}$ in the sequence for $P_{n}$.

The case for $m=4$ proceeds just like the case for $m>5$, using the appropriate part of 7.1.

The most important corollary of 7.3 is the following result.
Let $n$ be fixed and let $I_{k}$ be a class in Ext for $P_{n}$ (Ext here means $E_{16}$ of the pre-spectral sequence). Suppose $n+k+1=2^{m}\left(2^{m+1}\right)$. This defines $m(n, k)$. Let $q$ be defined by $\varphi(q) \leq k<\varphi(q+1)$ and let $i(n, k)=$ $\max (q-m(n, k), 0)$.
THEOREM 7.4. Suppose $m \geq 3$ and if $m=3, k \geq 9$ or $m=4, k \geq 10$. Suppose also that $k-\varphi(q)=0$ if $q \neq 3(4)$ and $k-\varphi(q)=1$ if $q \equiv 3(4)$. Let
$j: P_{n}^{n+1} \rightarrow P_{n}$ be the usual inclusion. Then $h_{0}{ }^{i+l_{l}} I_{k}$ is a surviving cycle and $\delta_{m-1}\left(h_{0}^{i} I_{k}\right)=j_{*} \alpha_{q}$ where $\alpha_{q}$ is given by table 7.1 and $i=i(n k)$.

Proof. We will prove the theorem by induction. Fix $n+k$ and the induction will be done on $q$. First observe that for $q<m$ the theorem follows directly from 7.3. Now suppose $m \geq 5$. Then for $m=q, i=0$ and again 7.4 is just 7.3. Now suppose 7.4 is true for $q>m$. Consider

$i_{1}{ }^{*} a_{q} \neq 0$ and there is a class $r$ such that $p_{* r}=\alpha_{q}$. Using one of 3.1, 3.2, 3.4 or 3.5 we see that $i_{2}{ }^{*} \alpha_{q+1}=h_{0} \gamma$. Naturality of Adams differentials with respect to multiplication now completes the proof.

Now suppose $m=4$. If we require $k \geq 10$ the induction argument is identical with the above. There is a difficulty starting because 7.3 is not quite the right statement. On the other hand consider

$$
P_{n-1} \rightarrow P_{n} \rightarrow P_{n+10} \text { with } n+10 \equiv 15(32)
$$

Let $\partial_{1}$ * be the boundary homomorphism into $P_{n}^{n+9}$ and $\partial_{2}$ *into $P_{n-1}^{n+9}$. Now 7.2 says $\partial_{1}^{*} r_{n+10}=\eta \sigma \imath_{n}$. Now consider


From table 8.6 we see that $i_{1}{ }^{*} \eta \varepsilon$ imp $p_{*}$ and if $p_{* \gamma}=i_{1}{ }^{*} \eta$ then $i_{3}{ }^{*} \eta^{2}$ $=2 \gamma$. Hence $p^{*} \sigma \gamma=i_{1}{ }^{*} \eta \sigma$ and $2 \sigma \gamma=i_{3} * \eta^{2} \sigma$. By inspection of table 8.4 we see that $i_{3} * h_{1}{ }^{2} h_{3}=0$ and so the class representing $i_{3} * \eta^{2} \sigma$ must have filtration greater than 3. It is not hard to see that $i_{3} h_{1} c_{0}$ must represent $i_{3} * \eta^{2} \sigma$. This begins the induction and the argument is completed as above. The argument for $m=3$ is similar and we leave it to the reader. This completes the proof of 7.4.

Theorem 7.4, of course, is a very general proposition holding for stems of all orders. In this section we will use it to complete the discussion of
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the Adams differentials for our calculations. Quite directly 7.4 gives the order of direct summand of homotopy generated by $h_{0}^{i} l_{k}$. Obviously it gives the order of what is subtracted from the $k-1$ stem for each $P_{n}$. The only remaining statement to verify is simply what happens when $k+n \equiv 15(32)$. In this case if $k \geq 16, \delta_{1} I_{k}=h_{4}$ and whatever this implies. Putting all of this together we have the following proposition which defines $A, B$ and $C$. We will always have $A_{k} \subset \pi_{k-1}\left(\Sigma^{-n} P_{n}\right), B_{k} \subset \pi_{k}\left(\Sigma^{-n} P_{n}\right)$ and $C_{k} \subset \pi_{k+1}\left(\Sigma^{-n} P_{n}\right)$. We will always use $m(k, n)$ defined by $n+k+1 \equiv 2^{m}\left(2^{m+1}\right)$ and $i(n, k)=\max (q-m(n, k), 0)$, PROPOSITION 7.5.

$$
A_{k} \text { is cyclic group of order } 2^{i} \text { where } i=i(n, k) \text {. }
$$

$B_{k}=\bar{B}_{k}+Z_{2}$ if $m>4$ and $B_{k}=\bar{B}_{k}$ if $m=4$ with $\bar{B}_{k}$ being a cyelic group of order $2^{m+1}$ if $q-m \geq 0$ and of the order of $I_{k}$ as given in the table if $q-m<0$.
$c_{k}=Z_{2}$ for $m>4$ and $=0$ for $m=4$.
This completes the calculation of $\pi_{*}\left(P_{n}\right)$ except for Proposition 7.6. PROPOSITION 7.6.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{3}\left(h_{0} f_{0}\right)_{k} & =P^{l} g \\
\delta_{4}\left(h_{4}\right)_{k} & =h_{3}^{3}
\end{array} \quad n+k \equiv 12(64), ~ 7(16) .
$$

We do not have a natural proof of this nor do we know what happens in the other congruences. We will deduce this from a general proposition in the next chapter. We have tried to avoid using this general proposition for the calculations. It seems clear that this differential could easily be settled if Bxt were computed further. With this one exception we are finished!
8. In the pages which follow are 16 tables. The first table gives a copy of $E x t_{A}^{s, t}\left(Z_{2}, Z_{2}\right)$ for $t-s \leq 44$. Slanting lines to the right indicate multiplication by $h_{1}$ and vertical lines indicate multiplication by $h_{0}$. Slanting lines to the left indicate Adams differentials. The first table is included for reference and the details are to be found in [20], [21] and [24].

The next fifteen tables are print outs of $\mathrm{E}_{16}$ of the pre-spectral sequence. The only missing differential is $\delta_{16}$ which is handled as a $\delta_{1}$ in the Adams spectral sequence. The tables are given for $P_{k}, k \equiv 1, \ldots, 15(16)$. Since

$$
E_{16}^{s, t}\left(P_{k}\right) \simeq E x t_{A}^{s, t}\left(Z_{2}, Z_{2}\right)+E_{16^{s, t+1}}\left(P_{k+1}\right)
$$

for $k \equiv O(16)$ no table is given for this case. Also $\pi_{*}\left(P_{k}\right)$ is a group exten-
sion of $\pi_{*}\left(P_{k+1}\right)$ and $\pi_{*}\left(S^{k}\right)$ if $k \equiv O(32)$ and deviates from this by the $\delta_{1}$ in the case $k \equiv 16(32)$. Table 16 has the groups for both $P_{k}, k \equiv 15$ and 16(16). Above each table is a sequence of groups. This sequence is just the homotopy sequence of

$$
\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}+1}
$$

with the image of $\partial_{*}$ written as a fourth line. Using [8] this sequence is just the homotopy sequence of $S O(n) \rightarrow S O(n+1) \rightarrow S^{n}$ in the metastable range. From the EHP sequence it is also clear that these homomorphisms represent just E , H , and P too, iso.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{j}\left(S^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{E} \pi_{j+1}\left(S^{n+1}\right) \xrightarrow{H} \pi_{j+1}\left(S^{2 n+1}\right) \xrightarrow{P} \\
& \uparrow P_{n} \quad \uparrow P_{n+1} \quad \uparrow \Sigma^{2} \\
& \pi_{j}\left(\Sigma^{n-1} P_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\Sigma p_{*}} \pi_{j+1}\left(\Sigma^{n} P_{n+1}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{*}} \pi_{j-1}\left(S^{2 n-1}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By careful inspection of the tables it is possible to identify elements and to verify just which classes map non-trivially and which map to zero. There is a hazard in this though since the representation of elements by their name in Ext does not correspond with any other naming system. Those readers who need such detailed information will have to acquire the dexterity at translating back and forth. The reader should also keep in mind the fact that not all group extensions have been settled. The questionable ones can usually be read off the tables.

TABLE 8.1
$\operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{2}, \mathrm{Z}_{2}\right)$

$$
E_{16}^{s, t}\left(\Sigma^{-16} P_{16}\right)=E x t^{s, t}\left(Z_{2}, Z_{2}\right)+E_{16}^{s, t-1}\left(\Sigma^{-1} P_{1}\right)
$$

Homotopy groups of $P_{k}, k \equiv O(16)$ are easily obtained from this splitting.
(10
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TABIE 8.3
$\mathrm{k} \equiv 2(16)$


## TABLE 8.4

## $\mathrm{k} \equiv 3(16)$



TABLE 8.5
$k \equiv 4(16)$


TABIE 8.6
$\mathrm{k} \equiv 5(16)$


TABLE 8.7
$\mathrm{k} \equiv 6(16)$


TABLE 8.8
$k \equiv 7(16)$


## TABLE 8.9

$k \equiv 8(16)$


TABLE 8.10

$$
k \equiv 9(16)
$$



## TABLE 8.11

$\mathrm{k} \equiv 10(16)$


TABLE 8.12

## $\mathrm{k} \equiv 11$ (16)



TABLE 8.13
$\mathrm{k} \equiv 12(16)$


## TABLE 8.14 <br> $\mathrm{k} \equiv 13(16)$



TABLE 8.15
$k \equiv 14(16)$


TABLE 8.16
$\mathrm{k} \equiv$ 15(16)


## CHAPTER IV

## SOME PROPERTIES OF $\lambda$

1. The main goal of this chapter is to verify the conjecture II.4.1 as far as we can, Theorem 2.1, and to prove some more general results in the same directimon, 3.3, 4.3 and 4.4. In addition Theorems B, C and D of the introduction are proved. Actually all that remains after Chapter III in proving them is to identify the elements in $\pi_{*}\left(P_{n}\right)$ which are necessary. This is done in sections 4 and 5 together with section 7 of the preceding chapter.
2. The principal result of this section is 2.1.

THEOREM 2.1. The map $\lambda: P \rightarrow S^{0}$ induces epimorphism in homotopy through the 29-stem and a map

$$
\lambda_{*}: \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s, t}\left(H *\left(P_{1}\right), z_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s+1, t+1}\left(z_{2}, z_{2}\right)
$$

which is also an epimorphism for $t-s \leq 28$.
Proof. The present proof is by inspection. Any hope to prove Conjecture II.4.1 by this method will fail, of course. In later sections we will derive some general results. For the present we use the notation defining elements in the two Ext's as given in tables 8.1 and 8.2 of Chapter III. Clearly $\lambda_{*}(1)=h_{1}$. Hence the left most triangle of elements maps monomorphically.

Next, observe that $h_{0}^{2}\left({ }_{5} c_{0}\right)={ }_{2}{ }^{P_{1}} h_{2}$ and so $\lambda_{*}\left(h_{0}^{2} 5_{5} c_{0}\right)=P_{1} h_{2} \lambda_{*}\left(2^{I}\right)=$ $P_{1} h_{2}^{2}=h_{0}^{2} d_{0}$. Therefore $\lambda_{*}\left(5_{5} c_{0}\right)=d_{0}$. Since $\delta_{3}\left(h_{0} 1_{4}^{1}\right)=h_{0}\left({ }_{5} c_{0}\right)$ in the Adams spectral sequence for $P_{1}$ and $\delta_{3}\left(h_{0} h_{4}\right)=h_{0} d_{0}$ in the Adams spectral sequince for $S^{0}$ we see $\lambda_{*}\left(h_{0} 1_{4}^{l}\right)=h_{0} h_{4}$ or $\lambda_{*} 1_{4}^{1}=h_{4^{*}}$. Now $\delta_{2} h_{4}=h_{0} h_{3}{ }^{2}$ and so $\lambda_{*}\left({ }_{i} h_{3}\right)=h_{3}{ }^{2}$ or $\lambda_{*}\left({ }_{i} I\right)=h_{3}$. Also ${ }_{1} h_{2}{ }^{2}=\left\langle I, h_{0}, h_{2}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ and so $\lambda_{*}\left({ }_{1} h_{2}{ }^{2}\right)=$ $\left\langle h_{1}, h_{0}, h_{2}^{2}\right\rangle=c_{0}$.

Since $h_{0}\left(7 h_{2}{ }^{3}\right)={ }_{2} d_{0}, \lambda_{*}\left(h_{0} \eta_{2}{ }^{3}\right)=h_{2} d_{2}=h_{0} e_{0}$. Hence $\lambda_{*}\left(\eta_{2}{ }^{3}\right)=e_{0}$.
These give examples of how each individual case is handled. The rest of the argument is similar.
COROLLARY 2.2. The Adams differentials given for $P_{1}$ are just those induced by $s^{0}$.

The importance of this Corollary is the fact that all the differentials in $P_{1}$ could be obtained independently of those for a sphere. It should be
noted that the argument of Chapter III does not do this. There the result for a sphere of $\delta_{2}\left(e_{0}\right)$ is used to start the induction. We will prove here LEMMA 2.3. In $P_{1}, \delta_{2}\left(3 h_{0} h_{3}{ }^{2}\right)={ }_{1} h_{1} d_{0}$.
REMARK 2.4. $\lambda_{*}\left(3 h_{0} h_{3}^{2}\right)=f_{0}$ and all the differentials for a sphere throughout $t-s \leq 29$ not pertaining to $P_{i} h_{j}$ can easily be obtained from this.

Proof. First consider

$$
s^{3} \xrightarrow{i} P_{3}^{4} \rightarrow s^{4} .
$$

Using [2:2.6.1] it is easy to construct Ext for $P_{3}^{4}$ in homotopy dimension 18 . The following classes appear: $2^{h_{4}}, 3^{h_{0} h_{3}}{ }^{2}, 2^{h_{2}} d_{0}, 3^{h_{0}}{ }^{2} d_{0}$. The homotopy exact sequence shows that $\langle i, 2 \imath, \sigma \sigma\rangle,\langle i, 2 \imath, k\rangle, i_{*} \eta K$ and $i_{*} \rho$ are all non-zero classes in $\pi_{18}\left(P_{3}{ }^{4}\right)$ with $2\langle i, 2 l, k\rangle=i_{*} \eta k$. It is not hard to verify that $\left\{{ }_{3} h_{0} h_{3}{ }^{2}\right\}$ projects to $\langle i, 2 l, K\rangle$ (particularly in view of 7.1). Now consider

$$
s^{2} \vee s^{3} \rightarrow P_{2}^{4} \rightarrow s^{4}
$$

In homotopy $\partial_{*} K={ }_{2} \eta K$ since $\partial_{*}{ }^{2}=2^{\eta}+1^{2 t}$. The only way in the Adams spectral sequence to accomplish this is for $\delta_{2}\left({ }_{3} h_{0} h_{3}{ }^{2}\right)=\left({ }_{1} h_{1} d_{0}\right)$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

This lemma and the discussion before it suggest strongly that all differentials in the Adams spectral sequence are direct consequences of the Hopf invariant, one problem which, from our point of view, is just the vector field problem.
3. Using the Adams periodicity we see that the edge of Ext for $P_{1}$ is continued periodically. In particular, in each $8 \mathrm{j}-2$ stem there is a collection of at least four elements connected by $h_{0}$ and ending with filtration $4 j-1$. For example, if $t-s=22,\left({ }_{12} P_{1} h_{1}{ }^{2}\right)$ generates such a family. A portion of this family can be described using the periodicity theorem (theorem 5 of [3]).

Let $(P)$ be the periodicity operator raising $t-s$ by $8 j$ and $s$ by 4 j . PROPOS ITION 3.1. In Ext ${ }_{A}^{s, t}\left(\tilde{H}^{*}\left(P_{1}\right), Z_{2}\right)$,

$$
\left(P_{k \cdot 2}{ }_{j+1} h_{0}^{i}\left(2^{j+3^{1}}\right)\right.
$$

is non-zero for $k \geq 0, n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq i<2^{j+2}$.
Proof. The definition of $\lambda$ implies $\lambda_{*}\left(2_{2}{ }^{j+3^{I}}\right)=h_{j+3}$. Naturality and
II.6.15 of [21] complete the proof.

DEFINITION 3.2. In Ext for $P_{1}$ with $t-2=8 k-2,8 k \equiv 2^{j}\left(2^{j+1}\right)$, there is a class $b_{k}$ and an integer $i$ such that $h_{0}{ }^{i_{k}}=P_{q}\left(2_{2-2}{ }^{j}\right)$ for an appropriate $q$ and $b_{k} \neq h_{0} a$ for any $\alpha$. THEOREM 3.3. $\lambda_{*} b_{k} \neq 0$.

The proof is clear.
4. In Ext $A^{4,12}\left(H *\left(P_{1}^{2}\right), Z_{2}\right)$ there is a class ${ }_{1} h_{0}^{3} h_{3}$ which is a permanent surviving cycle and represents a coextension of 80 by $2 i_{3}$. Let $i: P_{1}^{2} \subset P_{1}$ and let $\mu_{1}^{\prime}=i_{*}\left\{\left\{_{1} h_{0}^{3} h_{3}\right\}\right.$. (In table III 8.2 the symbol $1_{1} h_{0}^{3} h_{3}$ represents $\mu_{1}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \cdot$ ) Define $\mu_{k}^{\prime}=\left\langle\mu_{k-1}^{\prime}, 22,8 \sigma\right\rangle$ where the coextension of $8 \sigma$ is always taken to be $\left\{2_{1} h_{0}^{3} h_{3}\right\}$. Let $\mu_{k}=\lambda_{*} \mu_{k}{ }^{\prime}$ 。
PROPOSITION 4.1. i) $\mu_{k} \neq 0$.
ii) $P^{k_{h_{1}}}$ is a surviving permanent cycle and $\mu_{k}=\left\{P^{k} h_{1}\right\}$.
iii) $d_{R}\left(\mu_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(1)$ where $R$ is the Adams invariant.
iv) $\eta \mu_{k}$ and $\eta^{2} \mu_{k} \neq 0$.

Proof. Clearly iii) will imply i). But $\mu_{k} \varepsilon\left\langle\mu_{k-1}, 2 l, 80\right\rangle$ and so if we show $e_{c}\left(\mu_{1}\right)=1 / 2$ we are done. But $\lambda_{*}\left\{{ }_{1} h_{0}{ }^{3} h_{3}\right\} \varepsilon\langle\eta, 2 \tau, 80\rangle$ and thus satisfies $\theta_{c}\left(\mu_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \bmod 1$. By [4] $\alpha_{R}=e_{c}$ in this case.

Notice that our requirement that the coextension of 80 used always has filtration ( 4,12 ) implies that the filtration of $\mu_{k}^{\prime}$ is ( $4 k, 121 k$ ) and hence $\mu_{k}$ must have filtration ( $4 k+1,12 k+2$ ), which means that it must project to $P^{k_{h}}$, proving ii).

Since our $\mu_{k}$ is essentially the same as Adams $\mu_{8 k+1}$ (they are defined by the same Toda bracket), iv) follows from [4], 12.14 and 12.17. This completes the proof.

Proposition 4.1. iv implies that $\left\{\mathrm{P}^{k} h_{1}{ }^{3}\right\} \neq 0$ and hence $\left\{\mathrm{P}^{k} h_{2}\right\} \neq 0$. Let $\xi_{k}=\left\{\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{h}_{2}\right\}$.
PROPOSITION 4.2. $\eta^{2} \mu_{k}=4 \xi_{k}$ and $\xi_{\mathrm{k}} \varepsilon$ in $\lambda_{*}$.
The proof is clear and this proves vii) of theorem B since e $\left(\eta^{2} \mu\right)=1 / 2$ and $e$ is a homomorphism.
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In Theorem III.7.4 let $n=-1$ and consider the sequence $P_{-1}^{0} \rightarrow P_{-1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}}$ $P_{1} *$ * Suppose $k \equiv 2^{m}\left(2^{m+1}\right), m \geq 3$. Then $i(-1, k)=\frac{k}{2}-m-1$ and $\tilde{\tilde{i}}_{*}\left(h_{0} i_{l} l_{k}\right)$ is a permanent cycle. Let $\left.\lambda_{*}\left\{\tilde{1}_{*}{ }_{0}{ }^{i_{1}}\right\}_{k}\right\}=\rho_{k / 8}$.
PROPOSITION 4.3. i) $\rho_{j} \neq 0$, indeed $e\left(\rho_{j}\right)=2^{-m+2}(\bmod$ I) where $8 j=k$

$$
\equiv 2^{m}\left(2^{m+1}\right)
$$

ii) $\eta \rho_{j}=\left\{P^{j-1} c_{0}\right\}$ modulo elements divisible by 2 .
iii) order $\rho_{j}=2^{m-2}$.

Proof. Let $a_{1} \varepsilon \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3,9}\left(H^{*}\left(P_{1}\right), Z_{2}\right)$ be the non-zero class. $\lambda_{*}\left\{a_{1}\right\}=80$ $\neq 0$. Also $8 \rho_{1}=80$. Let $\left\{a_{j}\right\}=\left\langle\left\{a_{j-1}\right\}, 2 r, 80\right\rangle$ where we use $\left\{{ }_{1} h_{0}^{3} h_{3}\right\}$ as the particular coextension of 80. Clearly $e\left(\lambda_{*} a_{j}\right\}=1 / 2$ and therefore $\left\{a_{j}\right\} \neq 0$. By a filtration consideration then $\left.\left\{a_{j}\right\}=2^{m-3}\left\{h_{0}{ }^{j_{1}}\right\}_{k}\right\}$ where $k, j$ and mare related as above. Hence $e\left(\lambda_{*}\left\{h_{0} j_{I_{k}}\right\}\right)=2^{-m+2}(\bmod 1)$.

Consider the map $\bar{\lambda}: P_{l} \xrightarrow{\lambda} S^{0} \xrightarrow{i} S^{0} \cup e^{1}$. An argument essentially paralleling the proof of III.7.4 but in homotopy shows that $\bar{\lambda}_{*}\left\{h_{0}{ }^{i} I_{k}\right\}=$ $\left\{\overline{p^{j-1} h_{2}{ }^{2}}\right\}$. Since $h_{1} \overline{P^{j-1} h_{2}{ }^{2}}=P^{j-1} c_{0}$, part ii) is established. Clearly $2\left\{a_{j}\right\}$ $=0$ and so $2^{m-2}\left\{h_{0}^{i} I_{k}\right\}=0$ but $2^{m-3}\left\{h_{0}{ }^{i} I_{k}\right\}=a_{j} \neq 0$. This completes the proof of the proposition.

This proposition completes the definition of the Adams collection of elopements, table I.I and the proof of theorem B.
5. We will now prove theorem C. The main tool is II. 4.6 and the results of Chapter III. Notice that if $n \equiv 0(2)\left[l_{n}, 2^{p^{p}} \rho_{j}\right] \neq 0$ if $p<m-3$ and $\left[\tau_{n}, 2^{p} \xi_{j}\right] \neq 0$ if $p \leq 2$ while if $n \equiv I(2) \quad\left[\tau_{n}, 2 \rho_{j}\right]=\left[\tau_{n}, 2 \xi_{j}\right]=0$. Now the results of Chapter III prove theorem $C$ except for the following cases: $i \equiv 0(8), 2^{m-3} \rho_{j} ; i \equiv 6(8), \mu_{j} ; i \equiv 5(8), \eta \mu_{j} ;$ and $i \equiv 4(8) ; \eta^{2} \mu_{j}$.

First consider $2^{m-3} \rho_{j}$ for $i=8 p$. This produces a class in $\pi_{8 j-1+8 p}\left(P_{8 p}\right)$ with s-filtration 4 j . Suppose $8(j+p) \equiv 2^{\mathrm{v}}\left(2^{\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{l}}\right)$. Let $q=\mathrm{v}-1+4 j$ and let $\beta_{q}$ be as defined in Chapter I. Finally let $n=8 p-\varphi(q)+8 j-1$. Then the
*The complex $P_{-1}$ as a stable object is the Thom complex of the bundle over $\Sigma \mathrm{P}_{1}$ induced by the adjoint of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda: \Sigma \mathrm{P}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{BSO}$.
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homotopy version of III 7.4 asserts that in the sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{8}(j+p)-1\left(s^{8 p}\right) \\
& \pi_{8 j+8 p-1}\left(P_{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{8(j+p)-1}\left(P_{8 p}\right) \xrightarrow{d_{*} \downarrow} \pi_{8(j+p)-2}\left(P_{n}^{8 p-1}\right) \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\hat{c}_{c_{*}} \\
\pi_{8(j+p)}-2\left(s^{n}\right)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\partial_{*} d_{*}\left(2^{m-3} \rho_{j}\right)=c_{*}\left(\beta_{q}\right)$. Thus by II.4.6 if $3 n-2>8(j+2 p)-1$ the theorem holds. By an easy calculation this is $8 p>8 j+6 v-2$. Now consider $i=8 p-2$ and $\mu_{j}$. This produces a class in $\pi_{8(j+p)-1}\left(P_{8 p-2}\right)$ with s-filtration $4 j+1$. Let $v$ be as above and let $q=v+4 j$ with $\beta_{q}$ defined as above. Let $n=$ $\delta p-\varphi(q)+8 j-1$. Then we have the same diagram as above with the same conclusion. The estimate again comes out $8 \mathrm{p}>8 \mathrm{j}+6 \mathrm{v}+2$.

The other two cases are done in a similar fashion, with the estimates being $8 p>8 j+6 v+5$ and $(i=8 p-3) 8 p>8 j+6 v+7(i=8 p-4)$ respectively. The above argument also completes the proof of theorem D.

## CHAPTER V

## UNSTABLE GROUPS

1. The purpose of this chapter is to give the general results on $\pi_{k+2 n}\left(S^{n}\right)$ for $-1 \leq k \leq 27$ that can easily be obtained from the calculations of Chapter III. The results are not as sharp as one would like because of the lack of a particular calculation in Ext for spheres, conjecture 2.4. It seems to us that the argument proving 2.6 is the most valuable contribution in this Chapter.

Throughout this Chapter the maps $P_{k, n}$ and $I_{k, n}$ are the ones in the Toda sequence

$$
\pi_{j+k}\left(S^{n+k}\right) \xrightarrow{I_{k, n}} \pi_{j-1}\left(\Sigma^{n-1} P_{n}^{n+k-1}\right) \xrightarrow{P_{k, n}} \pi_{j-1}\left(S^{n}\right)
$$

Using the propositions of section 3 and the tables of Chapter III almost all Whitehead products among elements in the first 20 stems can be determined. There seems to be little point in tabulating them. Recall also that $P_{k, n}$ is essentially the unstable J-homomorphism.

In connection with [19] one should compare 3.5 and 3.17 together with the observation that for all other congruence classes the tables of Chapter III settle the Whitehead products discussed there. Also among unstable groups the homomorphism described in the tables of Chapter III is just the one for the EHP sequence with exceptions as noted (compare section 3).

As a useful exercise we have the following table which is given without proof. The details consist just of gathering together all that we have done in Chapter III and the latter section of Chapter II. The determination of the stable groups is given in [20]. Let $I_{n}: \pi_{p}\left(S^{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{p-1}\left(\Sigma^{n-1} P_{n}\right)$. The Toda sequence requires that $n>(p+3) / 3$.

## Table 1.1

The Hopf invariant of some stable homotopy classes through the 40 stem. The element $\beta$ which is the image of $\alpha$ under $I_{n}$ is defined by what it looks like for the largest $n$ for which $I_{n}(\alpha)^{n} \neq 0$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p=23, n \geq 9 & I_{n}=0 \\
p=24, n \geq 10 & I_{n}=0 \\
p=25, n \geq 10 & I_{n}=0
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{p}=26, \mathrm{n} \geq 10 \quad I_{\mathrm{n}}=0 \\
& p=27, n \geq 11 \quad I_{n}=0 \\
& p=28, n \geq 11 \quad I_{n}=0 \\
& p=29, n \geq 11 \quad I_{n}=0 \\
& \mathrm{p}=30, \mathrm{n} \geq 12 \quad I_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\left\{\mathrm{~h}_{4}^{2}\right\}\right)=\eta \sigma \\
& \mathrm{p}=31, \mathrm{n} \geq 12 \quad \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\left\{\mathrm{~h}_{1} \mathrm{~h}_{4}{ }^{2}\right\}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{h}_{2} \mathrm{~h}_{4}\right\} \\
& I_{n}\left(\left\{h_{0}{ }^{10} h_{5}\right\}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{p}_{h_{1}}^{2}\right\} \\
& I_{n}\left(\left\{h_{0} 1 I_{h_{5}}\right\}\right)=\left\{P^{2} h_{1}\right\} \\
& p=32, n \geq 12 \quad I_{n}\left(\left\{h_{1} h_{5}\right\}\right)=\left\{h_{2}\right\} \\
& p=33, n \geq 13 \quad I_{n}\left(\left\{d_{1}\right\}\right)=\left\{c_{1}\right\} \\
& I_{n}(\{p\})=\left\{c_{1}\right\} \\
& I_{n}\left(\left\{h_{1}{ }^{2} h_{5}\right\}\right)=\left\{h_{2}{ }^{2}\right\} \\
& p=34, n \geq 13 \quad I_{n}\left(\left\{h_{2} h_{5}\right\}\right)=\left\{h_{3}\right\} \\
& I_{n}\left(\left\{h_{0} h_{2} h_{5}\right\}\right)=\left\{h_{1} h_{3}\right\} \\
& I_{n}\left(\left\{h_{0}{ }^{2} h_{2} h_{5}\right\}\right)=\left\{h_{1}{ }^{2} h_{3}\right\} \\
& p=35, n \geq 13 \quad I_{n}=0 \\
& p=36, n \geq 14 \quad I_{n}=0 \\
& p=37, n \geq 14 \quad I_{n}\left(\left\{h_{2}{ }^{2} h_{5}\right\}\right)=\left\{h_{3}{ }^{2}\right\} \\
& I_{n}(\{x\})=\left\{h_{4} c_{0}\right\} \\
& p=38, n \geq I_{4} \quad I_{n}\left(\left\{h_{0}^{2} h_{3} h_{5}\right\}\right)=\left\{h_{1} h_{4}\right\} \\
& I_{n}\left(\left\{h_{0}^{3} h_{3} h_{5}\right\}\right)=\left\{h_{1}^{3} h_{4}\right\} \\
& I_{n}\left(\left\{e_{1}\right\}\right)=\left\{h_{3}{ }^{3}\right\} \\
& p=39, n \geq 15 \quad I_{n}\left(\left\{h_{1} h_{3} h_{5}\right\}\right)=\left\{h_{2} h_{5}\right\} \\
& I_{n}\left(\left\{h_{5} c_{0}\right\}\right)=\left\{c_{1}\right\} \\
& p=40, n \geq 15 \quad I_{n}\left(\left\{h_{1}{ }^{2} h_{3} h_{5}\right\}\right)=\left\{h_{2} L_{4}\right\} \\
& I_{n}\left(\left\{h_{5} c_{0} h_{1}\right\}\right)=\left\{h_{2} c_{1}\right\} \\
& 22 \geq n \geq 12 \\
& n=12,13 \\
& n=12,13 \\
& \mathrm{n}=12 \\
& 29 \geq n \geq 12 \\
& n=13 \\
& n=13,14 \\
& 27 \geq n \geq 13 \\
& 27 \geq n \geq 13 \\
& 26 \geq n \geq 13 \\
& 25 \geq n \geq 13 \\
& 23 \geq n \geq 14 \\
& n=14 \\
& 21 \geq n \geq 14 \\
& 20 \geq n \geq 14 \\
& 17 \geq n \geq 14 \\
& 21 \geq n \geq 15 \\
& 20 \geq n \geq 15 \\
& 19 \geq \mathrm{n} \geq 15
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Consider the table of Ext for $P_{k}, k \equiv 3(16)$. We will study the following subset of that table:

4
3
2
1
${ }_{10}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} 2$

$$
8_{2}^{h_{2}^{2}} \quad 7_{1}^{h_{1} h_{3}}
$$

$8^{h} 3$
$1 h_{1}^{3} h_{4}$
$2 h_{1}^{2} h_{4}$
$1 h_{1}^{3} h_{4}$
$2 h_{1}^{2} h_{4}$
$\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{n}^{2} \mathrm{~h}_{4}$
$4^{h_{3}} \quad 33_{1}^{h_{1} h_{4}} \quad 2^{h_{2} h_{4}}$

$$
4^{h} 4
$$

$\frac{0}{5} \quad 12^{1}$
t-s 1213
14
$6^{h}{ }^{3}$
$16 \quad 17$
Table 2.1

First we recall the results on multiplication by $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$.
a) $h_{1}^{3}\left({ }_{12} 1\right)=h_{1}^{2}\left({ }_{10} h_{2}\right)=h_{1}\left(8 h_{2}^{2}\right)=6 h_{2}^{3}=h_{0}^{2}\left({ }_{8} h_{3}\right)=h_{0}\left({ }_{7} h_{1} h_{3}\right)$ and
b) $h_{0}{ }^{3}\left(h_{4}\right)=h_{0}{ }^{2}\left({ }_{3} h_{1} h_{4}\right)=h_{0}^{1}\left({ }_{2} h_{1}{ }^{2} h_{4}\right)={ }_{1} h_{1}{ }^{3} h_{4}$.
c) $h_{1}^{2}\left({ }_{4} h_{4}\right)=h_{1}\left({ }_{2} h_{2} h_{4}\right)={ }_{0}\left(h_{2}^{2} h_{4}\right)$.

Then we will prove
PROPOSITION 2.2. $h_{2}^{2}\left({ }_{12} I\right)=h_{2}{ }^{1}\left({ }_{8} h_{3}\right)=\left(4_{3}{ }^{2}\right)$.
Proof. That $h_{2}\left(12^{1}\right)={ }_{8} h_{3}$ follows immediately from $\mathrm{Sq}^{4}{ }^{15+16 k}=$ $\mathrm{Sq}^{8} \alpha^{11+16 k}=\alpha^{19+16 k}$.

Consider the diagram


By definition $p_{0 *}\left(g_{8}\right)=i_{1 *} h_{3}$. But clearly $p_{1 *}\left\langle I_{k+4}, h_{2} h_{3}\right\rangle=i_{1 *} h_{3}$ too. Now $\left\langle I_{k^{+}+}, h_{2}, h_{3}\right\rangle h_{2}=p_{2 *}\left(h_{2}\left(g_{3}\right)\right)=i_{2 *}\left\langle h_{2} h_{3} h_{2}\right\rangle=i_{2 *} h_{3}{ }^{2}$.
PROPOSITION 2.3. Suppose $\mathrm{k} \equiv 19(32)$. Then $h_{3}\left(12^{1}\right)=4 h_{4}$ and hence $h_{2}\left(4 h^{2}\right)$ $=0_{2} 2_{h_{4}}$.

The proposition follows immediately from $\mathrm{Sq}^{8} \mathrm{a}^{31+32 \mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{Sq}^{16} \mathrm{a}^{23+32 \mathrm{k}} \neq 0$.

From May's calculations it seems likely that the following is true. Conjecture 2.4. For $k \geq 6 \beta h_{k}^{2} \neq 0$ for $\beta$ any non-zero monomial in $h_{i}$ with $t-\mathrm{s} \leq 10$.

If $k=6$ Tangora has verified the conjecture (unpublished) while if $k=5$ we have the following:
LEMMA 2.5 (Tangora [24]). If $k=5, \beta h_{k}^{2} \neq 0$ for $\alpha$ any non-zero monomial in $h_{i}$ with $t-s \leq 3$.

The main result of this section is the next theorem. THEOREM 2.6. Suppose $k+13=\left(2^{i}+j\right)\left(2^{i+1}\right), j \equiv 1(2)$, and $j>0$. If $\beta h_{i}^{2} \neq 0$ in $H^{*}(A)$, for $\beta \varepsilon H^{*}(A) \quad\left(t-s<2^{i}\right.$ for $\left.\beta\right)$, then $\beta\left({ }_{12}{ }^{I}\right)$ is not in the image of $p_{*}$ where

$$
p: P_{(j-1) 2^{i+1}+2^{i}+1} \rightarrow P_{k}
$$

Proof. The map $\lambda: P \rightarrow S^{0}$ satisfies $\lambda_{*}\left(2^{i-} 2^{l}\right)=h_{i}$. Hence $\lambda_{*}\left(2^{i-} 2_{i} h_{i}\right)$ $=h_{i}^{2}$. Thus if $\beta h_{i}^{2} \neq 0$, then $\beta\left(2^{i}-{ }_{2} h_{i}\right) \neq 0$. Hence in $E_{2^{i}}$ of the presspectral sequence the same conclusion must hold. Now $E_{2^{i}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{I}\right) \simeq$ $E_{2^{i}}\left(P(j-1) 2^{i+1}+2^{i}+1\right)$ since as modules over A involving cohomology operation which raise dimension by less than $2^{i}, H *\left(P_{i}\right) \simeq H *\left(P_{q}\right)$ where $q=(j-1) 2^{i+1}+$ $2^{i}+1$. But in $\left.E_{2^{i}}\left(P_{q}\right), \delta_{2^{i}}\left(2^{i+1}-2\right)^{I}\right)=\left(2^{i}-2\right)^{h} 2^{i}$ since

$$
\mathrm{Sq}^{2^{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{q}}+2^{i}-2=\alpha^{k+12}
$$

Hence the theorem follows.
Using [2] we know that $h_{i} h_{j}{ }^{2} \neq 0$ if $i \leq j-3$.
3. Using theorem 2.6 we will now investigate the first few unstable groups. First we show
IRMMA 3.1. a) Applying Ext to the sequence $P_{k-6}^{k-1} \rightarrow P_{k-6} \rightarrow P_{k}$ where $k \equiv$ 5(16) and letting $\delta$ be the coboundary in the resulting sequence we have $\delta\left({ }_{8} c_{1}\right)=d_{1}$ and $8\left(9_{1}\right)=p$.
b) Applying Ext to the sequence $P_{k-8}^{k-1} \rightarrow P_{k-8} \rightarrow P_{k}$ with the other notation as above we have $\delta\left(h_{0}\left(c_{1}\right)\right)=h_{2} d_{1}$.

Proof. Recall $d_{1}=\left\langle h_{3}, h_{2}, h_{1}, c_{1}\right\rangle$. Consider the diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{k+8} \stackrel{p_{1}}{\leftarrow} P_{k+6} & \stackrel{p_{2}}{\leftarrow} P_{k+2} \stackrel{p_{3}}{\leftarrow} P_{k} \stackrel{p_{4}}{\longleftrightarrow} P_{k-6} \\
\left(p_{2} p_{3}\right)_{*}\left(c_{8} c_{1}\right)=\left\langle I_{k+6}, h_{1}, c_{1}\right\rangle & =\left\langle I_{k+6}, h_{1},\left\langle h_{2}, h_{3}, h_{1} h_{3}\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left\langle I_{k+6}, h_{1}, h_{2}\right\rangle, h_{3}, h_{1} h_{3}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $p_{2 *}\left\langle I_{k+2},\left\langle h_{2}, h_{1}, h_{2}\right\rangle, h_{3}, h_{1} h_{3}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left\langle l_{k+6}, h_{1}, h_{2}\right\rangle, h_{3}, h_{1} h_{3}\right\rangle$. Finally in the sequence for $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{k}+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}-6} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}+2}$ we have $\delta_{*} I_{\mathrm{k}+2}=h_{3}$ or
$\delta_{*}\left\langle I_{k+2}, h_{1} h_{3}, h_{3}, h_{1} h_{3}\right\rangle=d_{1}$. Since $h_{1} I_{k-6}=h_{2} l_{k-8}$ we get part b) of the proposition while the second part of a) then follows from the module extension property given by 3.15.
IEMMA 3.2. a) Applying Ext to the sequence $P_{k-10}^{k-1} \rightarrow P_{k-10} \rightarrow P_{k}$ where $k \equiv q(10$ we have $\delta\left(h_{0}\left(7_{7}{ }^{3}\right)\right)=0^{x}$.
b) If $k \equiv 10(16), \delta\left({ }_{7} h_{3}{ }^{3}\right)=0^{e} I^{\circ}$

IEMMA 3.3. If $k \equiv 12(16)$ then $8^{C_{1}}={ }_{19} 9^{1} c_{0}$.
These propositions are proved just as 3.1.
The calculations tabulated in tables I.4.2 and I.4.3 now follow by looking at

$$
p_{*}: \pi_{j+29}\left(P_{j+k}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{j+29}\left(P_{j}\right)
$$

and finding
a) the smallest $k$ such that $p_{*}$ is zero, or
b) a $k$ such that there is a $k^{1}$ for which $p_{*^{\prime}}: \pi_{j+29}\left(P_{j+k}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{j+29}\left(P_{j-k^{\prime}}\right)$ is zero.

Inspection of the tables gives the first statement and Lemmas 3.1, 2 and 3 together with 2.6 supply the answers to the second part. The tables give the easy results possible by this method.

The details of this calculation are omitted but we give one case to illustrate the procedure.
PROPOSITION 3.4. If $k+n \neq 2^{j}+2$, but $k+n \equiv 2(\bmod 16)$ then $\pi_{2 n+k}\left(S^{n}\right)=$ $\pi_{n+k}^{S} \oplus \pi_{n+k+1}\left(P_{n}\right)$ for $k \leq 6$ and for $k \leq 28$ if 2.4 holds.

Proof. Consider the sequence

$$
\pi_{29+n}^{S} \xrightarrow{I_{29}} \pi_{29+n}\left(P_{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{28+2 n}\left(S^{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{28+n}^{S} \xrightarrow{I_{28}} \pi_{28+n}\left(P_{n}\right) \rightarrow
$$

for $n \equiv 6(16)$. Since $\pi_{29+n}\left(P_{n}\right)=Z_{8}$ and by a simple check of the differentrials we see that

$$
p^{*}: \pi_{29+n}\left(P_{n-5}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{29+n}\left(P_{n}\right)
$$

is zero. Thus $I_{29}=0$.
Also by inspection we see that $i m\left(p^{*}: \pi_{28+n}\left(P_{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{28+n}\left(P_{n+3}\right)\right)$ is
generated by $\left\{18 h_{3}\right\}=\left\{2 L^{I} h_{2}\right\}$. Conjecture 2.4 and theorem 2.6 show $I_{28}$ is zero. Without 2.4 we know that in $\left\{I_{28}\right\}$ could only be $\left\{22 I_{20} h_{0}{ }^{2} h_{2}\right\}$, a $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ group, since 2.4 is verified through s filtration 3.
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