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#### Abstract

We establish motivic versions of the theorems of Lin and Gunawardena, thereby confirming the motivic Segal conjecture for the algebraic group $\mu_{\ell}$ of $\ell$-th roots of unity, where $\ell$ is any prime. To achieve this we develop motivic Singer constructions associated to the symmetric group $S_{\ell}$ and to $\mu_{\ell}$, and introduce a delayed limit Adams spectral sequence.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\gamma^{1} \downarrow \mathbb{R} P^{\infty} \simeq B C_{2}$ be the tautological line bundle over infinite-dimensional real projective space, let $\mathbb{R} P_{-m}^{\infty}=T h\left(-m \gamma^{1}\right)$ be the Thom spectrum of the negative of $m$ times $\gamma^{1}$, and let $\mathbb{R} P_{-\infty}^{\infty}=\operatorname{holim}_{m} \mathbb{R} P_{-m}^{\infty}$. Mahowald conjectured that there is a 2-adic equivalence $\mathbb{R} P_{-\infty}^{\infty} \simeq S^{-1}$, see Ada74 p. 5]. More generally, Segal conjectured for finite groups $G$ that there is an $I(G)$-adic equivalence $\left(S_{G}\right)^{G} \simeq$ $\left(S_{G}\right)^{h G}$ from the fixed points to the homotopy fixed points of the $G$-equivariant sphere spectrum. Here $I(G)$ denotes the augmentation ideal in the Burnside ring of $G$.

Mahowald's conjecture, which is equivalent to Segal's Burnside ring conjecture for $C_{2}$, was proved by Lin in Lin80, Thm. 1.2]. For odd primes $\ell$, Gunawardena Gun81 proved Segal's conjecture for $C_{\ell}$, obtaining an $\ell$-adic equivalence $L_{-\infty}^{\infty} \simeq S^{-1}$. Here $L_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ denotes a homotopy limit of Thom spectra over the infinite-dimensional lens space $L^{\infty} \simeq B C_{\ell}$. Segal's conjecture was later affirmed for all finite groups by Carlsson Car84, building on MM82, AGM85 and CMP87.

In this paper we promote the classical theorems of Lin and Gunawardena to the motivic setting, obtaining $\pi_{*, *}$-isomorphisms $\mathbb{S} \simeq \Sigma^{1,0} L_{-\infty}^{\infty}$, after $(\ell, \eta)$-adic completion, for all primes $\ell$. Here $\mathbb{S}$ denotes the motivic sphere spectrum, and now

[^0]$L_{-\infty}^{\infty}=\operatorname{holim}_{m} L_{-2 m}^{\infty}$, where $L_{-2 m}^{\infty}$ is the Thom spectrum of a virtual algebraic vector bundle over the geometric classifying space $L^{\infty}=B \mu_{\ell}$ of the algebraic group $\mu_{\ell}$ of $\ell$-th roots of unity. More precisely, $L_{-2 m}^{\infty}=\operatorname{hocolim}_{n} L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m-1}$, where $L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m-1}=T h\left(-m \gamma_{n}^{*} \downarrow L^{2 n-1}\right)$ and $\gamma_{n}^{*}$ is the dual of the tautological algebraic line bundle over $L^{2 n-1}=\left(\mathbb{A}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) / \mu_{\ell}$.
Theorem 1.1. Let $S$ be a finite dimensional Noetherian scheme, essentially smooth over a field or Dedekind domain containing $1 / \ell$. There is a $\pi_{*, *}$-isomorphism
$$
e_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}: \mathbb{S}_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge} \longrightarrow\left(\Sigma^{1,0} L_{-\infty}^{\infty}\right)_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}
$$
in the stable motivic homotopy category $S H(S)$. If $S=\operatorname{Spec} k$ for $k$ a field, then $e_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}$ is a motivic equivalence.

In other words, we prove the motivic Segal conjecture in its non-equivariant form, in the case of the algebraic group $\mu_{\ell}$, for any prime $\ell$. For $\ell=2$ this is the motivic version of Mahowald's conjecture and Lin's theorem. For $\ell$ odd it is the motivic version of Gunawardena's theorem.

Already for $S=\operatorname{Spec} k$ in the algebraically closed case $k=\mathbb{C}$, the additional information about motivic weight has proved to be a valuable new tool for calculational purposes Isa19, [IWX20]. In the real case $k=\mathbb{R}$, many new phenomena arise Hil11, DI17, BI22. Our results are valid even in the arithmetically most substantial cases of (rings of $\ell$-integers in) number fields. In particular, we have made an effort to not have to assume that the $\bmod \ell$ motivic cohomology groups $H^{*, *}=H^{*, *}(S ; \mathbb{Z} / \ell)$ are finite in each bidegree. Our results enable an analysis of $\pi_{*, *}(\mathbb{S})$ by comparison with the homotopy spectral sequence associated to the tower $\left\{L_{-2 m}^{\infty}\right\}_{m}$, i.e., the motivic Mahowald root invariants, refining Mah85], MR93. Such applications have already appeared in Qui21a, Qui21b. We expect the interplay between the motivic cohomology of number fields and the Mahowald root invariants to be very rich.

In Section 2 we review from Voevodsky's article Voe03a the Hopf algebroid structure of the motivic dual Steenrod algebra $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$, and of its quotients $A(n)_{*, *}=$ $\mathscr{A}_{*, *} / I(n)$. In Section 3 we generalize the approach of Adams-Gunawardena-Miller from AGM85, §2], and introduce the $A(n)_{*, *} A(n-1)_{*, *}$ bicomodule algebras $C(n)_{*, *}=\mathscr{A}_{*, *} / J(n)$ and their localizations $B(n)_{*, *}$ away from $\xi_{1}$. In Section 4 we dualize these constructions, following Boardman Boa82, §3], obtaining the motivic Steenrod algebra $\mathscr{A}$, its finite subalgebras $A(n)$, and the $A(n)-A(n-1)$-bimodules $C(n)$ and $B(n)$. In Section 5 we generalize the (small) Singer construction of Sin81 and LS82, obtaining an $\mathscr{A}$-module $R_{S}(M)=\operatorname{colim}_{n} B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} M$ and a natural homomorphism $\epsilon: R_{S}(M) \rightarrow M$ for each $\mathscr{A}$-module $M$.

We prove in Theorem 5.8 that $R_{S}\left(H^{*, *}\right) \cong \Sigma^{1,0} H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }}$ is a shifted localization of the motivic cohomology of the geometric classifying space of the symmetric group $S_{\ell}$ on $\ell$ letters. In Section 6 we recast AGM85, §5] and construct a (large) Singer construction $R_{\mu}(M)$ and a natural $\mathscr{A}$-module homomorphism $\epsilon: R_{\mu}(M) \rightarrow M$. We show in Corollary 6.6 that $R_{\mu}\left(H^{*, *}\right) \cong \Sigma^{1,0} H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }}$ is a shifted localization of the motivic cohomology of the infinite lens space $B \mu_{\ell}$. In Section 7 we prove that the evaluation homomorphisms $\epsilon$ are Ext-equivalences. Here we deviate from the Tor-equivalence approach of AGM85, §2], due to the two-sided nature of Hopf algebroids.

In Section 10 we construct the tower $\left\{L_{-2 m}^{\infty}\right\}_{m}$ of motivic spectra, and the map $e: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} L_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ to the suspension of their homotopy limit. We show in Proposition 10.10 that the continuous cohomology $H_{c}^{*, *}\left(L_{-\infty}^{\infty}\right)=\operatorname{colim}_{m} H^{*, *}\left(L_{-2 m}^{\infty}\right)$ is isomorphic as an $\mathscr{A}$-module to $H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }}$, and that $e$ induces the Ext-equivalence $\epsilon$, via the identifications above. The plan is now to compare the motivic mod $\ell$ Adams spectral sequence for $\mathbb{S}$ with the tower of Adams spectral sequences associated to
the $L_{-2 m}^{\infty}$. This works fine in the presence of sufficient finiteness to ensure that the algebraic limit of these Adams spectral sequences is again a spectral sequence, as is the case in the classical setting of CMP87. However, for base schemes $S$ such that $H^{*, *}$ is not finite in each bidegree, this approach can fail. Instead, we form a modified Adams spectral sequence, called the delayed limit Adams spectral sequence, where any $\lim ^{1}$-classes arising from non-exactness are shifted up into the next filtration degree.

In Section 8 we prepare for this construction by introducing some terminology for motivic generalized Eilenberg-MacLane spectra, and formulate a finiteness condition, called bifinite type, which lets us identify the $E_{1}$ - and $E_{2}$-terms of motivic Adams spectral sequences in algebraic terms. In Section 9 we introduce the delayed limit Adams spectral sequence in Definition 9.1, and identify its $E_{2}$-term as Ext for a continuous cohomology $\mathscr{A}$-module in Proposition 9.2. In Proposition 9.6 we show that the delayed limit Adams spectral sequence converges conditionally, and in Proposition 9.7 we adapt a comparison theorem from Boa99] for morphisms of conditionally convergent spectral sequences. In Section 11 the threads are brought together. See Theorem 11.1 for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

This article is based on the first author's PhD thesis Gre13], guided by the second author.

## 2. The motivic Steenrod algebra and its dual

Let $S$ be a Noetherian (separated) scheme of finite (Krull) dimension $d$, essentially smooth over a field or a Dedekind domain, and let $\ell$ be a prime that is invertible on $S$.

Let $S H(S)$ be Voevodsky's motivic stable homotopy category Voe98, Def. 5.7], Jar00 associated to smooth schemes over $S$. It is triangulated, and has a compatible closed symmetric monoidal structure given by the motivic sphere spectrum $\mathbb{S}=\Sigma^{\infty} S_{+}$, the smash product pairing $-\wedge-$, the twist isomorphism $\gamma$ and the function spectrum $F(-,-)$. Let $H=H \mathbb{Z} / \ell$ be the motivic Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum representing motivic cohomology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z} / \ell$. It is a commutative ring spectrum, with unit map $\eta: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow H$ and product $\mu: H \wedge H \rightarrow H$. Moreover, $H$ is known to be cellular Hoy15, Prop. 8.1], Spi18, Cor. 10.4], i.e., an iterated homotopy colimit of stable motivic spheres.

Let $H_{*, *}=\pi_{*, *}(H)=H^{-*,-*}$ denote the motivic homology and cohomology groups of the base scheme $S$. Then $H^{p, q}=0$ unless $0 \leq p \leq \min \{q+d, 2 q\}$, cf. Gei04, Cor. 4.4], Hoy15, Cor. 4.26]. For $x \in \pi_{t, u}(X)$, where $X$ is any motivic spectrum, we refer to $t$ and $u$ as the topological degree and weight of $x$, respectively. We write $|x|=\operatorname{deg}(x)=t, \operatorname{wt}(x)=u$ and $\|x\|=(t, u)$. The cup product induced by $\mu$ gives $H^{*, *}=H_{-*,-*}$ the structure of a bigraded commutative $\mathbb{Z} / \ell$-algebra. Only the parity of the topological degree plays a role in bigraded commutativity.

Let $\mathscr{A}=H^{*, *}(H)=\pi_{-*,-*} F(H, H)$ denote the motivic Steenrod algebra, and let $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}=H_{*, *}(H)=\pi_{*, *}(H \wedge H)$ denote its dual. Then $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ is free as a left $H_{*, *^{-}}$ module, cf. Lemma 2.1] so the pair $\left(H_{*, *}, \mathscr{A}_{*, *}\right)$ admits the structure of a bigraded Hopf algebroid [Ada69, Lec. 3], MR77, §1], Rav86, Def. A1.1.1]. Its structure maps are the following $\mathbb{Z} / \ell$-algebra homomorphisms:
(1) the left unit $\eta_{L}: H_{*, *} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ induced by $1 \wedge \eta: H=H \wedge \mathbb{S} \rightarrow H \wedge H$;
(2) the right unit $\eta_{R}: H_{*, *} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ induced by $\eta \wedge 1: H=\mathbb{S} \wedge H \rightarrow H \wedge H$;
(3) the product $\phi: \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \otimes \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ induced by $(\mu \wedge \mu)(1 \wedge \gamma \wedge 1): H \wedge H \wedge$ $H \wedge H \rightarrow H \wedge H$
(4) the counit $\epsilon: \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \rightarrow H_{*, *}$ induced by $\mu: H \wedge H \rightarrow H$;
(5) the coproduct $\psi: \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ induced by $1 \wedge \eta \wedge 1: H \wedge H=$ $H \wedge \mathbb{S} \wedge H \rightarrow H \wedge H \wedge H \cong(H \wedge H) \wedge_{H}(H \wedge H) ;$
(6) the conjugation $\chi: \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ induced by $\gamma: H \wedge H \rightarrow H \wedge H$.

We use the left and right units to view $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ as an $H_{*, *}-H_{*, *}$-bimodule, and $-\otimes_{H_{*, *}}-$ in (5) denotes the bimodule tensor product.

More explicitly,

$$
\mathscr{A}_{*, *}=H_{*, *}\left[\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots\right] /\left(\tau_{i}^{2}-T_{i} \mid i \geq 0\right)
$$

is a bigraded commutative $H_{*, *}$-algebra generated by classes $\tau_{i}$ in bidegree $\left\|\tau_{i}\right\|=$ $\left(2 \ell^{i}-1, \ell^{i}-1\right)$ and $\xi_{i}$ in bidegree $\left\|\xi_{i}\right\|=\left(2 \ell^{i}-2, \ell^{i}-1\right)$, where

$$
T_{i}= \begin{cases}\tau \xi_{i+1}+\rho \tau_{i+1}+\rho \tau_{0} \xi_{i+1} & \text { for } \ell=2 \\ 0 & \text { for } \ell \text { odd }\end{cases}
$$

Here the elements $\rho \in H^{1,1}=H_{-1,-1}$ and $\tau \in H^{0,1}=H_{0,-1}$ are specified for $\ell=2$ in Voe03a, Thm. 6.10]. They shall be interpreted to be zero for $\ell$ odd. In these terms,
(1) the algebra unit is $\eta_{L}$;
(2) $\eta_{R}=\chi \eta_{L}$ satisfies $\eta_{R}(\rho)=\rho$ and $\eta_{R}(\tau)=\tau+\rho \tau_{0}$;
(3) the algebra product is $\phi$;
(4) the counit $\epsilon$ maps each $\tau_{i}$ and $\xi_{i}$ to 0 ;
(5) the coproduct $\psi$ satisfies

$$
\psi\left(\tau_{k}\right)=\tau_{k} \otimes 1+\sum_{i+j=k} \xi_{i}^{\ell^{j}} \otimes \tau_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad \psi\left(\xi_{k}\right)=\sum_{i+j=k} \xi_{i}^{\ell^{j}} \otimes \xi_{j}
$$

where $\xi_{0}=1$;
(6) the conjugation $\chi$ satisfies

$$
\tau_{k}+\sum_{i+j=k} \xi_{i}^{\ell^{j}} \chi\left(\tau_{j}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i+j=k} \xi_{i}^{\ell^{j}} \chi\left(\xi_{j}\right)=0
$$

and $\chi^{2}=1$.
See Voe03a, Thm. 12.6, Lem. 12.11, Rem. 12.12], Voe10, Thm. 3.49], HKØ17, Thm. 5.6] and Spi18, Thm. 10.26] for proofs.

Lemma 2.1. The monomials

$$
\tau^{E} \xi^{R}=\tau_{0}^{e_{0}} \tau_{1}^{e_{1}} \cdots \xi_{1}^{r_{1}} \xi_{2}^{r_{2}} \cdots
$$

where $E=\left(e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots\right)$ and $R=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots\right)$ range through the finite length integer sequences with $e_{s} \in\{0,1\}$ and $r_{s} \geq 0$, form a basis for

$$
\mathscr{A}_{*, *}=\frac{H_{*, *}\left[\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots\right]}{\left(\tau_{i}^{2}-T_{i} \mid i \geq 0\right)}
$$

as a free left $H_{*, *}$-module.
Proof. For $\ell$ odd this is clear. The claim for $\ell=2$ follows from the form of the relations $\tau_{i}^{2}=T_{i}$, since $\xi_{i+1}, \tau_{i+1}$ and $\tau_{0} \xi_{i+1}$ have higher weight than $\tau_{i}^{2}$.
Lemma 2.2. The same monomials $\tau^{E} \xi^{R}$ as in Lemma 2.1 form a basis for $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ as a free right $H_{*, *}$ module.
Proof. For $t \geq 0$ let

$$
F^{t} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}=\left\langle\tau^{E} \xi^{R} \mid \operatorname{deg}\left(\tau^{E} \xi^{R}\right) \geq t\right\rangle \subset \mathscr{A}_{*, *}
$$

be the left $H_{*, *}$-submodule generated by the monomials from Lemma 2.1 of topological degree $\geq t$. These are also right $H_{*, *}$-submodules, since $\epsilon \eta_{L}=\mathrm{id}=\epsilon \eta_{R}$ implies $\eta_{L} \equiv \eta_{R} \bmod F^{1} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}=\operatorname{ker}(\epsilon)$, and $F^{t} \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \cdot F^{1} \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \subset F^{t+1} \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \subset F^{t} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}$. (The first inclusion uses that $\tau_{i}^{2}=T_{i}$ has topological degree less than or equal to that
of $\xi_{i+1}, \tau_{i+1}$ and $\tau_{0} \xi_{i+1}$.) This defines a decreasing filtration of $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ by $H_{*, *}-H_{*, *}{ }^{-}$ bimodules, such that the left and right $H_{*, *}$-module actions agree on each filtration quotient

$$
\operatorname{gr}^{t} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}=\frac{F^{t} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}}{F^{t+1} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}}
$$

The (cosets of the) degree $=t$ monomials $\tau^{E} \xi^{R}$ from Lemma 2.1 freely generate this quotient as a left $H_{*, *}$-module, hence also as a right $H_{*, *}$-module. It follows that the degree $\geq 0$ monomials $\tau^{E} \xi^{R}$ freely generate $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ as a right $H_{*, *}$-module, since in any given bidegree $F^{t} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}=0$ for all sufficiently large $t$.

The classical definitions of [Ste62, §II.3, §VI.4] generalize to the motivic setting.
Definition 2.3. For $n \geq-1$, let $I(n) \subset \mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ be the ideal

$$
I(n)=\left(\tau_{n+1}, \tau_{n+2}, \ldots, \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}, \xi_{2}^{\ell^{n-1}}, \ldots, \xi_{n}^{\ell}, \xi_{n+1}, \xi_{n+2}, \ldots\right)
$$

generated by $\tau_{k}$ for $k \geq n+1$ and by $\xi_{i}^{\ell^{j}}$ for $i \geq 1, j \geq 0$ and $i+j \geq n+1$. Note that $T_{i} \in I(n)$ for $i \geq n$. Let

$$
A(n)_{*, *}=\mathscr{A}_{*, *} / I(n)=\frac{H_{*, *}\left[\tau_{0}, \ldots, \tau_{n}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right]}{\left(\tau_{0}^{2}-T_{0}, \ldots, \tau_{n-1}^{2}-T_{n-1}, \tau_{n}^{2}, \xi_{1}^{\ell n}, \xi_{2}^{\ell n-1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}^{\ell}\right)}
$$

be the quotient algebra.
Example 2.4.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(-1) & =\left(\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots\right) \\
I(0) & =\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots\right) \\
I(1) & =\left(\tau_{2}, \tau_{3}, \ldots, \xi_{1}^{\ell}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}, \ldots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(-1)_{*, *} & =H_{*, *} \\
A(0)_{*, *} & =H_{*, *}\left[\tau_{0}\right] /\left(\tau_{0}^{2}\right) \\
A(1)_{*, *} & =H_{*, *}\left[\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right] /\left(\tau_{0}^{2}-T_{0}, \tau_{1}^{2}, \xi_{1}^{\ell}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.5. There is a unique Hopf algebroid structure on $\left(H_{*, *}, A(n)_{*, *}\right)$ making the canonical projection $\pi_{n}: \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}_{*, *} / I(n)=A(n)_{*, *}$ a Hopf algebroid homomorphism.
Proof. The Hopf algebroid structure maps of $\left(H_{*, *}, A(n)_{*, *}\right)$ are $\mathbb{Z} / \ell$-algebra homomorphisms, determined as follows:
(1) The left unit $\eta_{L, n}: H_{*, *} \rightarrow A(n)_{*, *}$ is the composite $\pi_{n} \circ \eta_{L}$.
(2) The right unit $\eta_{R, n}: H_{*, *} \rightarrow A(n)_{*, *}$ is the composite $\pi_{n} \circ \eta_{R}$.
(3) The algebra product $\phi_{n}: A(n)_{*, *} \otimes A(n)_{*, *} \rightarrow A(n)_{*, *}$ is characterized by $\phi_{n} \circ\left(\pi_{n} \otimes \pi_{n}\right)=\pi_{n} \circ \phi$, and exists because $I(n) \subset \mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ is an ideal.
(4) The counit $\epsilon_{n}: A(n)_{*, *} \rightarrow H_{*, *}$ is characterized by $\epsilon_{n} \circ \pi_{n}=\epsilon$, and exists because $\epsilon(x)=0$ for each generator $x$ of $I(n)$.
(5) The coproduct $\psi_{n}: A(n)_{*, *} \rightarrow A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} A(n)_{*, *}$ is characterized by $\psi_{n} \circ \pi_{n}=\left(\pi_{n} \otimes \pi_{n}\right) \psi$, and exists because $\left(\pi_{n} \otimes \pi_{n}\right) \psi(x)=0$ for each generator $x$ of $I(n)$.
(6) The conjugation $\chi_{n}: A(n)_{*, *} \rightarrow A(n)_{*, *}$ is characterized by $\chi_{n} \circ \pi_{n}=\pi_{n} \circ \chi$, and exists because $\chi(x) \in I(n)$ for each generator $x$ of $I(n)$.
In more detail, the explicit formulas for the coproduct show that $\psi\left(\tau_{k}\right)$, for all $k \geq n+1$, and $\psi\left(\xi_{i}^{\ell^{j}}\right)=\psi\left(\xi_{i}\right)^{\ell^{j}}$, for all $i \geq 1, j \geq 0$ with $i+j \geq n+1$, are in the image of

$$
I(n) \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \oplus \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} I(n) \longrightarrow \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \mathscr{A}_{*, *},
$$

so that $\psi(I(n))$ is contained in this image. Likewise, the recursive formulas for the conjugation show that $\chi\left(\tau_{k}\right)$ and $\chi\left(\xi_{i}^{\ell^{j}}\right)=\chi\left(\xi_{i}\right)^{\ell^{j}}$ are in $I(n)$, for the same $k, i$ and $j$, so that $\chi(I(n))=I(n)$. The verification that these structure maps make $\left(H_{*, *}, A(n)_{*, *}\right)$ a Hopf algebroid, with (id, $\left.\pi_{n}\right)$ a Hopf algebroid homomorphism, follows formally from the fact that $\left(H_{*, *}, \mathscr{A}_{*, *}\right)$ is a Hopf algebroid.

Lemma 2.6. The monomials $\tau^{E} \xi^{R}$, where $E=\left(e_{0}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ and $R=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ range through the integer sequences with $e_{s} \in\{0,1\}$ and $0 \leq r_{s}<\ell^{n+1-s}$, form a basis for $A(n)_{*, *}$ as a finitely generated free left $H_{*, *}$-module.

Proof. The ideal $I(n)$ equals the free left $H_{*, *}$-submodule of $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ generated by the monomials $\tau^{E} \xi^{R}$ from Lemma 2.1 for which $e_{s}=1$ for some $s \geq n+1$ or $r_{s} \geq \ell^{n+1-s}$ for some $s \geq 1$. This implies the claim.

Lemma 2.7. The same monomials $\tau^{E} \xi^{R}$ as in Lemma 2.6 form a basis for $A(n)_{*, *}$ as a free right $H_{*, *}$ module.

Proof. Replace $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ and Lemma 2.1 in the proof of Lemma 2.2 by $A(n)_{*, *}$ and Lemma 2.6

The inclusions $I(n) \subset I(n-1)$ induce a tower of surjective Hopf algebroid homomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{A}_{*, *} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow A(n)_{*, *} \longrightarrow A(n-1)_{*, *} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow H_{*, *} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The composites

$$
\lambda: \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \xrightarrow{\pi_{n} \otimes \text { id }} A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}
$$

and

$$
\rho: \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \xrightarrow{\text { id } \otimes \pi_{n-1}} \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} A(n-1)_{*, *}
$$

are $\mathbb{Z} / \ell$-algebra homomorphisms giving $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ the structure of an $A(n)_{*, *}-A(n-1)_{*, *^{-}}$ bicomodule algebra, and the projection $\pi_{n}: \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \rightarrow A(n)_{*, *}$ is a morphism in the category of such bicomodule algebras.

Definition 2.8. Let

$$
X(n)_{*, *}=H_{*, *}\left\{\tau^{E} \xi^{R}\left|e_{0}=\cdots=e_{n}=0, \ell^{n}\right| r_{1}, \ldots, \ell \mid r_{n}\right\}
$$

be the free left $H_{*, *}$-module generated by the monomials $\tau^{E} \xi^{R}$ with $E=\left(e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots\right)$ and $R=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots\right)$ satisfying $e_{s}=0$ for $0 \leq s \leq n$ and $\ell^{n+1-s} \mid r_{s}$ for $1 \leq s \leq n$. Let $\alpha_{n}: \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \rightarrow X(n)_{*, *}$ be the left $H_{*, *}$-module homomorphism mapping $\bar{\tau}^{E} \xi^{\bar{R}}$ to the same monomial if $e_{0}=\cdots=e_{n}=0$ and $\ell^{n}\left|r_{1}, \ldots, \ell\right| r_{n}$, and to 0 otherwise.

Lemma 2.9. The composite

$$
\mathscr{A}_{*, *} \xrightarrow{\lambda} A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \xrightarrow{\text { id } \otimes \alpha_{n}} A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} X(n)_{*, *}
$$

is a left $A(n)_{*, *}$-comodule isomorphism.
Proof. Both $\lambda$ and id $\otimes \alpha_{n}$ respect the left $A(n)_{*, *}$-coactions, so it suffices to show that their composite is a left $H_{*, *}$-module isomorphism. Each monomial in the basis from Lemma 2.1 for $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ factors uniquely as $\tau^{E} \xi^{R}=\tau^{E^{\prime}} \xi^{R^{\prime}} \cdot \tau^{E^{\prime \prime}} \xi^{R^{\prime \prime}}$ with

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E^{\prime}=\left(e_{0}, \ldots, e_{n}, 0, \ldots\right) \\
R^{\prime}=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}, 0, \ldots\right) \\
E^{\prime \prime}=\left(0, \ldots, 0, e_{n+1}, \ldots\right) \\
R^{\prime \prime}=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}, r_{n+1}, \ldots\right) \quad \text { where } \ell^{n+1-s} \mid r_{s} \text { for } 1 \leq s \leq n
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $E=E^{\prime}+E^{\prime \prime}, R=R^{\prime}+R^{\prime \prime}$. Hence the restricted multiplication

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} X(n)_{*, *} \\
& \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \\
& \tau^{E^{\prime}} \xi^{R^{\prime}} \otimes \tau^{E^{\prime \prime}} \xi^{R^{\prime \prime}} \longmapsto \tau^{E^{\prime}} \xi^{R^{\prime}} \cdot \tau^{E^{\prime \prime}} \xi^{R^{\prime \prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

defines a left $H_{*, *}$-module isomorphism. We show that the composite

$$
\left(\operatorname{id} \otimes \alpha_{n}\right) \lambda \phi: A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} X(n)_{*, *} \longrightarrow A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} X(n)_{*, *}
$$

is bijective. For $t \geq 0$ let $F^{t} X(n)_{*, *}$ be the free left $H_{*, *}$-submodule generated by the monomials from Definition 2.8 that have topological degree $\geq t$. These define a decreasing filtration of $X(n)_{*, *}$, with associated graded modules $\operatorname{gr}^{t} X(n)_{*, *}=$ $F^{t} X(n)_{*, *} / F^{t+1} X(n)_{*, *}$. Direct calculation of $\lambda=\left(\pi_{n} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \psi$ shows that

$$
\lambda\left(\tau^{E^{\prime}} \xi^{R^{\prime}}\right) \equiv \tau^{E^{\prime}} \xi^{R^{\prime}} \otimes 1 \quad \bmod A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} F^{1} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}
$$

where $F^{1} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}=\operatorname{ker}(\epsilon)$ as before, and

$$
\lambda\left(\tau^{E^{\prime \prime}} \xi^{R^{\prime \prime}}\right)=1 \otimes \tau^{E^{\prime \prime}} \xi^{R^{\prime \prime}}
$$

since each $\tau_{k}$ for $k \geq n+1$ and each $\xi_{i}^{\ell^{j}}$ for $i+j \geq n+1$ is left $A(n)_{*, *}$-comodule primitive. It follows that for $\tau^{E^{\prime}} \xi^{R^{\prime}} \in A(n)_{*, *}$ and $\tau^{E^{\prime \prime}} \xi^{R^{\prime \prime}} \in F^{t} X(n)_{*, *}$ we have $\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \alpha_{n}\right) \lambda\left(\tau^{E^{\prime}} \xi^{R^{\prime}} \cdot \tau^{E^{\prime \prime}} \xi^{R^{\prime \prime}}\right) \equiv \tau^{E^{\prime}} \xi^{R^{\prime}} \otimes \tau^{E^{\prime \prime}} \xi^{R^{\prime \prime}} \quad \bmod A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} F^{t+1} X(n)_{*, *}$. Hence (id $\left.\otimes \alpha_{n}\right) \lambda \phi$ maps $A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} F^{t} X(n)_{*, *}$ to itself, for each $t \geq 0$, and the induced homomorphism

$$
A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \operatorname{gr}^{t} X(n)_{*, *} \longrightarrow A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \operatorname{gr}^{t} X(n)_{*, *}
$$

is the identity. The lemma follows, since $A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} F^{t} X(n)_{*, *}$ is eventually zero in any given bidegree.

## 3. Some bicomodule algebras

The classical definitions of [AGM85, §2] also generalize to the motivic setting.
Definition 3.1. For $n \geq 0$, let $J(n) \subset \mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ be the ideal

$$
J(n)=\left(\tau_{n+1}, \tau_{n+2}, \ldots, \xi_{2}^{\ell^{n-1}}, \xi_{3}^{\ell^{n-2}}, \ldots, \xi_{n}^{\ell}, \xi_{n+1}, \xi_{n+2}, \ldots\right)
$$

generated by $\tau_{k}$ for $k \geq n+1$ and by $\xi_{i}^{\ell^{j}}$ for $i \geq 2, j \geq 0$ and $i+j \geq n+1$. Note that $I(n)=J(n)+\left(\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right)$. Let

$$
C(n)_{*, *}=\mathscr{A}_{*, *} / J(n)=\frac{H_{*, *}\left[\tau_{0}, \ldots, \tau_{n}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right]}{\left(\tau_{0}^{2}-T_{0}, \ldots, \tau_{n}^{2}-T_{n}, \xi_{2}^{\ell n-1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}^{\ell}\right)}
$$

be the quotient algebra. Let

$$
B(n)_{*, *}=C(n)_{*, *}\left[1 / \xi_{1}\right]=\frac{H_{*, *}\left[\tau_{0}, \ldots, \tau_{n}, \xi_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right]}{\left(\tau_{0}^{2}-T_{0}, \ldots, \tau_{n}^{2}-T_{n}, \xi_{2}^{\ell n-1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}^{\ell}\right)}
$$

be the localization of $C(n)_{*, *}$ away from $\xi_{1}$.
Example 3.2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J(0)=\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}, \ldots\right) \\
& J(1)=\left(\tau_{2}, \tau_{3}, \ldots, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}, \ldots\right) \\
& J(2)=\left(\tau_{3}, \tau_{4}, \ldots, \xi_{2}^{\ell}, \xi_{3}, \ldots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C(0)_{*, *}=H_{*, *}\left[\tau_{0}, \xi_{1}\right] /\left(\tau_{0}^{2}-T_{0}\right) \\
& C(1)_{*, *}=H_{*, *}\left[\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right] /\left(\tau_{0}^{2}-T_{0}, \tau_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& C(2)_{*, *}=H_{*, *}\left[\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right] /\left(\tau_{0}^{2}-T_{0}, \tau_{1}^{2}-T_{1}, \tau_{2}^{2}, \xi_{2}^{\ell}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(0)_{*, *} & =H_{*, *}\left[\tau_{0}, \xi_{1}^{ \pm 1}\right] /\left(\tau_{0}^{2}-T_{0}\right) \\
B(1)_{*, *} & =H_{*, *}\left[\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}, \xi_{1}^{ \pm 1}\right] /\left(\tau_{0}^{2}-T_{0}, \tau_{1}^{2}\right) \\
B(2)_{*, *} & =H_{*, *}\left[\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \xi_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \xi_{2}\right] /\left(\tau_{0}^{2}-T_{0}, \tau_{1}^{2}-T_{1}, \tau_{2}^{2}, \xi_{2}^{\ell}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.3. (a) The monomials $\tau^{E} \xi^{R}$, where $E=\left(e_{0}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ and $R=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ range through all sequences with $e_{s} \in\{0,1\}$ for $0 \leq s \leq n, r_{1} \geq 0$ and $0 \leq r_{s}<$ $\ell^{n+1-s}$ for $2 \leq s \leq n$, form a basis for $C(n)_{*, *}$ as a free left $H_{*, *}$-module.
(b) The monomials

$$
\tau^{E} \xi^{R}=\tau_{0}^{e_{0}} \cdots \tau_{n}^{e_{n}} \xi_{1}^{r_{1}} \xi_{2}^{r_{2}} \cdots \xi_{n}^{r_{n}}
$$

with $(E, R)$ as in (a), except that $r_{1}$ can now be any integer, form a basis for $B(n)_{*, *}$ as a free left $H_{*, *}$-module.

Proof. The ideal $J(n)$ equals the free left $H_{*, *}$-submodule of $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ generated by the monomials $\tau^{E} \xi^{R}$ from Lemma 2.1 for which $e_{s}=1$ for some $s \geq n+1$ or $r_{s} \geq \ell^{n+1-s}$ for some $s \geq 2$. This implies part (a). Part (b) follows by inverting $\xi_{1}$.

Lemma 3.4. (a) The same monomials $\tau^{E} \xi^{R}$ as in Lemma 3.3(a) form a basis for $C(n)_{*, *}$ as a free right $H_{*, *}$-module.
(b) The same monomials $\tau^{E} \xi^{R}$ as in Lemma 3.3(b) form a basis for $B(n)_{*, *}$ as a free right $H_{*, *}$-module.

Proof. For part (a), replace $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ and Lemma 2.1 in the proof of Lemma 2.2 by $C(n)_{*, *}$ and Lemma 3.3(a).

For part (b), instead replace these by $B(n)_{*, *}$ and Lemma 3.3(b), and allow the filtration index $t$ in the proof of Lemma 2.2 to run over all integers, noting that in any given bidegree $F^{t} B(n)_{*, *}=B(n)_{*, *}$ for all sufficiently negative $t$. (Alternatively, part (b) can be deduced from part (a) by inverting $\xi_{1}$, but the given proof also ensures that the left and right $H_{*, *^{-}}$-actions on $\operatorname{gr}^{t} B(n)_{*, *}$ agree, which will be needed in Lemma 4.16(b).)

Example 3.5. (a) The monomials

$$
\left\{\tau_{0}^{e} \xi_{1}^{r} \mid e \in\{0,1\}, r \geq 0\right\}
$$

form a basis for $C(0)_{*, *}$, both as a left $H_{*, *}$-module and as a right $H_{*, *}$-module.
(b) The monomials

$$
\left\{\tau_{0}^{e} \xi_{1}^{r} \mid e \in\{0,1\}, r \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

form a basis for $B(0)_{*, *}$, both as a left $H_{*, *}$-module and as a right $H_{*, *}$-module. The homological bidegree of $\tau_{0}^{e} \xi_{1}^{r}$ is $(e+(2 \ell-2) r,(\ell-1) r)$.

The inclusions $J(n) \subset I(n)$ and the localization homomorphisms yield a commutative diagram of $\mathbb{Z} / \ell$-algebras and algebra homomorphisms


Lemma 3.6. There is a unique $A(n)_{*_{, *}}-A(n-1)_{*_{, *}-\text { bicomodule algebra structure }}$ on $C(n)_{*, *}$ making the canonical projection $\pi_{n}^{\prime}: \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}_{*, *} / J(n)=C(n)_{*, *}$ an $A(n)_{*, *}-A(n-1)_{*, *}$-bicomodule algebra homomorphism.

Proof. The bicomodule structure maps are $\mathbb{Z} / \ell$-algebra homomorphisms, determined as follows:
(1) The left coaction $\lambda_{n}: C(n)_{*, *} \rightarrow A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} C(n)_{*, *}$ is characterized by $\lambda_{n} \circ \pi_{n}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_{n}^{\prime}\right) \circ \lambda$, and exists because $\left(\pi_{n} \otimes \pi_{n}^{\prime}\right) \psi(x)=0$ for each generator $x$ of $J(n)$.
(2) The right coaction $\rho_{n}: C(n)_{*, *} \rightarrow C(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} A(n-1)_{*, *}$ is characterized by $\rho_{n} \circ \pi_{n}^{\prime}=\left(\pi_{n}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \circ \rho$, and exists because $\left(\pi_{n}^{\prime} \otimes \pi_{n-1}\right) \psi(x)=0$ for each generator $x$ of $J(n)$.
More explicitly, $\psi\left(\tau_{k}\right)$ and $\psi\left(\xi_{i}^{\ell^{j}}\right)$ are in the image of both

$$
I(n) \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \oplus \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} J(n) \longrightarrow \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}
$$

and

$$
J(n) \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \oplus \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} I(n-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}
$$

for each $k \geq n+1$ and each $i \geq 2, j \geq 0$ and $i+j \geq n+1$, respectively. The verification that the algebra homomorphisms $\lambda_{n}$ and $\rho_{n}$ define coactions, and that they commute, follows formally from the fact that $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ is an $A(n)_{*, *}-A(n-1)_{*, *^{-}}$ bicomodule.

Lemma 3.7. Let $\left\|\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right\|=\left((2 \ell-2) \ell^{n},(\ell-1) \ell^{n}\right)$ denote the bidegree of $\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}$. There is a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \Sigma^{\left\|\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right\|} C(n)_{*, *} \xrightarrow{\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}} C(n)_{*, *} \longrightarrow A(n)_{*, *} \rightarrow 0
$$

of $A(n)_{*, *}-A(n-1)_{*, *}$-bicomodules, where $\cdot \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}$ denotes $x \mapsto x \cdot \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}$.
Proof. From the definition of $I(n)$ and $J(n)$ it is clear that multiplication by $\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}$ acts injectively on $C(n)_{*, *}$ with cokernel $A(n)_{*, *}$. It remains to verify that $\cdot \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}$ is an $A(n)_{*, *}-A(n-1)_{*, *^{-}}$-bicomodule homomorphism, i.e., that it commutes with the left $A(n)_{*, *^{-}}$-coaction and the right $A(n-1)_{*,,^{-}}$-coaction. This is equivalent to $\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}$ being left $A(n)_{*, *}$-comodule primitive and right $A(n-1)_{*, *}$-comodule primitive, which follows from the observations that

$$
\psi\left(\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right) \equiv 1 \otimes \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}} \quad \bmod I(n) \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}
$$

and

$$
\psi\left(\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right) \equiv \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}} \otimes 1 \quad \bmod \mathscr{A}_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} I(n-1)
$$

Definition 3.8. We assign to

$$
B(n)_{*, *}=C(n)_{*, *}\left[1 / \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right]=\operatorname{colim}_{j}\left(\Sigma^{-j\left\|\xi_{1}^{\ell n}\right\|} C(n)_{*, *}\right)
$$

the $A(n)_{*, *}-A(n-1)_{*, *}$-bicomodule structure given by the colimit of the diagram

$$
C(n)_{*, *} \xrightarrow{\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}} \Sigma^{-\left\|\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right\|} C(n)_{*, *} \xrightarrow{\cdot \xi_{1}^{n^{n}}} \Sigma^{-2\left\|\xi_{1}^{e^{n}}\right\|} C(n)_{*, *} \xrightarrow{\cdot \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}} \ldots
$$

Lemma 3.9. $B(n)_{*, *}$ is an $A(n)_{*, *}-A(n-1)_{*, *}$-bicomodule algebra, and the canonical morphism $C(n)_{*, *} \rightarrow B(n)_{*, *}$ is an $A(n)_{*, *}-A(n-1)_{*, *}$ bicomodule algebra homomorphism.

Proof. The left $A(n)_{*, *^{-}}$-coaction

$$
\lambda_{n}: B(n)_{*, *} \longrightarrow A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} B(n)_{*, *}
$$

is obtained from the left coaction

$$
\lambda_{n}: C(n)_{*, *} \longrightarrow A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} C(n)_{*, *}
$$

by inverting (a positive power of) $\xi_{1}$. Since the latter coaction is an algebra homomorphism, so is the former. The case of right $A(n-1)_{*, *}$-coactions is entirely similar.

Definition 3.10. Let $\gamma_{n}: C(n)_{*, *} \rightarrow C(0)_{*, *}$ and $\beta_{n}: B(n)_{*, *} \rightarrow B(0)_{*, *}$ be the $\mathbb{Z} / \ell$-algebra homomorphisms shown in (3.1). Let $\gamma_{n}^{\prime}: C(n)_{*, *} \rightarrow H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right]$ be the composite of $\gamma_{n}$ and the left $H_{*, *}$-module homomorphism

$$
C(0)_{*, *}=H_{*, *}\left[\tau_{0}, \xi_{1}\right] /\left(\tau_{0}^{2}-T_{0}\right) \longrightarrow H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right]
$$

given for $e \in\{0,1\}$ and $r \geq 0$ by

$$
\tau_{0}^{e} \xi_{1}^{r} \longmapsto \begin{cases}\xi_{1}^{r} & \text { if } e=0 \text { and } \ell^{n} \mid r \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and let $\beta_{n}^{\prime}: B(n)_{*, *} \rightarrow H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{ \pm \ell^{n}}\right]$ be its localization.
Note that $\tau_{0} \cdot \eta_{R}(\tau)$ in $C(0)_{*, *}$ maps by $\gamma_{0}^{\prime}$ to $\rho \tau \xi_{1}$. Hence $\gamma_{n}^{\prime}$ is sometimes not right $H_{*, *}$-linear.

Proposition 3.11. The composites

$$
C(n)_{*, *} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{n}} A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} C(n)_{*, *} \stackrel{\operatorname{id} \otimes \gamma_{n}^{\prime}}{\longrightarrow} A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right]
$$

and

$$
B(n)_{*, *} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{n}} A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} B(n)_{*, *} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes \beta_{n}^{\prime}} A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{ \pm \ell^{n}}\right]
$$

are left $A(n)_{*, *}$-comodule isomorphisms.
Proof. The $\mathbb{Z} / \ell$-algebra homomorphism

$$
\left(\operatorname{id} \otimes \gamma_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}: C(n)_{*, *} \longrightarrow A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} C(0)_{*, *}
$$

is left $H_{*, *}$-linear and maps the remaining algebra generators by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tau_{0} \longmapsto \tau_{0} \otimes 1+1 \otimes \tau_{0} \\
\tau_{k} \longmapsto \tau_{k} \otimes 1+\xi_{k} \otimes \tau_{0} \\
\xi_{1} \longmapsto \xi_{1} \otimes 1+1 \otimes \xi_{1} \\
\xi_{k} \longmapsto \xi_{k} \otimes 1+\xi_{k-1}^{\ell} \otimes \xi_{1} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq n \\
\text { for } 2 \leq k \leq n
\end{array}\right.
$$

In particular, it and $\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \gamma_{n}^{\prime}\right) \lambda_{n}$ respect the decreasing $\left(\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right)$-adic filtrations defined (internally to this proof) for $m \geq 0$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{m} C(n)_{*, *} & =C(n)_{*, *} \cdot\left(\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right)^{m} \\
F^{m} C(0)_{*, *} & =C(0)_{*, *} \cdot\left(\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right)^{m} \\
F^{m} H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right] & =H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right] \cdot\left(\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right)^{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The induced homomorphism

$$
\frac{F^{m} C(n)_{*, *}}{F^{m+1} C(n)_{*, *}} \longrightarrow A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \frac{F^{m} H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right]}{F^{m+1} H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{\ell n}\right]}
$$

of associated graded left $A(n)_{*, *}$-comodules is the isomorphism given by

$$
\tau^{E} \xi^{R} \cdot\left(\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right)^{m} \longmapsto \tau^{E} \xi^{R} \otimes\left(\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right)^{m}
$$

where $e_{s} \in\{0,1\}$ for $0 \leq s \leq n$ and $0 \leq r_{s}<\ell^{n+1-s}$ for $1 \leq s \leq n$. Each filtration is eventually zero in each bidegree, so this implies that (id $\left.\otimes \gamma_{n}^{\prime}\right) \lambda_{n}$ is an isomorphism. Inverting $\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}$, it follows that

$$
\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) \lambda_{n}: B(n)_{*, *} \xrightarrow{\cong} A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{ \pm \ell^{n}}\right]
$$

is also an isomorphism.
Proposition 3.12. The composite

$$
B(n)_{*, *} \xrightarrow{\rho_{n}} B(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} A(n-1)_{*, *} \xrightarrow{\beta_{n} \otimes \mathrm{id}} B(0)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} A(n-1)_{*, *}
$$

is a right $A(n-1)_{*, *}$-comodule algebra isomorphism.
Proof. The $\mathbb{Z} / \ell$-algebra homomorphism $\left(\beta_{n} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \rho_{n}$ is left $H_{*, *}$-linear and maps the remaining algebra generators by

$$
\begin{cases}\tau_{0} \longmapsto \tau_{0} \otimes 1+1 \otimes \tau_{0} & \\ \tau_{k} \longmapsto \xi_{1}^{\ell^{k-1}} \otimes \tau_{k-1}+1 \otimes \tau_{k} & \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq n \\ \xi_{1} \longmapsto \xi_{1} \otimes 1+1 \otimes \xi_{1} & \\ \xi_{k} \longmapsto \xi_{1}^{\ell^{k-1}} \otimes \xi_{k-1}+1 \otimes \xi_{k} & \text { for } 2 \leq k \leq n \\ \xi_{1}^{-\ell^{n}} \longmapsto \xi_{1}^{-\ell^{n}} \otimes 1 & \end{cases}
$$

Letting

$$
\begin{cases}\check{\tau}_{k}=\tau_{k} \cdot \xi_{1}^{-\ell^{k-1}} & \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq n \\ \check{\xi}_{k}=\xi_{k} \cdot \xi_{1}^{-\ell^{k-1}} & \text { for } 2 \leq k \leq n\end{cases}
$$

we can rewrite the presentation in Definition 3.1 as

$$
B(n)_{*, *}=\frac{H_{*, *}\left[\tau_{0}, \check{\tau}_{1}, \ldots, \check{\tau}_{n}, \xi_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \check{\xi}_{2}, \ldots, \check{\xi}_{n}\right]}{\left(\tau_{0}^{2}-T_{0}, \check{\tau}_{1}^{2}-\check{T}_{1}, \ldots, \check{\tau}_{n}^{2}-\check{T}_{n}, \check{\xi}_{2}^{\ell n-1}, \ldots, \check{\xi}_{n}^{\ell}\right)},
$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq n$ we use the notation

$$
\check{T}_{i}= \begin{cases}\tau \check{\xi}_{i+1}+\rho \check{\tau}_{i+1}+\rho \tau_{0} \check{\xi}_{i+1} & \text { for } \ell=2 \\ 0 & \text { for } \ell \text { odd }\end{cases}
$$

Note that $\check{\tau}_{k}=\tau_{k} \cdot \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}-\ell^{k-1}} \cdot \xi_{1}^{-\ell^{n}}$ and $\check{\xi}_{k}=\xi_{k} \cdot \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}-\ell^{k-1}} \cdot \xi_{1}^{-\ell^{n}}$. Hence $\left(\beta_{n} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \rho_{n}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{\tau}_{k} \longmapsto 1 \otimes \tau_{k-1}+\xi_{1}^{-\ell^{k-1}} \otimes \tau_{k} & +\ldots \\
& +\xi_{1}^{\ell^{k-1}-\ell^{n}} \otimes \tau_{k-1} \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}-\ell^{k-1}}+\xi_{1}^{-\ell^{n}} \otimes \tau_{k} \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}-\ell^{k-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{\xi}_{k} \longmapsto 1 \otimes \xi_{k-1}+\xi_{1}^{-\ell^{k-1}} \otimes \xi_{k} & +\ldots \\
& +\xi_{1}^{\ell^{k-1}-\ell^{n}} \otimes \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}-\ell^{k-1}} \xi_{k-1}+\xi_{1}^{-\ell^{n}} \otimes \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}-\ell^{k-1}} \xi_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $2 \leq k \leq n$. The omitted summands involve binomial coefficients, and each summand after the first has a negative power of $\xi_{1}$ as its left hand tensor factor. Hence $\left(\beta_{n} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \rho_{n}$ respects the increasing filtrations defined (internally to this proof) for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{m} B(n)_{*, *} & =H_{*, *}\left\{\tau_{0}^{e_{0}} \breve{\tau}_{1}^{e_{1}} \cdots \check{\tau}_{n}^{e_{n}} \xi_{1}^{r_{1}} \check{\xi}_{2}^{r_{2}} \cdots \check{\xi}_{n}^{r_{n}} \mid e_{0}+2 r_{1} \leq m\right\} \\
F_{m} B(0)_{*, *} & =H_{*, *}\left\{\tau_{0}^{e_{0}} \xi_{1}^{r_{1}} \mid e_{0}+2 r_{1} \leq m\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{s} \in\{0,1\}$ for $0 \leq s \leq n, r_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq r_{s}<\ell^{n+1-s}$ for $2 \leq s \leq n$ as in Lemma 3.3(b). The induced homomorphism

$$
\frac{F_{m} B(n)_{*, *}}{F_{m-1} B(n)_{*, *}} \longrightarrow \frac{F_{m} B(0)_{*, *}}{F_{m-1} B(0)_{*, *}} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} A(n-1)_{*, *}
$$

of associated graded right $A(n-1)_{*, *}-$ comodules is the left $H_{*, *}$ - module isomorphism given by

$$
\tau_{0}^{e_{0}} \check{\tau}_{1}^{e_{1}} \cdots \check{\tau}_{n}^{e_{n}} \xi_{1}^{r_{1}} \check{\xi}_{2}^{r_{2}} \cdots \check{\xi}_{n}^{r_{n}} \longmapsto \tau_{0}^{e_{0}} \xi_{1}^{r_{1}} \otimes \tau_{0}^{e_{1}} \cdots \tau_{n-1}^{e_{n}} \xi_{1}^{r_{2}} \cdots \xi_{n-1}^{r_{n}}
$$

for $e_{0}+2 r_{1}=m$. In particular, $\check{\tau}_{k} \mapsto \tau_{k-1}$ and $\check{\xi}_{k} \mapsto \xi_{k-1}$. Each filtration is exhaustive and eventually zero in each bidegree, so this implies that $\left(\beta_{n} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \rho_{n}$ is an isomorphism.

## 4. ... AND THEIR DUAL BIMODULES

We now dualize the results of the previous section, following Boa82.
Definition 4.1 ([Boa82, Def. 3.2]). Given a left $H_{*, *}$-module $M$ we define the dual left $H_{*, *}$-module to be

$$
M^{\vee}=\operatorname{Hom}_{H_{*, *}}\left(M, H_{*, *}\right)
$$

The left action of $h \in H_{*, *}$ on $f \in M^{\vee}$ is given by

$$
(h f)(m)=h f(m)=(-1)^{|h||f|} f(h m)
$$

for $m \in M$, where $|h|$ and $|f|$ are the topological degrees of $h$ and $f$, respectively. If $M$ is an $H_{*, *}-H_{*, *}$-bimodule then $M^{\vee}$ is also a bimodule, with right action defined by

$$
(f h)(m)=(-1)^{|h||m|} f(m h)
$$

Example 4.2. The canonical isomorphism $H_{*, *}^{\vee} \cong H_{*, *}=H^{-*,-*}$, taking $f$ to $f(1)$, is $H_{*, *}-H_{*, *}$-bilinear.
Lemma 4.3 ( Boa82, Lem. 3.3]). Let $M$ be an $H_{*, *}-H_{*, *}$-bimodule and let $N$ be a left $H_{*, *}$-module.
(a) There is a natural homomorphism $\theta: M^{\vee} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} N^{\vee} \longrightarrow\left(M \otimes_{H_{*, *}} N\right)^{\vee}$ of left $H_{*, *}$-modules (or of $H_{*, *}-H_{*, *}$-bimodules, if $N$ is a bimodule), given by

$$
\theta(f \otimes g)(m \otimes n)=(-1)^{|g||m|} f(m g(n))
$$

for $f \in M^{\vee}, g \in N^{\vee}, m \in M$ and $n \in N$.
(b) If $L$ is another bimodule, the diagram

commutes.
(c) Both composites $M^{\vee} \cong M^{\vee} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} H_{*, *}^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\theta}\left(M \otimes_{H_{*, *}} H_{*, *}\right)^{\vee}=M^{\vee}$ and $M^{\vee} \cong H_{*, *}^{\vee} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} M^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\theta}\left(H_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} M\right)^{\vee}=M^{\vee}$ are the identity homomorphism.

Lemma 4.4 ([Boa82, Lem. 3.4]). (a) Let $\left(H_{*, *}, \Gamma\right)$ be a Hopf algebroid. The dual $\Gamma^{\vee}$ is a bigraded $\mathbb{Z} / \ell$-algebra, containing $H_{*, *}^{\vee}$ as a subalgebra.
(b) Let $M$ be a left $\Gamma$-comodule. The dual $M^{\vee}$ is a left $\Gamma^{\vee}$-module.
(c) Let $\left(H_{*, *}, \Sigma\right)$ be a second Hopf algebroid, and let $N$ be a $\Gamma$ - $\Sigma$-bicomodule. The dual $N^{\vee}$ is a $\Gamma^{\vee}-\Sigma^{\vee}$-bimodule.

Proof. Let $\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \Gamma$ be the coproduct, and let $\lambda: M \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes_{H_{*, *}} M$ be the left coaction. Boardman uses Lemma 4.3 to define the multiplication on $\Gamma^{\vee}$ as the composite

$$
\Gamma^{\vee} \otimes \Gamma^{\vee} \longrightarrow \Gamma^{\vee} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \Gamma^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\theta}\left(\Gamma \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \Gamma\right)^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\psi^{\vee}} \Gamma^{\vee}
$$

and to define the left action on $M^{\vee}$ as the composite

$$
\Gamma^{\vee} \otimes M^{\vee} \longrightarrow \Gamma^{\vee} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} M^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\theta}\left(\Gamma \otimes_{H_{*, *}} M\right)^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\lambda^{\vee}} M^{\vee} .
$$

Likewise, we define the bimodule action on $N^{\vee}$ as the now evident composite

$$
\Gamma^{\vee} \otimes N^{\vee} \otimes \Sigma^{\vee} \longrightarrow \Gamma^{\vee} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} N^{\vee} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \Sigma^{\vee} \longrightarrow\left(\Gamma \otimes_{H_{*, *}} N \otimes_{H_{*, *}} \Sigma\right)^{\vee} \longrightarrow N^{\vee}
$$

The dual $\epsilon^{\vee}: H_{*, *}^{\vee} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\vee}$ of the Hopf algebroid counit is split by $\eta_{L}^{\vee}$ (and by $\eta_{R}^{\vee}$ ), and exhibits $H_{*, *}^{\vee}$ as a subalgebra of $\Gamma^{\vee}$.

The dual $\mathbb{Z} / \ell$-algebra $\Gamma^{\vee}$ is usually non-commutative. Switching to cohomological grading, we now refer to the duals of (left or right) $H_{*, *}$-module actions as (left or right) $H^{*, *}$-module actions.

Notation 4.5. The motivic Steenrod algebra $\mathscr{A}=\mathscr{A}_{*, *}^{\vee}$ is the dual of the Hopf algebroid $\left(H_{*, *}, \mathscr{A}_{*, *}\right)$, cf. Voe03a, §13], and contains $H^{*, *}$ as a subalgebra. It is freely generated as a left $H^{*, *}$-module by the Milnor basis $\{\rho(E, R)\}_{E, R}$, defined to be dual to the monomial basis $\left\{\tau^{E} \xi^{R}\right\}_{E, R}$ of Lemma 2.1. The cohomological bidegree of $\rho(E, R)$ is equal to the homological bidegree of $\tau^{E} \xi^{R}$. In particular, the Steenrod operation $\beta^{e} P^{r}$ is dual to $\tau_{0}^{e} \xi_{1}^{r}$, for $e \in\{0,1\}$ and $r \geq 0$, cf. Voe03a, Lem. 13.1, Lem. 13.5]. By Voe03a, Lem. 11.1, Cor. 12.5] and the Adem relations Voe03a, Thm. 10.3], Rio12, Thm. 4.5.1] the operations $\beta, P^{1}, P^{\ell}, P^{\ell^{2}}, \ldots$, together with the elements of $H^{*, *}$, generate $\mathscr{A}$ as a $\mathbb{Z} / \ell$-algebra. When $\ell=2$ we write $S q^{2 r}$ for $P^{r}$ in cohomological bidegree $(2 r, r)$ and $S q^{2 r+1}$ for $\beta P^{r}$ in cohomological bidegree $(2 r+1, r)$.
Lemma 4.6. The operations $\rho(E, R)$, for $(E, R)$ as in Lemma 2.1, also form a basis for $\mathscr{A}$ as a right $H^{*, *}$-module.

Proof. Recall the decreasing $H_{*, *}-H_{*, *}$-bimodule filtration $F^{t} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ of $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ from the proof of Lemma 2.2. For $t \geq 0$ let

$$
F_{t-1} \mathscr{A}=\left\langle\rho(E, R) \mid \operatorname{deg}\left(\tau^{E} \xi^{R}\right)<t\right\rangle \subset \mathscr{A}
$$

be the left $H^{*, *}$-submodule generated by the operations $\rho(E, R)$ of cohomological topological degree $<t$. This is also a right $H^{*, *}$-submodule, in view of the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow F_{t-1} \mathscr{A} \longrightarrow \mathscr{A}_{*, *}^{\vee} \longrightarrow\left(F^{t} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}\right)^{\vee} \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence $\left\{F_{t} \mathscr{A}\right\}_{t}$ is an increasing filtration of $\mathscr{A}$ by $H^{*, *}-H^{*, *}$-bimodules, with filtration quotients

$$
\operatorname{gr}_{t} \mathscr{A}=\frac{F_{t} \mathscr{A}}{F_{t-1} \mathscr{A}} \cong\left(\operatorname{gr}^{t} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}\right)^{\vee}
$$

Since the left and right $H_{*, *}$-module actions agree on $\mathrm{gr}^{t} \mathscr{A}_{*, *}$, the dual left and right $H^{*, *}$-module actions on $\mathrm{gr}_{t} \mathscr{A}$ are also equal. Hence the (cosets of the) operations $\rho(E, R)$ of degree $=t$ freely generate $\operatorname{gr}_{t} \mathscr{A}$ as a right $H^{*, *}$-module. Since the filtration is exhaustive, the set of degree $\geq 0$ operations is a right $H^{*, *}$-module basis for $\mathscr{A}$.

Definition 4.7. For $n \geq-1$ let the $\mathbb{Z} / \ell$-algebra $A(n)=A(n)_{*, *}^{\vee} \subset \mathscr{A}$ be the dual of the Hopf algebroid $\left(H_{*, *}, A(n)_{*, *}\right)$.

Lemma 4.8. The operations $\rho(E, R)$, for $(E, R)$ as in Lemma 2.6, form a basis for $A(n)$ as a finitely generated free left $H^{*, *}$-module. In particular, there is an exhaustive sequence of $\mathbb{Z} / \ell$-algebra homomorphisms

$$
H^{*, *} \subset \cdots \subset A(n-1) \subset A(n) \subset \cdots \subset \mathscr{A}
$$

Proof. This follows from (the proof of) Lemma 2.6, since $I(n) \subset \mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ is a monomial ideal. The sequence is dual to the tower (2.1).

Lemma 4.9. The operations $\rho(E, R)$, for $(E, R)$ as in Lemma 2.6, also form a basis for $A(n)$ as a free right $H^{*, *}$-module.

Proof. Replace $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ and Lemma 2.2 in the proof of Lemma 4.6 by $A(n)_{*, *}$ and Lemma 2.7

Example 4.10. (a) $A(0)=H^{*, *}\langle\beta\rangle /\left(\beta^{2}\right)$ with $[\beta, x]=\beta(x)$ for $x \in H^{*, *}$, where $[\beta, x]=\beta x-(-1)^{|x|} x \beta$ denotes the graded commutator.
(b) For $\ell=2$,

$$
A(1)=\frac{H^{*, *}\left\langle\beta, P^{1}\right\rangle}{\left(\beta^{2}, P^{1} P^{1}=\tau \beta P^{1} \beta,\left(\beta P^{1}\right)^{2}=\left(P^{1} \beta\right)^{2}\right)}
$$

with $[\beta, x]=\beta(x)$ and $\left[P^{1}, x\right]=P^{1}(x)$ for $x \in H^{*, *}$. In the figure below, each bullet represents a copy of $H^{*, *}$, the operations $\beta=S q^{1}$ and $P^{1}=S q^{2}$ map one and two columns to the right, respectively, and the dashed arrow indicates that $P^{1} P^{1}=S q^{2} S q^{2}$ is $\tau$ times the generator $\beta P^{1} \beta=S q^{3} S q^{1}$.


The following property is sometimes taken as the definition of $A(n)$.
Lemma 4.11. For $n \geq 0$ the operations $\beta, P^{1}, P^{\ell}, \ldots, P^{\ell^{n-1}}$, together with the elements of $H^{*, *}$, generate $A(n)$ as a $\mathbb{Z} / \ell$-algebra.

Proof. For $\ell$ odd, the Adem relations Voe03a, Thm. 10.3] show that the subalgebra of $A(n)$ generated by $\beta, P^{1}, P^{\ell}, \ldots, P^{\ell^{n-1}}$ is isomorphic to the classical finite subalgebra $A(n)^{\mathrm{cl}}$ of the classical Steenrod algebra. By [Mil58, Prop. 2] it has $\mathbb{Z} / \ell$-module basis equal to the $H^{*, *}$-module basis for $A(n)$ of Lemma 4.8.

For $\ell=2$, the $\tau$ - and $\rho$-coefficients in the Adem relations [Rio12, Thm. 4.5.1] (correcting Voe03a, Thm. 10.2]) mean that Milnor's product formula Mil58, Thm. 4b] requires adjustment in the motivic setting. For $i \geq 0$ let $Q_{i}$ be the Milnor basis element dual to $\tau_{i}$, and for $i \geq 1$ and $j \geq 0$ let $P_{i}^{j}$ be the Milnor basis element dual
to $\xi_{i}^{\ell^{j}}$. In particular, $Q_{0}=\beta$ and $P_{1}^{j}=P^{\ell^{j}}$. The arrays

$$
\begin{array}{cclcccccc}
\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n-1}} & & & & \text { and } & P_{1}^{n-1} & & & \\
\xi_{1}^{\ell_{1}^{n-2}} & \xi_{2}^{\ell^{n-2}} & & & & P_{1}^{n-2} & P_{2}^{n-2} & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\
\xi_{1} & \xi_{2} & \ldots & \xi_{n} & & & P_{1}^{0} & P_{2}^{0} & \ldots \\
\tau_{0} & \tau_{1} & \ldots & \tau_{n-1} & \tau_{n} & & Q_{n}^{0} & & \\
Q_{0} & & Q_{1} & \ldots & Q_{n-1} & Q_{n}
\end{array}
$$

may be helpful, cf. Mar83, p. 232]. Let $n \geq 1$ and suppose, by induction, that the lemma holds for $A(n-1)$. We show that the inclusions

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(n-1)\left\langle P_{1}^{n-1}\right\rangle & \subset A(n-1)\left\langle P_{1}^{n-1}, P_{2}^{n-2}\right\rangle \subset \ldots \\
& \subset A(n-1)\left\langle P_{1}^{n-1}, \ldots, P_{n}^{0}, Q_{n}\right\rangle \subset A(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

are all equalities. Here we write $A(n-1)\left\langle P_{1}^{n-1}, \ldots, P_{k}^{n-k}\right\rangle$ to denote the subalgebra of $A(n)$ generated by $A(n-1)$ and the $P_{t}^{n-t}$ with $1 \leq t \leq k$, and similarly in the case with $Q_{n}$. This will complete the inductive step, since $A(n-1)\left\langle P_{1}^{n-1}\right\rangle$ is generated by $\beta, P^{1}, \ldots, P^{\ell^{n-2}}, P^{\ell^{n-1}}$ and the elements of $H^{*, *}$. Consider $2 \leq k \leq n$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{k-1}^{n+1-k}, P_{1}^{n-k}\right]=P_{k}^{n-k} \quad \bmod A(n-1) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left hand commutator is an $H^{*, *}$-linear combination of Milnor basis elements $\rho(E, R)$ in $A(n)$, as in Lemma 4.8. The $H^{*, *}$-coefficient of $\rho(E, R)$ is the sum of the $H_{*, *}$-coefficients of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{k-1}^{\ell^{n+1-k}} \otimes \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n-k}} \quad \text { and } \quad \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n-k}} \otimes \xi_{k-1}^{\ell^{n+1-k}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\psi\left(\tau^{E} \xi^{R}\right)$, where we can ignore signs since $\ell=2$. The basis element $P_{k}^{n-k}$ appears with coefficient 1, due to the term $\xi_{k-1}^{\ell^{n+1-k}} \otimes \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n-k}}$ in $\psi\left(\xi_{k}^{\ell^{n-k}}\right)$.

For other $\rho(E, R)$ not in $A(n-1)$, degree considerations show that exactly one of $\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n-1}}, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}^{\ell^{n+1-k}}$ must divide $\tau^{E} \xi^{R}$. When $1 \leq t \leq k-2$, no term of the coproduct

$$
\psi\left(\xi_{t}^{\ell^{n-t}}\right)=\sum_{i+j=t} \xi_{i}^{\ell^{n-i}} \otimes \xi_{j}^{\ell^{n-t}}
$$

divides either one of the tensor products in (4.2). Hence the $\rho(E, R)$ with these $\xi_{t}^{\ell^{n-t}}$ dividing $\tau^{E} \xi^{R}$ do not contribute to the commutator in (4.1). In the one remaining case, $t=k-1$, the coproduct $\psi\left(\xi_{k-1}^{\ell^{n+1-k}}\right)$ contains two terms dividing those in (4.2), namely $1 \otimes \xi_{k-1}^{\ell^{n+1-k}}$ and $\xi_{k-1}^{\ell^{n+1-k}} \otimes 1$. The complementary factors $\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n-k}} \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n-k}}$ only appear in

$$
\psi\left(\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n-k}}\right)=1 \otimes \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n-k}}+\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n-k}} \otimes 1
$$

so the last possible contribution to (4.1) is $\rho(E, R)$ dual to $\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n-k}} \cdot \xi_{k-1}^{\ell^{n+1-k}}$, with $H^{*, *}$-coefficient the sum of the $H_{*, *}$-coefficients in

$$
\psi\left(\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n-k}} \cdot \xi_{k-1}^{\ell^{n+1-k}}\right)=\psi\left(\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n-k}}\right) \cdot \psi\left(\xi_{k-1}^{\ell^{n+1-k}}\right)
$$

Since each of $\xi_{k-1}^{\ell^{n+1-k}} \otimes \xi_{1}^{\ell^{n-k}}$ and $\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n-k}} \otimes \xi_{k-1}^{\ell^{n+1-k}}$ occurs twice in this product, this last contribution is $0 \bmod \ell$. This establishes claim (4.1). The analogous formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{n}^{0}, Q_{0}\right]=Q_{n} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds strictly in $A(n)$, and was already proved in Voe03a, Prop. 13.6]. It follows by induction on $k$ that

$$
A(n-1)\left\langle P_{1}^{n-1}\right\rangle=A(n-1)\left\langle P_{1}^{n-1}, \ldots, P_{n}^{0}\right\rangle=A(n-1)\left\langle P_{1}^{n-1}, \ldots, P_{n}^{0}, Q_{n}\right\rangle
$$

Finally, the identity

$$
A(n-1)\left\langle P_{1}^{n-1}, \ldots, P_{n}^{0}, Q_{n}\right\rangle=A(n)
$$

follows by classical filtration-by-excess considerations, as in Mar83, Prop. 15.8], where the excess of $\rho(E, R)$ is defined to be $\sum_{s} e_{s}+2 \sum_{s} r_{s}$.
Lemma 4.12. The operations $\rho(E, R)$ for $(E, R)$ as in Definition 2.8 form a basis for $\mathscr{A}$ as a free left $A(n)$-module.
Proof. This follows by dualization from Lemma 2.9,
Lemma 4.13. Let $E_{n}=(1, \ldots, 1)$ and $R_{n}=\left(\ell^{n}-1, \ldots, \ell-1\right)$, so that $t_{n}=$ $\operatorname{deg}\left(\tau^{E_{n}} \xi^{R_{n}}\right)$ is the highest topological degree of a monomial in $A(n)_{*, *}$. Then $A(n)^{p, q}=0$ unless $0 \leq p \leq q+d+t_{n}$. Hence the subset

$$
\left\{(e, r) \mid A(n)^{p-e-(2 \ell-2) r, q-(\ell-1) r} \neq 0\right\} \subset\{0,1\} \times \mathbb{Z}
$$

is finite, for each given cohomological bidegree $(p, q)$.
Proof. The $H_{*, *}$-module generators of $A(n)_{*, *}$ lie in homological bidegrees $(t, u)$ with $0 \leq t \leq t_{n}$ and $u \geq 0$. Hence the $H^{*, *}$-module generators of $A(n)$ lie in cohomological bidegrees $(p, q)$ with $0 \leq p \leq t_{n}$ and $q \geq 0$. Since $H^{*, *}$ is concentrated in bidegrees with $0 \leq p \leq q+d$, it follows that $A(n)$ is concentrated in the infinite triangular region where $0 \leq p \leq q+d+t_{n}$. Each line of slope $1 / 2$ in the $(p, q)$-plane intersects this triangular region in a bounded interval, which implies the finiteness assertion.

Definition 4.14. For $n \geq 0$ let the $A(n)-A(n-1)$-bimodules $C(n)=C(n)_{*, *}^{\vee} \subset \mathscr{A}$ and $B(n)=B(n)_{*, *}^{\vee}$ be the duals of the $A(n)_{*, *} A(n-1)_{*, *}$-bicomodules $C(n)_{*, *}$ and $B(n)_{*, *}$, respectively. Let the symbol

$$
\rho(E, R) \in B(n)
$$

be dual to $\tau^{E} \xi^{R}$ in the monomial left $H_{*, *-}$-module basis for $B(n)_{*, *}$. The dual of the localization monomorphism $C(n)_{*, *} \rightarrow B(n)_{*, *}$ is a canonical $A(n)-A(n-1)-$ bimodule epimorphism $B(n) \rightarrow C(n)$.
Lemma 4.15. (a) The operations $\rho(E, R)$, for $(E, R)$ as in Lemma 3.3(a), form a basis for $C(n)$ as a free left $H^{*, *}$-module.
(b) The symbols $\rho(E, R)$, for $(E, R)$ as in Lemma 3.3(b), form a basis for $B(n)$ as a free left $H^{*, *}$-module.
(c) The canonical epimorphism $B(n) \rightarrow C(n)$ satisfies

$$
\rho(E, R) \longmapsto \begin{cases}\rho(E, R) & \text { for } r_{1} \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text { for } r_{1}<0\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Part (a) follows from (the proof of) Lemma 3.3(a), since $J(n) \subset \mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ is a monomial ideal. Part (b) likewise follows from Lemma 3.3(b). The restriction of $\rho(E, R)$ to $C(n)_{*, *}$ is then dual to $\tau^{E} \xi^{R}$ if $r_{1} \geq 0$, and zero otherwise, proving (c).

Lemma 4.16. (a) The operations $\rho(E, R)$, for $(E, R)$ as in Lemma 3.3(a), also form a basis for $C(n)$ as a free right $H^{*, *}$-module.
(b) The symbols $\rho(E, R)$, for $(E, R)$ as in Lemma 3.3(b), also form a basis for $B(n)$ as a free right $H^{*, *}$-module.
Proof. For part (a), replace $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ and Lemma 2.2 in the proof of Lemma 4.6 by $C(n)_{*, *}$ and Lemma 3.4(a).

For part (b), instead replace these by $B(n)_{*, *}$ and Lemma 3.4(b), and allow the filtration index $t$ in the proof of Lemma 4.6 to run over all integers, noting that in any given bidegree $F_{t} B(n)=0$ for all sufficiently negative $t$.

Example 4.17. (a) The Steenrod operations

$$
\left\{\beta^{e} P^{r} \mid e \in\{0,1\}, r \geq 0\right\}
$$

form a basis for $C(0) \subset \mathscr{A}$ as a left $H^{*, *}$-module, and as a right $H^{*, *}$-module. When $\ell=2$, these are the Steenrod operations $S q^{k}$ for $k \geq 0$.
(b) The symbols

$$
\left\{\beta^{e} P^{r} \mid e \in\{0,1\}, r \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

with $\beta^{e} P^{r}$ dual to $\tau_{0}^{e} \xi_{1}^{r}$, form a basis for $B(0)$ as a left $H^{*, *}$-module, and as a right $H^{*, *}$-module. When $\ell=2$, these are the symbols $S q^{k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The homomorphism $B(0) \rightarrow C(0)$ maps $\beta^{e} P^{r}$ to the corresponding Steenrod operation for $r \geq 0$, and to zero for $r<0$.

Lemma 4.18. For each $n \geq 0$ there is a commutative diagram of $A(n)-A(n-1)$ bimodules

where the bimodule structures on the right hand side are obtained by restriction from the inherent $A(n+1)-A(n)$-bimodule structures.

Proof. This is readily obtained by comparing diagram (3.1) to its analogue with $n$ replaced by $n+1$, and dualizing.
Proposition 4.19. (a) The inclusion $H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right]^{\vee} \subset C(n)$ extends to an isomorphism

$$
A(n) \otimes_{H^{*, *}} H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right]^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\cong} C(n)
$$

of left $A(n)$-modules. Hence the Steenrod operations

$$
\left\{P^{r} \mid r \geq 0 \text { with } \ell^{n} \mid r\right\}
$$

form a basis for $C(n)$ as a free left $A(n)$-module.
(b) The inclusion $H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{ \pm \ell^{n}}\right]^{\vee} \subset B(n)$ extends to an isomorphism

$$
A(n) \otimes_{H^{*, *}} H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{ \pm \ell^{n}}\right]^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\cong} B(n)
$$

of left $A(n)$-modules. Hence the symbols

$$
\left\{P^{r} \mid r \in \mathbb{Z} \text { with } \ell^{n} \mid r\right\}
$$

form a basis for $B(n)$ as a free left $A(n)$-module. The cohomological bidegree of $P^{r}$ is $((2 \ell-2) r,(\ell-1) r)$.
Proof. The homomorphisms

$$
\theta: A(n)_{*, *}^{\vee} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right]^{\vee} \longrightarrow\left(A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}\right]\right)^{\vee}
$$

and

$$
\theta: A(n)_{*, *}^{\vee} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{ \pm \ell^{n}}\right]^{\vee} \longrightarrow\left(A(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} H_{*, *}\left[\xi_{1}^{ \pm \ell^{n}}\right]\right)^{\vee}
$$

are isomorphisms. This follows from Lemmas 4.3 (c) and 4.13, since in each case the source of $\theta$ is a direct sum of shifted copies of $A(n)$, the target of $\theta$ is the corresponding product, and in each bidegree $(p, q)$ only finitely many of the factors in the product are nonzero.

The claims in (a) and (b) then follow by dualization from Proposition 3.11.

Proposition 4.20. The inclusion $B(0) \subset B(n)$ extends to an isomorphism

$$
B(0) \otimes_{H^{*, *}} A(n-1) \stackrel{\cong}{\Longrightarrow} B(n)
$$

of right $A(n-1)$-modules. Hence the symbols

$$
\left\{\beta^{e} P^{r} \mid e \in\{0,1\}, r \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

form a basis for $B(n)$ as a free right $A(n-1)$-module. The cohomological bidegree of $\beta^{e} P^{r}$ is $(e+(2 \ell-2) r,(\ell-1) r)$.
Proof. The homomorphism

$$
\theta: B(0)_{*, *}^{\vee} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} A(n-1)_{*, *}^{\vee} \longrightarrow\left(B(0)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} A(n-1)_{*, *}\right)^{\vee}
$$

is an isomorphism, by Lemmas 4.3(c) and 4.13. Thus the claim follows by dualization from Proposition 3.12 and Example 4.17(b).

## 5. The small motivic Singer construction

In this section and the next, we generalize the classical Singer construction $R_{+}(M)$ of Sin81 and LS82 to the motivic context, following the strategy of AGM85. We shall write $R_{S}(M)$ for the (small) construction associated to the symmetric group $S_{\ell}$, which is denoted $R_{+}(M)$ in LS82 and $T^{\prime \prime}(M)$ in AGM85, and whose desuspension $\Sigma^{-1} R_{S}(M)$ is denoted $R_{+}(M)$ in Sin80 and Sin81 and $T^{\prime}(M)$ in AGM85. We shall write $R_{\mu}(M)$ for the (large) construction associated to the cyclic group $C_{\ell}$ and the algebraic group $\mu_{\ell}$ of $\ell$-th roots of unity, which is denoted $T(M)$ in AGM85 and $R_{+}(M)$ in LNR12. For $\ell=2$ the two constructions agree.

Lemma 5.1. Let $n \geq 0$.
(a) For each left $A(n-1)$-module $M$, the tensor product $B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} M$ is a left $A(n)$-module. The inclusion $B(0) \subset B(n)$ induces an isomorphism

$$
B(0) \otimes_{H^{*, *}} M \stackrel{\cong}{\cong} B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} M
$$

(b) If $M$ is a left $A(n)$-module, then the inclusion $B(n) \subset B(n+1)$ induces an isomorphism

$$
B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} M \stackrel{\cong}{\cong} B(n+1) \otimes_{A(n)} M
$$

of left $A(n)$-modules.
(c) If $M$ is a left $\mathscr{A}$-module, then the composition $B(n) \rightarrow C(n) \subset \mathscr{A}$ induces a left $A(n)$-module homomorphism

$$
\epsilon_{n}: B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} M \longrightarrow \mathscr{A} \otimes_{A(n-1)} M \longrightarrow M
$$

and these are compatible for varying $n$.
Proof. (a) This is clear from the $A(n)-A(n-1)$-bimodule structure of $B(n)$ and Proposition 4.20
(b) The morphism exists because $B(n) \subset B(n+1)$ is an $A(n)-A(n-1)$-bimodule homomorphism, with respect to the restricted bimodule structure on the target. It is an isomorphism by comparison with the isomorphisms of part (a) for $n$ and $n+1$.
(c) This follows because the inclusions $C(n) \subset C(n+1) \subset \mathscr{A}$ are $A(n)-A(n-1)$ bimodule homomorphisms. In each case the morphism $\mathscr{A} \otimes_{A(n-1)} M \longrightarrow M$ is induced by the left module action $\mathscr{A} \otimes M \rightarrow M$.

Definition 5.2. Let $M$ be any left $\mathscr{A}$-module.
(a) Let the small motivic Singer construction

$$
R_{S}(M)=\operatorname{colim}_{n}\left(B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} M\right)
$$

be the colimit of the sequence of isomorphisms

$$
B(0) \otimes_{H^{*, *}} M \stackrel{\cong}{\cong} \ldots \xrightarrow{\cong} B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} M \stackrel{\cong}{\cong} B(n+1) \otimes_{A(n)} M \xrightarrow{\cong} \ldots,
$$

equipped with the unique left $\mathscr{A}$-module structure for which the canonical map $B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} M \rightarrow R_{S}(M)$ is an isomorphism of $A(n)$-modules, for each $n \geq 0$.
(b) Let the small evaluation homomorphism

$$
\epsilon: R_{S}(M) \longrightarrow M
$$

be the left $\mathscr{A}$-module homomorphism such that its restriction to $B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} M$ is equal to the $A(n)$-module homomorphism $\epsilon_{n}$ of Lemma 5.1(c), for each $n \geq 0$.

Evidently, $R_{S}$ is an exact and colimit-preserving endofunctor of left $\mathscr{A}$-modules, and $\epsilon: R_{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{id}$ is a natural transformation.

Lemma 5.3. As a left $A(0)$-module, the small motivic Singer construction is given by the tensor product

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{S}(M) & \cong B(0) \otimes_{H^{*, *}} M \\
& =H^{*, *}\left\{\beta^{e} P^{r} \mid e \in\{0,1\}, r \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \otimes_{H^{*, *}} M
\end{aligned}
$$

with the $A(0)$-action from $B(0)$. Each element of $R_{S}(M)$ is thus a finite sum of terms $\beta^{e} P^{r} \otimes m$, with $e \in\{0,1\}, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in M$, where $\beta\left(P^{r} \otimes m\right)=\beta P^{r} \otimes m$ and $\beta\left(\beta P^{r} \otimes m\right)=0$. The small evaluation homomorphism is given by

$$
\epsilon\left(\beta^{e} P^{r} \otimes m\right)= \begin{cases}\beta^{e} P^{r}(m) & \text { for } r \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text { for } r<0\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Clear.
The following formulas generalize the one of Singer [Sin80, (2.1)] for $\ell=2$ and a rewriting of the those of Li-Singer [LS82, §3] for $\ell$ odd. By $\tau^{j \bmod 2}$ we mean $\tau^{0}=1$ for $j$ even and $\tau^{1}=\tau$ for $j$ odd.

Proposition 5.4. For $\ell=2$ and $a \geq 0$ even the action of $S q^{a}$ on $R_{S}(M)$ is given by

$$
S q^{a}\left(S q^{b} \otimes m\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{[a / 2]}\binom{b-1-j}{a-2 j} \tau^{j \bmod 2} \cdot S q^{a+b-j} \otimes S q^{j}(m)
$$

for $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ even, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S q^{a}\left(S q^{b} \otimes m\right)= & \sum_{j=0}^{[a / 2]}\binom{b-1-j}{a-2 j} S q^{a+b-j} \otimes S q^{j}(m) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
o d d}}^{[a / 2]}\binom{b-1-j}{a-2 j} \rho \cdot S q^{a+b-j-1} \otimes S q^{j}(m)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ odd.
For $\ell$ odd and $a \geq 0$ the action of $P^{a}$ on $R_{S}(M)$ is given by

$$
P^{a}\left(P^{b} \otimes m\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{[a / \ell]}(-1)^{a+j}\binom{(\ell-1)(b-j)-1}{a-\ell j} P^{a+b-j} \otimes P^{j}(m)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^{a}\left(\beta P^{b} \otimes m\right)= & \sum_{j=0}^{[a / \ell]}(-1)^{a+j}\binom{(\ell-1)(b-j)}{a-\ell j} \beta P^{a+b-j} \otimes P^{j}(m) \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{[(a-1) / \ell]}(-1)^{a+j-1}\binom{(\ell-1)(b-j)-1}{a-\ell j-1} P^{a+b-j} \otimes \beta P^{j}(m)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $b \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. For $a=0$ the formulas confirm that $S q^{0}$ and $P^{0}$ are the identity operations.
For $\ell=2$ and $a>0$ even, choose $n$ so that $S q^{a} \in A(n)$. Then $S q^{j} \in A(n-1)$ for all $0 \leq j \leq[a / 2]$, and $S q^{i} S q^{j} \otimes m=S q^{i} \otimes S q^{j}(m)$ in $B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} M$. When $a<2 b$ the formulas for $S q^{a}\left(S q^{b} \otimes m\right)$ then follow from the Adem relations Voe03a, Thm. 10.2] for $S q^{a} S q^{b}$, as corrected in Rio12, Thm. 4.5.1].

Similarly, for $\ell$ odd and $a>0$, choose $n$ so that $P^{a} \in A(n)$. Then $P^{j}, \beta P^{j} \in$ $A(n-1)$ for all $0 \leq j \leq[a / \ell]$, and $P^{i} P^{j} \otimes m=P^{i} \otimes P^{j}(m)$ and $P^{i} \beta P^{j} \otimes m=$ $P^{i} \otimes \beta P^{j}(m)$ in $B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} M$. When $a<\ell b$ the formulas for $P^{a}\left(P^{b} \otimes m\right)$ and $P^{a}\left(\beta P^{b} \otimes m\right)$ then follow from the Adem relations Voe03a, Thm. 10.3] for $P^{a} P^{b}$ and $P^{a} \beta P^{b}$. (The last Adem relation is valid for $0<a \leq \ell b$, cf. Rio12, Thm. 4.5.2].)

For the rest of the argument, $\ell$ can be even or odd. By Definition 3.8, the left $A(n)_{*, *}$-coaction on $B(n)_{*, *}$ commutes with multiplication by $\xi_{1}^{\ell^{n}}$, so the left $A(n)$ action on $B(n)$ commutes with the operation $\beta^{e} P^{r} \mapsto \beta^{e} P^{r+\ell^{n}}$. All mod $\ell$ binomial coefficients in sight also repeat $\ell^{n}$-periodically in $b$. Hence the formulas for $a \geq \ell b$ follow from those for $a<\ell b$.

Corollary 5.5. For $\ell=2$ and $a \geq 0$ even the action of $S q^{a}$ on $R_{S}\left(H^{*, *}\right) \cong B(0)$ is given by

$$
S q^{a}\left(S q^{b}\right)=\binom{b-1}{a} S q^{a+b}
$$

for $b \in \mathbb{Z}$.
For $\ell$ odd and $a \geq 0$ the action of $P^{a}$ on $R_{S}\left(H^{*, *}\right) \cong B(0)$ is given by

$$
P^{a}\left(P^{b}\right)=(-1)^{a}\binom{(\ell-1) b-1}{a} P^{a+b}
$$

and

$$
P^{a}\left(\beta P^{b}\right)=(-1)^{a}\binom{(\ell-1) b}{a} \beta P^{a+b}
$$

for $b \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. This is the special case $M=H^{*, *}$ of Proposition 5.4, where we identify $R_{S}\left(H^{*, *}\right) \cong B(0) \otimes_{H^{*, *}} H^{*, *}=B(0)$ and note that $S q^{j}(1)=0$ and $P^{j}(1)=0$ in $H^{*, *}$ for all $j>0$. When $\ell=2$, the formulas for $P^{a}\left(P^{b}\right)$ and $P^{a}\left(\beta P^{b}\right)$ agree with the given formulas for $S q^{2 a}\left(S q^{2 b}\right)$ and $S q^{2 a}\left(S q^{2 b+1}\right)$, since $\binom{b-1}{a} \equiv\binom{2 b-1}{2 a}$ and $\binom{b}{a} \equiv\binom{2 b}{2 a} \bmod 2$.

Notation 5.6. Let $B \mu_{\ell}$ and $B S_{\ell}$ be the geometric classifying spaces of the linear algebraic groups $\mu_{\ell}$ and $S_{\ell}$, respectively. Recall from Voe03a, Thm. 6.10, Thm. 6.16] that

$$
H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)=H^{*, *}[u, v] /\left(u^{2}=\tau v+\rho u\right)
$$

with $\beta(u)=v$, and

$$
H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)=H^{*, *}[c, d] /\left(c^{2}=\tau d+\rho c\right)
$$

with $\beta(c)=d$, as graded commutative $\mathscr{A}$-module $H^{*, *}$-algebras. The cohomological bidegrees of $u, v, c$ and $d$ are $(1,1),(2,1),(2 \ell-3, \ell-1)$ and $(2 \ell-2, \ell-1)$, respectively.

The coefficients $\tau$ and $\rho$ are interpreted as 0 when $\ell$ is odd. Any choice of a primitive $\ell$-th root of unity $\zeta$ defines a map $p_{\zeta}: B \mu_{\ell} \rightarrow B S_{\ell}$ inducing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{\zeta}^{*}: c \longmapsto-u v^{\ell-2} \\
& p_{\zeta}^{*}: d \longmapsto-v^{\ell-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We suppress $p_{\zeta}^{*}$ from the notation, viewing $H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)$ as an $\mathscr{A}$-module subalgebra of $H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)$. The natural left $\mathscr{A}$-module structure on $H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)$ is determined by the cases

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta^{e} P^{r}(u)= \begin{cases}u & \text { for }(e, r)=(0,0), \\
v & \text { for }(e, r)=(1,0), \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& \beta^{e} P^{r}(v)= \begin{cases}v & \text { for }(e, r)=(0,0) \\
v^{\ell} & \text { for }(e, r)=(0,1), \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the Cartan formula Voe03a, Prop. 9.7], leading to the expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^{r}\left(u v^{k}\right) & =\binom{k}{r} u v^{(\ell-1) r+k} \\
\beta P^{r}\left(u v^{k}\right) & =\binom{k}{r} v^{(\ell-1) r+1+k} \\
P^{r}\left(v^{k}\right) & =\binom{k}{r} v^{(\ell-1) r+k} \\
\beta P^{r}\left(v^{k}\right) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

The restricted $\mathscr{A}$-module action on $H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^{r}\left(c d^{k}\right) & =(-1)^{r}\binom{(\ell-1)(k+1)-1}{r} c d^{r+k} \\
\beta P^{r}\left(c d^{k}\right) & =(-1)^{r}\binom{(\ell-1)(k+1)-1}{r} d^{r+1+k} \\
P^{r}\left(d^{k}\right) & =(-1)^{r}\binom{(\ell-1) k}{r} d^{r+k} \\
\beta P^{r}\left(d^{k}\right) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $r \geq 0$ and $k \geq 0$, cf. [Rio12, Prop. 4.4.6].
In particular, $\beta\left(v^{\ell^{n}}\right)=0$ and $P^{r}\left(v^{\ell^{n}}\right)=0$ for all $0<r<\ell^{n}$, so multiplication by $v^{\ell^{n}}$ acts left $A(n)$-linearly on $H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)$, cf. Lemma 4.11, Likewise, multiplication by $d^{\ell^{n}}$ acts left $A(n)$-linearly on $H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)$. Hence the following two localizations inherit compatible left $A(n)$-module structures for all $n \geq 0$. These combine to well-defined left $\mathscr{A}$-module structures, such that the localization homomorphisms are maps of $\mathscr{A}$-module $H^{*, *}$-algebras.

Definition 5.7. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}}=H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)[1 / v] & =H^{*, *}\left[u, v^{ \pm 1}\right] /\left(u^{2}=\tau v+\rho u\right) \\
& =H^{*, *}\left\{u^{i} v^{k} \mid i \in\{0,1\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}}=H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)[1 / d] & =H^{*, *}\left[c, d^{ \pm 1}\right] /\left(c^{2}=\tau d+\rho c\right) \\
& =H^{*, *}\left\{c^{i} d^{k} \mid i \in\{0,1\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

denote the localizations away from $v$ and $d$, respectively.
Theorem 5.8. Let $\Sigma=\Sigma^{1,0}$. There is a left $\mathscr{A}$-module isomorphism

$$
R_{S}\left(H^{*, *}\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\cong} \Sigma H^{*, *}(B S \ell)_{\mathrm{loc}}
$$

defined by

$$
P^{k} \longmapsto \Sigma c d^{k-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta P^{k} \longmapsto-\Sigma d^{k}
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The composite $\Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }} \cong R_{S}\left(H^{*, *}\right) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} H^{*, *}$ is the left $\mathscr{A}$-linear homomorphism given by

$$
\Sigma c d^{-1} \longmapsto 1 \quad \text { and } \quad \Sigma c^{i} d^{k} \longmapsto 0
$$

for $(i, k) \neq(1,-1)$, where $i \in\{0,1\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. By Corollary 5.5, Notation 5.6 and Definition 5.7 the indicated $H^{*, *}$-module isomorphism maps $P^{r}\left(P^{k}\right)$ and $P^{r}\left(\beta P^{k}\right)$ to $P^{r}\left(\Sigma c d^{k-1}\right)$ and $P^{r}\left(-\Sigma d^{k}\right)$, respectively, for all $r \geq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $\beta\left(\Sigma c d^{k-1}\right)=-\Sigma d^{k}$ and $\beta\left(-\Sigma d^{k}\right)=0$. Hence the isomorphism is $\mathscr{A}$-linear. The calculation of the composite follows by noting that $\beta^{e} P^{r}(1)=0$ in $H^{*, *}$ unless $(e, r)=(0,0)$.

## 6. The large motivic Singer construction

Our next aim, following [AGM85, §5], is to construct the large Singer construction $R_{\mu}(M)$ as an extension of $R_{S}(M)$, with $R_{\mu}\left(H^{*, *}\right) \cong \Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }}$. We first note that $H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }} \subset H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }}$ is a pair of graded Frobenius algebras.

Definition 6.1. Let the residue homomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { res: } \Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }} \longrightarrow H^{*, *} \\
& \text { res: } \Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }} \longrightarrow H^{*, *}
\end{aligned}
$$

be the left $H^{*, *}$-linear Frobenius forms defined for $i \in\{0,1\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
\operatorname{res}\left(\Sigma u^{i} v^{k}\right)=\operatorname{res}\left(\Sigma c^{i} d^{k}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for }(i, k)=(1,-1) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The associated Frobenius pairings

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}} \otimes_{H^{*, *}} H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}} \longrightarrow H^{*, *} \\
& \Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}} \otimes_{H^{*, *}} H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}} \longrightarrow H^{*, *}
\end{aligned}
$$

map $\Sigma x \otimes y$ to res $(\Sigma x y)$, and the adjoint $H^{*, *}$-linear homomorphisms

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}} & \cong \\
\Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}} & \cong \\
& \left(H^{-*,-*}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}}\right)^{\vee} \\
\end{array}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}}\right)^{\vee} .
$$

are the isomorphisms given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma v^{k} & \longmapsto\left(u v^{-k-1}\right)^{\vee} \\
\Sigma u v^{k-1} & \longmapsto\left(v^{-k}\right)^{\vee}+\rho \cdot\left(u v^{-k}\right)^{\vee}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma d^{k} & \longmapsto\left(c d^{-k-1}\right)^{\vee} \\
\Sigma c d^{k-1} & \longmapsto\left(d^{-k}\right)^{\vee}+\rho \cdot\left(c d^{-k}\right)^{\vee}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Lemma 6.2. The Frobenius forms, the associated Frobenius pairings, and the adjoint isomorphisms, are all left $\mathscr{A}$-linear.

Proof. The residue homomorphism in the case of $B \mu_{\ell}$ is $\mathscr{A}$-linear, because for $r>0$ we have $P^{r}\left(u v^{k}\right)=0$ whenever $(\ell-1) r+k=-1$, since

$$
\binom{(\ell-1)(-r)-1}{r}=(-1)^{r}\binom{\ell r}{r} \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \ell
$$

The case of $B S_{\ell}$ follows from this, or from the second part of Theorem 5.8, The $\mathscr{A}$-linearity of the remaining homomorphisms follows formally.

Recall the cotensor product $\square$ of comodules, e.g. from [EM66, §2].
Definition 6.3. Let

$$
R^{S}\left(H_{*, *}\right)=\lim _{n}\left(B(n)_{*, *} \square_{A(n-1)_{*, *}} H_{*, *}\right) \cong B(0)_{*, *}
$$

be the (achieved) limit of the right $A(n-1)_{*, *}$-comodule primitives in $B(n)_{*, *}$. It is a left $A(n)_{*, *}$-comodule algebra for each $n \geq 0$, and these coactions combine to a completed left $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$-comodule algebra structure. We write

$$
R^{S}\left(H_{*, *}\right)=H^{*, *}\left[\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\xi}^{ \pm 1}\right] /\left(\tilde{\tau}^{2}=\tau \tilde{\xi}+\rho \tilde{\tau} \tilde{\xi}\right)
$$

with $\tilde{\tau}$ and $\tilde{\xi}$ mapping to $\tau_{0}$ and $\xi_{1}$ in $B(0)_{*, *}$, respectively. Note that $R_{S}\left(H^{*, *}\right) \cong$ $R^{S}\left(H_{*, *}\right)^{\vee}$, with $\beta^{e} P^{r}$ dual to $\tilde{\tau}^{e} \tilde{\xi}^{r}$ in the monomial basis.

Lemma 6.4. The composite left $\mathscr{A}$-module isomorphism

$$
R_{S}\left(H^{*, *}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}} \xrightarrow{\cong}\left(H^{-*,-*}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}}\right)^{\vee}
$$

is the dual of the $H^{*, *}$-algebra isomorphism

$$
\Phi: H^{-*,-*}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}} \xrightarrow{\cong} R^{S}\left(H_{*, *}\right)
$$

given by

$$
c \longmapsto-\tilde{\tau} \tilde{\xi}^{-1}+\rho \cdot 1 \quad \text { and } \quad d \longmapsto \tilde{\xi}^{-1} .
$$

Proof. The composite isomorphism maps $P^{k}$ to $\left(d^{-k}\right)^{\vee}+\rho \cdot\left(c d^{-k}\right)^{\vee}$ and maps $\beta P^{k}$ to $-\left(c d^{-k-1}\right)^{\vee}$, hence is dual to the $H^{*, *}$-linear homomorphism mapping $d^{-k}$ to $\left(P^{k}\right)^{\vee}=\tilde{\xi}^{k}$ and mapping $c d^{-k}$ to $-\left(\beta P^{k-1}\right)^{\vee}+\rho \cdot\left(P^{k}\right)^{\vee}=-\tilde{\tau} \tilde{\xi}^{k-1}+\rho \cdot \tilde{\xi}^{k}$. This is indeed an algebra isomorphism.

For a left $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$-comodule $M_{*, *}$, the $A(n)_{*, *}-A(n-1)_{*, *}$-bicomodule algebra product $\phi$ on $B(n)_{*, *}$ induces a pairing

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(B(n)_{*, *} \square_{A(n-1)_{*, *}} H_{*, *}\right) \otimes_{H_{*, *}}\left(B(n)_{*, *} \square_{A(n-1)_{*, *}}\right. & \left.M_{*, *}\right) \\
& \left(B(n)_{*, *} \square_{A(n-1)_{*, *}} M_{*, *}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

of left $A(n)_{*, *}$-comodules for each $n \geq 0$, making

$$
R^{S}\left(M_{*, *}\right)=\lim _{n}\left(B(n)_{*, *} \square_{A(n-1)_{*, *}} M_{*, *}\right)
$$

an $R^{S}\left(H_{*, *}\right)$-module in completed left $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$-comodules. Viewing $R^{S}\left(M_{*, *}\right)$ as an $H^{-*,-*}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }}$-module via the algebra isomorphism $\Phi$, we can form the induced $H^{-*,-*}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }}$-module

$$
R^{\mu}\left(M_{*, *}\right)=H^{-*,-*}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}} \underset{H^{-*,-*}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}}}{\otimes} R^{S}\left(M_{*, *}\right)
$$

As a left $A(n)_{*, *}$-comodule, it is isomorphic to a finite direct sum

$$
R^{\mu}\left(M_{*, *}\right) \cong H^{*, *}\left\{1, v^{\ell^{n}}, \ldots, v^{\ell^{n}(\ell-2)}\right\} \otimes_{H^{*, *}} R^{S}\left(M_{*, *}\right)
$$

where each power of $v^{\ell^{n}}$ is $A(n)_{*, *}$-comodule primitive.
Dually, for a left $\mathscr{A}$-module $M$ the completed $A(n)-A(n-1)$-bimodule coproduct

$$
B(n)=B(n)_{*, *}^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\phi^{\vee}}\left(B(n)_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} B(n)_{*, *}\right)^{\vee}=B(n) \widehat{\otimes}_{H^{*, *}} B(n)
$$

induces a "copairing"

$$
B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} M \longrightarrow\left(B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} H^{*, *}\right) \widehat{\otimes}_{H^{*, *}}\left(B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} M\right)
$$

of left $A(n)$-modules for each $n \geq 0$, making the small Singer construction

$$
R_{S}(M)=\operatorname{colim}_{n}\left(B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} M\right)
$$

a completed $R_{S}\left(H^{*, *}\right)$-comodule in left $\mathscr{A}$-modules. Here $R_{S}\left(H^{*, *}\right)$ has the completed $H^{*, *}$-coalgebra structure dual to the $H_{*, *}$-algebra structure on $R^{S}\left(H_{*, *}\right)$ that appears in Lemma 6.4. It corresponds via the isomorphism in Theorem 5.8 to a completed $H^{*, *}$-coalgebra structure on $\Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }}$. Moreover, the algebra inclusion $H^{-*,-*}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }} \subset H^{-*,-*}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }}$ in left $\mathscr{A}$-modules corresponds under duality and the Frobenius isomorphisms from Definition 6.1 to a completed $H^{*, *}$-coalgebra epimorphism

$$
\pi: \Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}} \rightarrow \Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}}
$$

in left $\mathscr{A}$-modules, given by

$$
\Sigma v^{(\ell-1) k} \longmapsto(-1)^{k} \Sigma d^{k} \quad \text { and } \quad \Sigma u v^{(\ell-1) k-1} \longmapsto(-1)^{k} \Sigma c d^{k-1}
$$

while the remaining $H^{*, *}$-module generators $\Sigma u^{i} v^{k}$ with $i \in\{0,1\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ map to zero. This discussion motivates the following definition.
Definition 6.5. Let $M$ be any left $\mathscr{A}$-module.
(a) Let the large motivic Singer construction

$$
R_{\mu}(M)=\Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\Sigma H^{*}, *\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}}}{ }^{\square} R_{S}(M)
$$

be the left $\mathscr{A}$-module coinduced from $R_{S}(M)$ along the completed $H^{*, *}$-coalgebra epimorphism $\pi$. As a left $A(n)$-module it is isomorphic to the finite direct sum

$$
R_{\mu}(M) \cong H^{*, *}\left\{1, v^{\ell^{n}}, \ldots, v^{\ell^{n}(\ell-2)}\right\} \otimes_{H^{*, *}} R_{S}(M)
$$

where $A(n)$ acts trivially, i.e., via $\eta_{L, n}^{\vee}: A(n) \rightarrow H^{*, *}$, on each power of $v^{\ell^{n}}$.
(b) Let the large evaluation homomorphism

$$
\epsilon: R_{\mu}(M) \longrightarrow M
$$

be the composite $\epsilon(\pi \square 1): R_{\mu}(M) \rightarrow R_{S}(M) \rightarrow M$.
Corollary 6.6. There is a left $\mathscr{A}$-module isomorphism

$$
R_{\mu}\left(H^{*, *}\right) \cong \Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}}
$$

The composite $\Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}} \cong R_{\mu}\left(H^{*, *}\right) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} H^{*, *}$ equals the residue homomorphism for $B \mu_{\ell}$.
Proof. This follows directly from Theorem 5.8.
Lemma 6.7. As a left $A(0)$-module, the large motivic Singer construction is given by the tensor product

$$
R_{\mu}(M) \cong H^{*, *}\left\{\Sigma u^{i} v^{k} \mid i \in\{0,1\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \otimes_{H^{*, *}} M
$$

with the $A(0)$-action from $\Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }}$. Each element of $R_{\mu}(M)$ is thus a finite sum of terms $\Sigma u^{i} v^{k} \otimes m$, with $i \in\{0,1\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in M$, where $\beta\left(\Sigma u v^{k} \otimes m\right)=$ $-\Sigma v^{k+1} \otimes m$ and $\beta\left(\Sigma v^{k} \otimes m\right)=0$.
Proof. Clear.
The following formulas generalize the classical one of Singer Sin81, (3.2)] for $\ell=2$, and of Lunøe-Nielsen and the second author LNR12, Def. 3.1] for $\ell$ odd. The latter two formulas were surely known to the authors of AGM85.

Proposition 6.8. For $\ell=2$ and $r \geq 0$ the action of $S q^{2 r}$ on $R_{\mu}(M) \cong R_{S}(M)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
S q^{2 r}\left(\Sigma u v^{k} \otimes m\right)= & \sum_{j=0}^{[r / 2]}\binom{k-j}{r-2 j} \Sigma u v^{r+k-j} \otimes S q^{2 j}(m) \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=0}^{[(r-1) / 2]}\binom{k-j}{r-2 j-1} \tau \cdot \Sigma v^{r+k-j} \otimes S q^{2 j+1}(m)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S q^{2 r}\left(\Sigma v^{k} \otimes m\right)= & \sum_{j=0}^{[r / 2]}\binom{k-j}{r-2 j} \Sigma v^{r+k-j} \otimes S q^{2 j}(m) \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{[(r-1) / 2]}\binom{k-j-1}{r-2 j-1} \Sigma(u+\rho) v^{r+k-j-1} \otimes S q^{2 j+1}(m) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\Sigma(u+\rho) v^{r+k-j-1}=\Sigma u v^{r+k-j-1}+\rho \cdot \Sigma v^{r+k-j-1}$.
For $\ell$ odd and $r \geq 0$ the action of $P^{r}$ on $R_{\mu}(M)$ is given by

$$
P^{r}\left(\Sigma u v^{k-1} \otimes m\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{[r / \ell]}\binom{k-(\ell-1) j-1}{r-\ell j} \Sigma u v^{k+(\ell-1)(r-j)-1} \otimes P^{j}(m)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^{r}\left(\Sigma v^{k} \otimes m\right)= & \sum_{j=0}^{[r / \ell]}\binom{k-(\ell-1) j}{r-\ell j} \Sigma v^{k+(\ell-1)(r-j)} \otimes P^{j}(m) \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{[(r-1) / \ell]}\binom{k-(\ell-1) j-1}{r-\ell j-1} \Sigma u v^{k+(\ell-1)(r-j)-1} \otimes \beta P^{j}(m)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. For $\ell=2$, the formulas are obtained from Proposition 5.4 by replacing $S q^{2 k}$ and $S q^{2 k+1}$ by $\Sigma c d^{k-1}=\Sigma u v^{k-1}$ and $-\Sigma d^{k}=\Sigma v^{k}$, respectively, as in Theorem 5.8, The summations over $0 \leq j \leq[a / 2]$ split into two cases, according to the parity of $j$, and the resulting terms can be collected as shown.

For $\ell$ odd, we first rewrite $R_{S}(M)$ as

$$
\Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\operatorname{loc}} \otimes_{H^{*, *}} M=H^{*, *}\left\{\Sigma c^{i} d^{k} \mid i \in\{0,1\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \otimes_{H^{*, *}} M
$$

replacing $P^{k}$ and $\beta P^{k}$ by $\Sigma c d^{k-1}$ and $-\Sigma d^{k}$, respectively. For $r \geq 0$ the action of $P^{r}$ on $R_{S}(M)$ is then given by

$$
P^{r}\left(\Sigma\left(c d^{-1}\right)(-d)^{b} \otimes m\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{[r / \ell]}\binom{(\ell-1)(b-j)-1}{r-\ell j} \Sigma\left(c d^{-1}\right)(-d)^{r+b-j} \otimes P^{j}(m)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^{r}\left(\Sigma(-d)^{b} \otimes m\right)= & \sum_{j=0}^{[r / \ell]}\binom{(\ell-1)(b-j)}{r-\ell j} \Sigma(-d)^{r+b-j} \otimes P^{j}(m) \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=0}^{[(r-1) / \ell]}\binom{(\ell-1)(b-j)-1}{r-\ell j-1} \Sigma\left(c d^{-1}\right)(-d)^{r+b-j} \otimes \beta P^{j}(m)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Substituting $c d^{-1}=u v^{-1},-d=v^{\ell-1}$ and $k=(\ell-1) b$ we obtain the claimed formulas, in the cases where $k$ is a multiple of $\ell-1$. The general cases follow, since for $n$ so large that $0<r<\ell^{n}$ the action of $P^{r}$ on $R_{\mu}(M)$ commutes
with multiplication by $v^{\ell^{n}}$, and $\ell^{n}$ is relatively prime to $\ell-1$. All $\bmod \ell$ binomial coefficients in sight are $\ell^{n}$-periodic as functions of $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

## 7. The evaluations are Ext-Equivalences

We can now adapt AGM85, Lem. 2.2] to the motivic setting. We sidestep their use of $\mathbb{F}_{p} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}}(-)$ and Tor-equivalences, since $H^{*, *}$ is not naturally a right $\mathscr{A}$-module, and pass directly to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(-, H^{*, *}\right)$ and Ext-equivalences.

Lemma 7.1. Let $M$ be a free left $\mathscr{A}$-module. The Singer constructions $R_{S}(M)$ and $R_{\mu}(M)$ are free as left $A(n)$-modules, for each $n$, and flat as left $\mathscr{A}$-modules.
Proof. Since $M$ is left $\mathscr{A}$-free, it is left $A(n-1)$-free by Lemma 4.12, Hence the left $A(n)$-module $R_{S}(M)=B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} M$ is a direct sum of (suitably suspended) copies of $B(n)$, each of which is left $A(n)$-free by Proposition 4.19(b). Therefore $R_{S}(M)$ is left $A(n)$-free for each $n$, so that

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{s}^{\mathscr{A}}\left(K, R_{S}(M)\right) \cong \operatorname{colim}_{n} \operatorname{Tor}_{s}^{A(n)}\left(K, R_{S}(M)\right)=0
$$

for each right $\mathscr{A}$-module $K$ and $s \geq 1$. Equivalently, $R_{S}(M)$ is left $\mathscr{A}$-flat.
Moreover, $R_{\mu}(M)$ is a direct sum, as a left $A(n)$-module, of copies of $R_{S}(M)$. Hence it is also left $A(n)$-free for each $n$, and therefore left $\mathscr{A}$-flat, by the same argument as before.

Proposition 7.2. Let $M$ be a free left $\mathscr{A}$-module. The evaluation homomorphisms induce isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Hom}(\epsilon, \mathrm{id}): \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(M, H^{*, *}\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\leftrightarrows} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(R_{S}(M), H^{*, *}\right) \\
& \operatorname{Hom}(\epsilon, \mathrm{id}): \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(M, H^{*, *}\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\leftrightarrows} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(R_{\mu}(M), H^{*, *}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $M=\mathscr{A}=\operatorname{colim}_{n} A(n-1)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{A(n)}\left(R_{S}(A(n-1)), H^{*, *}\right) & =\operatorname{Hom}_{A(n)}\left(B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} A(n-1), H^{*, *}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}_{A(n)}\left(B(n), H^{*, *}\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{H^{*, *}}\left(H^{*, *}\left\{P^{r} \mid r \in \mathbb{Z} \text { with } \ell^{n} \mid r\right\}, H^{*, *}\right) \\
& \cong \prod_{\ell^{n} \mid r} H^{*, *}\left\{\xi_{1}^{r}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Proposition4.19(b), where we identify $\xi_{1}^{r}$ with the dual of the left $A(n)$-module generator $P^{r} \in B(n)$. The bidegrees of the classes $\xi_{1}^{r}$ with $\ell^{n} \mid r$ are integer multiples of $\left((2 \ell-2) \ell^{n},(\ell-1) \ell^{n}\right)$. In any fixed bidegree, only the factor generated by $\xi_{1}^{0}$ can make a nonzero contribution to this product when $n$ is sufficiently large. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(R_{S}(\mathscr{A}), H^{*, *}\right) & \cong \lim _{n} \operatorname{Hom}_{A(n)}\left(R_{S}(A(n-1)), H^{*, *}\right) \\
& \cong \lim _{n} \prod_{\ell^{n} \mid r} H^{*, *}\left\{\xi_{1}^{r}\right\} \cong H^{*, *}\left\{\xi_{1}^{0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\epsilon$ maps $P^{0} \otimes 1$ to $P^{0}(1)=1$, it follows that

$$
H^{*, *} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(\mathscr{A}, H^{*, *}\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}(\epsilon, 1)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(R_{S}(\mathscr{A}), H^{*, *}\right) \cong H^{*, *}\left\{\xi_{1}^{0}\right\}
$$

is an isomorphism.
Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{A(n)}\left(R_{\mu}(A(n-1)), H^{*, *}\right) & \\
& \cong H^{*, *}\left\{1, v^{\ell^{n}}, \ldots, v^{\ell^{n}(\ell-2)}\right\} \otimes_{H^{*, *}} \prod_{\ell^{n} \mid r} H^{*, *}\left\{\xi_{1}^{r}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The bidegrees of the classes $v^{\ell^{n} j} \otimes \xi_{1}^{r}$ with $0 \leq j \leq \ell-2$ and $\ell^{n} \mid r$ are integer multiples of $\left(2 \ell^{n}, \ell^{n}\right)$. Again, in any fixed bidegree, only the factor generated by $v^{0} \otimes \xi_{1}^{0}$ can make a nonzero contribution for $n$ sufficiently large. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(R_{\mu}(\mathscr{A}), H^{*, *}\right) & \cong \lim _{n} \operatorname{Hom}_{A(n)}\left(R_{\mu}(A(n-1)), H^{*, *}\right) \\
& \cong H^{*, *}\left\{v^{0} \otimes \xi_{1}^{0}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\epsilon(\pi \square 1)$ maps $v^{0} \otimes P^{0}$ to $P^{0}(1)=1$, it follows that

$$
H^{*, *} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(\mathscr{A}, H^{*, *}\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}(\epsilon, 1)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(R_{\mu}(\mathscr{A}), H^{*, *}\right) \cong H^{*, *}\left\{v^{0} \otimes \xi_{1}^{0}\right\}
$$

is an isomorphism.
The Ext-groups for modules over $\mathscr{A}$ or $A(n)$ are trigraded. In the case of an $\mathscr{A}$-module $M$ we write $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}}^{s, t, u}\left(M, H^{*, *}\right)$ for the group in tridegree $(s, t, u)$, where $s$ is the cohomological degree and $(t, u)$ is the internal bidegree.

Definition 7.3. An $\mathscr{A}$-module homomorphism $\theta: L \rightarrow M$ will be said to be an Ext-equivalence if the induced homomorphism

$$
\theta^{*}: \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}}^{*, *, *}\left(M, H^{*, *}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}}^{*, *, *}\left(L, H^{*, *}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism.
We can now generalize part of AGM85, Prop. 1.2, Thm. 1.3].
Theorem 7.4. Let $M$ be any left $\mathscr{A}$-module. The (small and large) evaluation homomorphisms

$$
\epsilon: R_{S}(M) \longrightarrow M \quad \text { and } \quad \epsilon: R_{\mu}(M) \longrightarrow M
$$

are Ext-equivalences.
Proof. Let

$$
\ldots \longrightarrow F_{1} \longrightarrow F_{0} \longrightarrow M \rightarrow 0
$$

be a free $\mathscr{A}$-module resolution of $M$. Then

$$
\ldots \longrightarrow R_{S}\left(F_{1}\right) \longrightarrow R_{S}\left(F_{0}\right) \longrightarrow R_{S}(M) \rightarrow 0
$$

is a flat $\mathscr{A}$-module resolution, and a free $A(n)$-module resolution for each $n$, by Lemma 7.1. By Proposition 7.2 the evaluation homomorphism induces an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(\epsilon, 1): \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(F_{s}, H^{*, *}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(R_{S}\left(F_{s}\right), H^{*, *}\right)
$$

for each $s \geq 0$. Passing to cohomology, it also induces isomorphisms

$$
\epsilon^{\prime}: \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}}^{s}\left(M, H^{*, *}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{s}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(R_{S}\left(F_{*}\right), H^{*, *}\right)\right)
$$

for all $s \geq 0$. Let

$$
\ldots \longrightarrow E_{1} \longrightarrow E_{0} \longrightarrow R_{S}(M) \rightarrow 0
$$

be a free $\mathscr{A}$-module resolution of $R_{S}(M)$, and choose an $\mathscr{A}$-module chain map $\zeta: E_{*} \rightarrow R_{S}\left(F_{*}\right)$ over $R_{S}(M)$. There is then an induced map $\zeta^{*}$ of lim-lim ${ }^{1}$ short exact sequences, from

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow \lim _{n}^{1} H^{s-1}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{A(n)}\left(R_{S}\left(F_{*}\right), H^{*, *}\right)\right) & \longrightarrow H^{s}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(R_{S}\left(F_{*}\right), H^{*, *}\right)\right) \\
& \longrightarrow \lim _{n} H^{s}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{A(n)}\left(R_{S}\left(F_{*}\right), H^{*, *}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

to

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow \lim _{n}^{1} \operatorname{Ext}_{A(n)}^{s-1}\left(R_{S}(M), H^{*, *}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}}^{s} & \left(R_{S}(M), H^{*, *}\right) \\
& \longrightarrow \lim _{n} \operatorname{Ext}_{A(n)}^{s}\left(R_{S}(M), H^{*, *}\right) \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

cf. Mar83, Prop. 11.9, Prop. 13.4]. When viewed as an $A(n)$-module chain map, $\zeta$ becomes a chain homotopy equivalence, hence induces isomorphisms

$$
\zeta^{*}: H^{s}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{A(n)}\left(R_{S}\left(F_{*}\right), H^{*, *}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Ext}_{A(n)}^{s}\left(R_{S}(M), H^{*, *}\right)
$$

for all $n$ and $s$. Applying $\lim _{n}$ and $\lim ^{1}{ }_{n}$, we deduce that

$$
\zeta^{*}: H^{s}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(R_{S}\left(F_{*}\right), H^{*, *}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}}^{s}\left(R_{S}(M), H^{*, *}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism. Hence the composite $\epsilon^{*}=\zeta^{*} \epsilon^{\prime}$ is also an isomorphism, as claimed.

The proof for $R_{\mu}$ in place of $R_{S}$ is identical.
Corollary 7.5. The residue homomorphisms

$$
\text { res: } \Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B S_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}} \longrightarrow H^{*, *} \quad \text { and } \quad \text { res: } \Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}} \longrightarrow H^{*, *}
$$

are Ext-equivalences.
Proof. In view of Theorem 5.8 and Corollary 6.6, this is the case $M=H^{*, *}$ of Theorem 7.4 .

## 8. Generalized Eilenberg-MacLane spectra

Since $H$ is cellular, the monomial basis $\left\{\tau^{E} \xi^{R}\right\}_{(E, R)}$ for $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$ as a right (or left) $H_{*, *}$-module determines an equivalence $H \wedge H \simeq \bigvee_{(E, R)} \Sigma^{\|E, R\|} H$ of right (or left) $H$-module spectra. Here $(E, R)$ ranges over the sequences in Lemma 2.1, and $\|E, R\|=\left\|\tau^{E} \xi^{R}\right\|$. It follows that the natural homomorphism

$$
\mathscr{A}_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} H_{*, *}(X) \stackrel{\cong}{\cong} \pi_{*, *}\left((H \wedge H) \wedge_{H}(H \wedge X)\right)=\pi_{*, *}(H \wedge H \wedge X)
$$

is an isomorphism for any motivic spectrum $X$, and that $1 \wedge \eta \wedge 1: H \wedge X=$ $H \wedge \mathbb{S} \wedge X \rightarrow H \wedge H \wedge X$ induces a natural left $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$-coaction on $H_{*, *}(X)$.

If $M \simeq H \wedge X$ for some motivic spectrum $X$, then the fork

$$
\pi_{*, *} M \xrightarrow{\eta} \pi_{*, *}(H \wedge M) \xrightarrow[1 \wedge \eta]{\xrightarrow{\eta \wedge 1}} \pi_{*, *}(H \wedge H \wedge M)
$$

is split by $\mu: \pi_{*, *}(H \wedge M) \cong \pi_{*, *}(H \wedge H \wedge X) \rightarrow \pi_{*, *}(H \wedge X) \cong \pi_{*, *} M$ and $\mu: \pi_{*, *}(H \wedge H \wedge M) \rightarrow \pi_{*, *}(H \wedge M)$, hence exhibits $\pi_{*, *} M$ as a split equalizer ML98, §VI.6]. Under the identifications $\pi_{*, *}(H \wedge M)=H_{*, *}(M)$ and $\pi_{*, *}(H \wedge H \wedge M) \cong$ $\mathscr{A}_{*, *} \otimes_{H_{*, *}} H_{*, *}(M)$, this provides an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{*, *} M \cong H_{*, *} \square_{\mathscr{A}}^{*, *} \text { } H_{*, *}(M) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A} *, *}\left(H_{*, *}, H_{*, *}(M)\right) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $\pi_{*, *} M$ with the left $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$-comodule primitives in $H_{*, *}(M)$.
Definition 8.1. By a motivic GEM (short for generalized Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum) we shall mean a left $H$-module spectrum

$$
M \simeq \bigvee_{\alpha \in J} \Sigma^{p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha}} H
$$

that is equivalent to a wedge sum of bigraded suspensions of $H$.
These are precisely the $H$-cellular module spectra $M$, in the sense of DI05, §7.9], with the property that $\pi_{*, *}(M)$ is free as a left $H_{*, *}$-module. This generalizes the split Tate objects of Voe10, §2.4], in that we allow arbitrary bigraded suspensions.

If $M$ is a motivic GEM, we can write $M \simeq H \wedge X$ with $X=\bigvee_{\alpha \in J} S^{p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha}}$. Then

$$
H_{*, *}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J} \Sigma^{p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha}} H_{*, *}
$$

as left $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$-comodules, and the natural homomorphism

$$
\pi_{*, *} F(X, H)=\pi_{*, *} F_{H}(H \wedge X, H) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{H_{*, *}}\left(H_{*, *}(X), H_{*, *}\right)=H_{*, *}(X)^{\vee}
$$

is an isomorphism, so that

$$
H^{*, *}(X) \cong \prod_{\alpha \in J} \Sigma^{p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha}} H^{*, *}
$$

as left $\mathscr{A}$-modules.
Definition 8.2. With these notations we say that $H \wedge X$ (or $H_{*, *}(X)$, or $H^{*, *}(X)$ ) has bifinite type if for each bidegree $(p, q)$ there are only finitely many $\alpha \in J$ for which

$$
p_{\alpha} \leq p \quad \text { or } \quad p_{\alpha}-q_{\alpha} \leq p-q .
$$

This condition is more restrictive than the notions of motivically finite type from DI10, Def. 2.11, Def. 2.12] and of finite type from HKO11, §2]. It ensures that both inclusions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J} \Sigma^{p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha}} H_{*, *} \stackrel{\prod_{\alpha \in J}}{ } \Sigma^{p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha}} H_{*, *} \\
& \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J} \Sigma^{p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha}} H^{*, *} \cong \prod_{\alpha \in J} \Sigma^{p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha}} H^{*, *}
\end{aligned}
$$

are isomorphisms, so that the canonical homomorphism

$$
H_{*, *}(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{H^{*, *}}\left(H^{*, *}(X), H^{*, *}\right)=H^{*, *}(X)^{\vee}
$$

is an isomorphism. Moreover, if $H \wedge X$ has bifinite type, then so does $H \wedge H \wedge X \simeq$ $\bigvee_{(E, R)} \Sigma^{\|E, R\|} H \wedge X$. Hence $f \mapsto f^{\vee}$ defines an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A} *, *}\left(H_{*, *}, H_{*, *}(X)\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(H^{*, *}(X), H^{*, *}\right) \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the $\mathscr{A}_{*, *}$-comodule homomorphisms $H_{*, *} \rightarrow H_{*, *}(X)$ to the $\mathscr{A}$-module homomorphisms $H^{*, *}(X) \rightarrow H^{*, *}$.

## 9. A delayed limit Adams spectral sequence

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \rightarrow Y(m+1) \xrightarrow{f_{m+1}} Y(m) \xrightarrow{f_{m}} Y(m-1) \rightarrow \ldots \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be any tower of motivic spectra. Its homotopy $\operatorname{limit} Y=\operatorname{holim}_{m} Y(m)$ sits in a homotopy cofiber sequence

$$
\Sigma^{-1} \prod_{m} Y(m) \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{j} \prod_{m} Y(m) \xrightarrow{k} \prod_{m} Y(m)
$$

where $k$ is the difference between the identity map and the product of the maps $f_{m}$. Let

be the canonical mod $\ell$ Adams resolution of the motivic sphere spectrum $\mathbb{S}=\mathbb{S}_{0}$, inductively defined by the homotopy cofiber sequences

$$
\mathbb{S}_{s+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{S}_{s} \xrightarrow{\beta} H \wedge \mathbb{S}_{s} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Sigma \mathbb{S}_{s+1}
$$

where $\beta=\eta \wedge 1$. (Dashed arrows indicate morphisms of degree -1.) Form the smash products

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{s}(m) & =\mathbb{S}_{s} \wedge Y(m) \\
K_{s}(m) & =H \wedge \mathbb{S}_{s} \wedge Y(m)
\end{aligned}
$$

so as to obtain a tower of canonical Adams resolutions (9.2)


Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{s} & =\underset{m}{\operatorname{holim}} Y_{s}(m) \\
K_{s} & =\underset{m}{\operatorname{holim}} K_{s}(m)
\end{aligned}
$$

be the homotopy limits of the terms in these Adams resolutions. These fit in a commutative diagram
(9.3)

with horizontal homotopy cofiber sequences extending to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma^{-1} \prod_{m} Y_{s}(m) \xrightarrow{i} Y_{s} \xrightarrow{j} \prod_{m} Y_{s}(m) \stackrel{k}{\longrightarrow} \prod_{m} Y_{s}(m) \\
\Sigma^{-1} \prod_{m} K_{s}(m) \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} K_{s} \xrightarrow{j} \prod_{m} K_{s}(m) \xrightarrow{k} \prod_{m} K_{s}(m)
\end{aligned}
$$

The subdiagram

is not generally an Adams resolution. Nonetheless, one may consider its associated homotopy spectral sequence, with $E_{1}$-term

$$
{ }^{\lim } E_{1}^{s, *, *}=\pi_{*, *}\left(K_{s}\right)
$$

and abutment $\pi_{*, *}\left(Y_{0}\right)=\pi_{*, *}(Y)$. Under finiteness hypotheses which ensure that all limits in sight are exact, the $E_{2}$-term of this limit Adams spectral sequence was described in CMP87, Prop. 7.1] and [NR12, Prop. 2.2]. In our motivic context, these finiteness hypotheses are only realistic if $H^{*, *}$ is finite in each bidegree, which excludes some very interesting base schemes $S$, such as Spec of a global field.

To avoid this restrictive hypothesis, we shall instead show that there is a modified Adams spectral sequence, in the style of (Mil72, Lem. 5.3.1] and [Bru82, with the same abutment as before, whose $E_{2}$-term is recognizable under more flexible finiteness conditions. This kind of modification is referred to in BR21, §12.6] as a delayed Adams spectral sequence, to distinguish it from another kind of modified Adams spectral sequence in current usage (the hastened one).

To construct the delayed limit Adams spectral sequence we may assume that the maps $i$ and $\alpha$ in (9.3) are all cofibrations, let $W_{0}=Y_{0}$, and form the pushouts

$$
W_{s}=Y_{s} \cup \Sigma^{-1} \prod_{m} Y_{s-1}(m)
$$

along $\Sigma^{-1} \prod_{m} Y_{s}(m)$, for all $s \geq 1$. There are then homotopy cofiber sequences

$$
W_{1} \longrightarrow W_{0} \xrightarrow{\beta j} L_{0}=\prod_{m} K_{0}(m) \longrightarrow \Sigma W_{1}
$$

and

$$
W_{s+1} \longrightarrow W_{s} \xrightarrow{\beta j \cup \beta} L_{s}=\prod_{m} K_{s}(m) \vee \Sigma^{-1} \prod_{m} K_{s-1}(m) \longrightarrow \Sigma W_{s+1}
$$

for all $s \geq 1$, defining the spectra $L_{s}$. This produces a delayed resolution

of $W_{0}=Y_{0} \simeq Y$. The inclusions $Y_{s} \subset W_{s}$ induce a map of diagrams from (9.4) to (9.5).
Definition 9.1. The delayed limit Adams spectral sequence of the tower (9.1) is the homotopy spectral sequence associated to the resolution (9.5), with $E_{1}$-term

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{del}} E_{1}^{s, *, *}=\pi_{*, *}\left(L_{s}\right)
$$

and $d_{1}$-differential induced by the composite

$$
\beta \gamma: L_{s} \longrightarrow \Sigma W_{s+1} \longrightarrow \Sigma L_{s+1}
$$

We now make the assumption that each $H \wedge Y(m)$ is a motivic GEM of bifinite type. For example, this is the case if each $Y(m)$ is cellular with $H_{*, *}(Y(m))$ free of bifinite type as a left $H_{*, *}$-module. It follows by induction on $s$ that each $K_{s}(m)$ is a motivic GEM of bifinite type. Hence the isomorphisms (8.1) and (8.2) identify the ( $E_{1}, d_{1}$ )-term

$$
\ldots \longleftarrow \pi_{*, *} K_{2}(m) \longleftarrow \pi_{*, *} K_{1}(m) \longleftarrow \pi_{*, *} K_{0}(m) \leftarrow 0
$$

of the Adams spectral sequence for $Y(m)$ with $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(-, H^{*, *}\right)$ applied to the free $\mathscr{A}$-module resolution

$$
\ldots \longrightarrow H^{*, *}\left(K_{2}(m)\right) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^{*, *}\left(K_{1}(m)\right) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^{*, *}\left(K_{0}(m)\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

of $H^{*, *}(Y(m))$. In view of (9.2), these resolutions are compatible for varying $m$. Passing to colimits over $m$, we obtain a flat $\mathscr{A}$-module resolution

$$
\ldots \longrightarrow H_{c}^{*, *}\left(K_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{c}^{*, *}\left(K_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{c}^{*, *}\left(K_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

of $H_{c}^{*, *}(Y)$, where we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{c}^{*, *}(Y) & =\underset{m}{\operatorname{colim}} H^{*, *}(Y(m)) \\
H_{c}^{*, *}\left(K_{s}\right) & =\underset{m}{\operatorname{colim}} H^{*, *}\left(K_{s}(m)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for the "continuous" cohomology groups of the towers $\{Y(m)\}_{m}$ and $\left\{K_{s}(m)\right\}_{m}$, respectively. The $\mathscr{A}$-module $H_{c}^{*, *}\left(K_{s}\right)$ might not be free, but remains flat, since such modules are preserved under filtered colimits. These colimits can also be written as cokernels, as in the following diagram with exact rows and columns.


Omitting the bottom row and the right hand column, we have a bicomplex of free $\mathscr{A}$-modules, whose total complex $\left(F_{*}, \partial\right)$ is a free resolution of $H_{c}^{*, *}(Y)$. Here

$$
F_{0}=\bigoplus_{m} H^{*, *}\left(K_{0}(m)\right)
$$

and

$$
F_{s}=\bigoplus_{m} H^{*, *}\left(K_{s}(m)\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{m} H^{*, *}\left(\Sigma^{-1} K_{s-1}(m)\right)
$$

for $s \geq 1$. Hence we can recognize

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{\text {del }} E_{1}^{0, *, *} & =\pi_{*, *} L_{0}=\prod_{m} \pi_{*, *} K_{0}(m) \cong \prod_{m} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(H^{*, *}\left(K_{0}(m)\right), H^{*, *}\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(\bigoplus_{m} H^{*, *}\left(K_{0}(m)\right), H^{*, *}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(F_{0}, H^{*, *}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{\text {del }} E_{1}^{s, *, *} & =\pi_{*, *} L_{s} \cong \prod_{m} \pi_{*, *} K_{s}(m) \oplus \prod_{m} \pi_{*, *} \Sigma^{-1} K_{s-1}(m) \\
& \cong \prod_{m} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(H^{*, *}\left(K_{s}(m)\right), H^{*, *}\right) \oplus \prod_{m} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(H^{*, *}\left(\Sigma^{-1} K_{s-1}(m)\right), H^{*, *}\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(\bigoplus_{m} H^{*, *}\left(K_{s}(m)\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{m} H^{*, *}\left(\Sigma^{-1} K_{s-1}(m)\right), H^{*, *}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(F_{s}, H^{*, *}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $s \geq 1$. Moreover, $d_{1}:{ }^{\text {del }} E_{1}^{s, *, *} \rightarrow{ }^{\text {del }} E_{1}^{s+1, *, *}$ is induced by the boundary operator $\partial: F_{s+1} \rightarrow F_{s}$ in the total complex, as can be verified by tracing through the definitions. Since $\left(F_{*}, \partial\right)$ is a free $\mathscr{A}$-module resolution of $H_{c}^{*, *}(Y)$, we obtain the desired isomorphism

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{del}} E_{2}^{s, *, *} \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}}^{s, *, *}\left(H_{c}^{*, *}(Y), H^{*, *}\right)
$$

for each $s \geq 0$.
Proposition 9.2. Let $\cdots \rightarrow Y(m+1) \rightarrow Y(m) \rightarrow \ldots$ be a tower of motivic spectra, with each $H \wedge Y(m)$ a motivic GEM of bifinite type. Let $Y=\operatorname{holim}_{m} Y(m)$ and $H_{c}^{*, *}(Y)=\operatorname{colim}_{m} H^{*, *}(Y(m))$. The delayed limit Adams spectral sequence

$$
{ }^{\text {del }} E_{1}^{s, *, *} \Longrightarrow \pi_{*, *}(Y)
$$

has $E_{2}$-term

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{del}} E_{2}^{s, *, *}=\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}}^{s, *, *}\left(H_{c}^{*, *}(Y), H^{*, *}\right),
$$

with Ext calculated in the category of $\mathscr{A}$-modules.
Proof. This summarizes the discussion so far in this section.
Let $Y_{\infty}(m)=\operatorname{holim}_{s} Y_{s}(m)$. The Adams spectral sequence for $Y(m)$ is conditionally convergent Boa99, Def. 5.10] to $\pi_{*, *} Y(m)$ if and only if $\pi_{*, *} Y_{\infty}(m)=0$. This fails in many interesting examples, but often becomes true after $(\ell, \eta)$-adic completion in the following sense.

Definition 9.3. Let $\ell \in \pi_{0,0}(\mathbb{S})$ be $\ell$ times the class of the identity map $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$, and let $\eta \in \pi_{1,1}(\mathbb{S})$ be the class of the Hopf fibration $S^{3,2} \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2}-\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \simeq S^{2,1}$. For any motivic spectrum $X$ let

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{\ell}^{\wedge} & =\underset{n}{\operatorname{holim}} X / \ell^{n} \\
X_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge} & =\underset{n}{\operatorname{holim}}\left(X_{\ell}^{\wedge}\right) / \eta^{n} \simeq \underset{n}{\operatorname{holim}} X /\left(\ell^{n}, \eta^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

be the $\ell$ - and $(\ell, \eta)$-adic completions of $X$, respectively, as in HKO11, p. 574] and Man21, Def. 3.2.9]. There are canonical completion maps $X \rightarrow X_{\ell}^{\wedge} \rightarrow X_{\ell, \eta}$.

Lemma 9.4. For each $H$-module spectrum $M$ the completion map $M \rightarrow M_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}$ is a $\pi_{*, *}$-isomorphism.

Proof. Multiplication by $\ell$ and by $\eta$ act trivially on $H_{*, *}$, hence also on $\pi_{*, *} M$. The tower of short exact sequences

and the lim-lim ${ }^{1}$ sequence imply that $M \rightarrow M_{\ell}^{\wedge}$ is a $\pi_{*, *}$-isomorphism. Likewise, the tower of short exact sequences

and the lim- $\lim ^{1}$ sequence imply that $M_{\ell}^{\wedge} \rightarrow M_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}$ is a $\pi_{*, *}$-isomorphism.
Applying $(\ell, \eta)$-adic completion to the tower of Adams resolutions (9.2) yields another diagram of the same shape. At its lower edge, the tower of spectra

$$
\cdots \rightarrow Y(m+1)_{\hat{\ell}, \eta} \longrightarrow Y(m)_{\hat{\ell}, \eta} \longrightarrow Y(m-1)_{\hat{\ell}, \eta} \rightarrow \ldots
$$

has homotopy limit $Y_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}$. For each $m$ the Adams resolution of $Y(m)$ maps to the diagram

of homotopy cofiber sequences, and by Lemma 9.4 the induced map of homotopy spectral sequences is an isomorphism from the $E_{1}$-term and onward. The new spectral sequence has abutment $\pi_{*, *}\left(Y(m)_{\ell, \eta}\right)$, and is conditionally convergent to this target if and only if $\pi_{*, *}\left(Y_{\infty}(m)_{\ell, \eta}\right)=0$.

We now make the additional assumption, for each $m$, that the Adams spectral sequence for $Y(m)$ converges conditionally to $\pi_{*, *}\left(Y(m)_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}\right)$. The following theorem was proved by Hu-Kriz-Ormsby HKO11, Thm. 1] in the case of a cellular spectrum $X$ of finite type over $\operatorname{Spec} k$ for $k$ a field of characteristic 0 . It was generalized to bounded below spectra $X$ over $S$, in our generality, by Mantovani Man21.

The homotopy $t$-structure on $S H(S)$ is defined as in Hoy15, §2.1], and a motivic spectrum is bounded below if it lies in $S H(S)_{\geq-m}$ for some finite $m$. (When $S=\operatorname{Spec} k$ for a field $k$, Morel's stable $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-connectivity theorem Mor05, Thm. 3] shows that $X$ lies in $S H(S)_{\geq-m}$ if and only if the homotopy sheaves $\underline{\pi}_{t, u}(X)$ vanish whenever $t-u<-m$.)

Theorem 9.5. Suppose that $X$ in $S H(S)$ is bounded below in the homotopy $t$ structure. Then the mod $\ell$ Adams spectral sequence for $X$ is conditionally convergent to $\pi_{*, *}\left(X_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}\right)$.

Proof. As reviewed in Man21, §5], this is an application of Man21, Thm. 1.0.2, Thm. 1.0.4] in the case $E=H$, which satisfies Mantovani's hypotheses because of Hoy15, Thm. 3.8, Thm. 7.12] and Spi18, Thm. 10.3].

Proposition 9.6. Let $Y=\operatorname{holim}_{m} Y(m)$ be the homotopy limit of a tower of motivic spectra. Suppose, for each $m$, that the mod $\ell$ Adams spectral sequence for
$Y(m)$ converges conditionally to $\pi_{*, *}\left(Y(m)_{\ell, \eta}\right)$. Then the limit and delayed limit Adams spectral sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{\lim } E_{1}^{s, *, *} & =\pi_{*, *}\left(K_{s}\right) \Longrightarrow \pi_{*, *}\left(Y_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}\right) \\
{ }^{\operatorname{del}} E_{1}^{s, *, *} & =\pi_{*, *}\left(L_{s}\right) \Longrightarrow \pi_{*, *}\left(Y_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are both conditionally convergent to the bigraded homotopy groups of the $(\ell, \eta)$-adic completion of $Y$.

Proof. Let $Y_{\infty}=\operatorname{holim}_{s} Y_{s}$ and $W_{\infty}=\operatorname{holim}_{s} W_{s}$. The inclusions

$$
W_{s+1} \subset Y_{s} \subset W_{s} \subset Y_{s-1}
$$

imply that $Y_{\infty} \simeq W_{\infty}$. Granting that $\pi_{*, *}\left(Y_{\infty}(m)_{\ell, \eta}\right)=0$ for all $m$, the short exact lim- $\lim ^{1}$ sequence shows that $\pi_{*, *}\left(\left(Y_{\infty}\right)_{\ell, \eta}\right) \cong \pi_{*, *}\left(\left(W_{\infty}\right)_{\ell, \eta}\right)=0$, so that both the limit Adams spectral sequence and the delayed limit Adams spectral sequence are conditionally convergent to $\pi_{*, *}\left(Y_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}\right)$.

Let $g: X \rightarrow Y=\operatorname{holim}_{m} Y(m)$ be a map of motivic spectra. The resulting compatible maps from the canonical Adams resolution of $X$ to the canonical Adams resolutions of the $Y(m)$ induce a map from the former to the diagram (9.4), which can be naturally continued to map to the delayed limit Adams resolution (9.5). Applying $(\ell, \eta)$-adic completion, and passing to the associated homotopy spectral sequences, we obtain morphisms of spectral sequences

$$
g: E_{1}^{*, *, *}(X) \longrightarrow{ }^{\lim } E_{1}^{*, *, *}(Y) \longrightarrow{ }^{\text {del }} E_{1}^{*, *, *}(Y)
$$

with abutment

$$
g: \pi_{*, *}\left(X_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{*, *}\left(Y_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}\right) \xrightarrow{=} \pi_{*, *}\left(Y_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}\right) .
$$

We can now appeal to a special case of Boardman's comparison theorem Boa99, Thm. 7.2] for conditionally convergent spectral sequences. This version of the comparison theorem is particularly convenient, in view of the failure of strong convergence for the motivic Adams spectral sequence for the sphere spectrum over a number field, demonstrated by Kylling-Wilson in KW19, Cor. 7.8].

Proposition 9.7. Let $g: X \rightarrow Y=\operatorname{holim}_{m} Y(m)$, with $H \wedge X$ and each $H \wedge Y(m)$ a motivic GEM of bifinite type. Suppose that the mod $\ell$ Adams spectral sequences for $X$ and the $Y(m)$ are conditionally convergent to $\pi_{*, *}\left(X_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}\right)$ and $\pi_{*, *}\left(Y(m)_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}\right)$, respectively. If the $\mathscr{A}$-module homomorphism

$$
g^{*}: H_{c}^{*, *}(Y) \longrightarrow H^{*, *}(X)
$$

is an Ext-isomorphism, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g: E_{2}^{s, *, *}(X)=\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}}^{s, *, *}\left(H^{*, *}(X),\right. & \left.H^{*, *}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\cong}{ }^{\operatorname{del}} E_{2}^{s, *, *}(Y)=\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}}^{s, *, *}\left(H_{c}^{*, *}(Y), H^{*, *}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is an isomorphism, then $g$ induces an isomorphism

$$
g: \pi_{*, *}\left(X_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{*, *}\left(Y_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}\right)
$$

Proof. The identification of the ( $E_{1}$ - and) $E_{2}$-term for $X$ follows as usual from (8.1) and (8.2), and the delayed limit $E_{2}$-term for $Y$ is given by Proposition 9.2 We now apply Boa99, Thm. 7.2(i)]. If $g$ induces an isomorphism of $E_{2}$-terms, then it certainly also induces isomorphisms of $E_{\infty^{-}}$and $R E_{\infty^{-}}$-terms. Hence $g$ induces the stated isomorphism of (filtered) abutments.

## 10. A tower of Thom spectra

Our next aim is to construct a diagram

of motivic Thom spectra, with $H_{c}^{*, *}\left(L_{-\infty}^{\infty}\right)$ realizing $H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }}$. The left hand square will commute up to a generalized sign, and replacing $i$ by $i^{4}$ will give a strictly commuting diagram in the stable homotopy category $S H(S)$.

For an algebraic vector bundle $\alpha \downarrow X$ over a smooth scheme $X$ (over our base scheme $S$ ) we let $E(\alpha)$ denote its total space, let $E_{0}(\alpha)=E(\alpha) \backslash z(X)$ be the complement of its zero section, and let the Thom space $\operatorname{Th}(\alpha)=E(\alpha) / E_{0}(\alpha)$ be the motivic quotient space, formed as in Voe03a, §4].

For $n \geq 1$ let $\mu_{\ell}$ act diagonally on $\mathbb{A}^{n}$, let $\mathbb{A}_{0}^{n}=\mathbb{A}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$, and let $L^{2 n-1}=\mathbb{A}_{0}^{n} / \mu_{\ell}$ denote the $n$-th algebraic lens space, which is smooth and quasi-projective. Write $\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ in $L^{2 n-1}$ for the image of $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{A}_{0}^{n}$. The inclusion $\mu_{\ell} \subset \mathbb{G}_{m}$ induces a projection $L^{2 n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Let $\gamma_{n}=\gamma_{n}^{1} \downarrow L^{2 n-1}$ be the pullback of the tautological line bundle $\mathscr{O}(-1)$ over $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, with total space given by the balanced product

$$
E\left(\gamma_{n}\right)=\mathbb{A}_{0}^{n} \times_{\mu_{\ell}} \mathbb{A}^{1}
$$

and bundle projection mapping $\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; y\right]$ to $\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Let $\epsilon_{n}=\epsilon_{n}^{n} \downarrow L^{2 n-1}$ be the trivial rank $n$ bundle over $L^{2 n-1}$, with total space $E\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)=L^{2 n-1} \times \mathbb{A}^{n}$ and bundle projection to the first factor. There is a canonical embedding $\gamma_{n} \rightarrow \epsilon_{n}$, given in coordinates by

$$
\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; y\right] \longmapsto\left(\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right], x_{1} y, \ldots, x_{n} y\right)
$$

Let $\zeta_{n}=\zeta_{n}^{n-1} \downarrow L^{2 n-1}$ be its cokernel, so that there is a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \gamma_{n} \longrightarrow \epsilon_{n} \longrightarrow \zeta_{n} \rightarrow 0
$$

of algebraic vector bundles over $L^{2 n-1}$. Let $\gamma_{n}^{*}, \epsilon_{n}^{*}$ and $\zeta_{n}^{*}$ denote the dual bundles, fitting in a short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \zeta_{n}^{*} \xrightarrow{j} \epsilon_{n}^{*} \longrightarrow \gamma_{n}^{*} \rightarrow 0 \tag{10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the total space of $\gamma_{n}^{*}$ is given by the orbit space $E\left(\gamma_{n}^{*}\right)=\left(\mathbb{A}_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}\right) / \mu_{\ell}$, where $\mu_{\ell}$ acts diagonally.

More generally, the total space of the $k$-fold direct sum $k \gamma_{n}^{*}=\gamma_{n}^{*} \oplus \cdots \oplus \gamma_{n}^{*}$, where $k \geq 0$, is

$$
E\left(k \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)=\left(\mathbb{A}_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{k}\right) / \mu_{\ell}
$$

which comes with a canonical map to $\mathbb{A}_{0}^{n+k} / \mu_{\ell}=L^{2 n+2 k-1}$. By [MV99, Lem. 3.1.6], the inclusion

$$
T h\left(k \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)=\frac{E\left(k \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)}{E_{0}\left(k \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)}=\frac{\left(\mathbb{A}_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{k}\right) / \mu_{\ell}}{\left(\mathbb{A}_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}_{0}^{k}\right) / \mu_{\ell}} \longrightarrow \frac{\left(\mathbb{A}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{k}\right)_{0} / \mu_{\ell}}{\left(\mathbb{A}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}_{0}^{k}\right) / \mu_{\ell}}
$$

is an equivalence of Nisnevich sheaves, and by MV99, Ex. 3.2.2] the projection $\left(\mathbb{A}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}_{0}^{k}\right) / \mu_{\ell} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{0}^{k} / \mu_{\ell}=L^{2 k-1}$ is an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-homotopy equivalence, so there is a homotopy cofiber sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2 k-1} \xrightarrow{i^{n}} L^{2 n+2 k-1} \longrightarrow T h\left(k \gamma_{n}^{*}\right) \tag{10.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

of motivic spaces. Following James Jam59, p. 117], Atiyah Ati61, Prop. 4.3] and Kambe-Matsunaga-Toda [KMT66, Thm. 1] we may therefore write $\operatorname{Th}\left(k \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)=$ $L_{2 k}^{2 n+2 k-1}$ for the Thom space of $k \gamma_{n}^{*}$ over $L^{2 n-1}$, and refer to it as a motivic stunted lens space.

Following Mahowald and Adams Ada74, p. 4], we are, however, more interested in the cases where $k=-m$ is negative, corresponding to Thom spectra $T h\left(-m \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)=L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m-1}$ of virtual bundles $-m \gamma_{n}^{*}$. In view of (10.1), $-m \gamma_{n}^{*} \equiv$ $m \zeta_{n}^{*}-m \epsilon_{n}^{*}$ as virtual bundles over $L^{2 n-1}$, where $m \epsilon_{n}^{*}$ is trivial of rank $m n$, which leads to the following definition.

Definition 10.1. For $m \geq 0$ let

$$
L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m-1}=T h\left(-m \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)=\Sigma^{-2 m n,-m n} T h\left(m \zeta_{n}^{*}\right)
$$

denote a (finite, motivic) stunted lens spectrum.
Consider the inclusion $i: L^{2 n-1} \rightarrow L^{2 n+1}$. There are natural isomorphisms $i^{*}\left(\gamma_{n+1}\right) \cong \gamma_{n}, i^{*}\left(\epsilon_{n+1}\right) \cong \epsilon_{n} \oplus \epsilon_{n}^{1}$ and $i^{*}\left(\zeta_{n+1}\right) \cong \zeta_{n} \oplus \epsilon_{n}^{1}$, where $\epsilon_{n}^{1}$ is trivial of rank 1. Dually, $i^{*}\left(\gamma_{n+1}^{*}\right) \cong \gamma_{n}^{*}, i^{*}\left(\epsilon_{n+1}^{*}\right) \cong \epsilon_{n}^{*} \oplus\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*}$ and $i^{*}\left(\zeta_{n+1}^{*}\right) \cong \zeta_{n}^{*} \oplus\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*}$.

Consider also the inclusion $j: \zeta_{n}^{*} \rightarrow \epsilon_{n}^{*}$ of bundles over $L^{2 n-1}$.
Definition 10.2. Let

$$
i: L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m-1}=T h\left(-m \gamma_{n}^{*}\right) \longrightarrow T h\left(-m \gamma_{n+1}^{*}\right)=L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m+1}
$$

and

$$
j: L_{-2 m-2}^{2 n-2 m-3}=T h\left(-(m+1) \gamma_{n}^{*}\right) \longrightarrow T h\left(-m \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)=L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 n-1}
$$

be the maps obtained by applying $\Sigma^{-2 m(n+1),-m(n+1)}$ and $\Sigma^{-2(m+1) n,-(m+1) n}$ to

$$
\Sigma^{2 m, m} \operatorname{Th}\left(m \zeta_{n}^{*}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{sh}}{\cong} \operatorname{Th}\left(m\left(\zeta_{n}^{*} \oplus\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{m i} \operatorname{Th}\left(m \zeta_{n+1}^{*}\right)
$$

and

$$
T h\left((m+1) \zeta_{n}^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{m \mathrm{id} \oplus j} \operatorname{Th}\left(m \zeta_{n}^{*} \oplus \epsilon_{n}^{*}\right)=\Sigma^{2 n, n} T h\left(m \zeta_{n}^{*}\right),
$$

respectively. Here sh denotes the isomorphism of spectra induced by the shuffle $m \zeta_{n}^{*} \oplus m\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*} \cong m\left(\zeta_{n}^{*} \oplus\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*}\right)$.

Definition 10.3. Let $-\epsilon \in \pi_{0,0}(\mathbb{S})$ be the class of the symmetry isomorphism $\gamma: S^{2,1} \wedge S^{2,1} \cong S^{2,1} \wedge S^{2,1}$. It satisfies $(-\epsilon)^{2}=1$, since $\gamma^{2}=$ id.
Lemma 10.4. The rectangle

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{-2 m-2}^{2 n-2 m-3}=T h\left(-(m+1) \gamma_{n}^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{i} \operatorname{Th}\left(-(m+1) \gamma_{n+1}^{*}\right)=L_{-2 m-2}^{2 n-2 m-1} \\
& { }^{j}{ }^{\downarrow}=\operatorname{Th}\left(-m \gamma_{n}^{*}\right) \quad \mid \quad j \\
& L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m-1}=T h\left(-m \gamma_{n}^{*}\right) \\
& (-\epsilon)^{m n} \downarrow \simeq \\
& L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m-1}=T h\left(-m \gamma_{n}^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{i} T h\left(-m \gamma_{n+1}^{*}\right)=L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

commutes up to homotopy.
Proof. The diagrams

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Th}\left((m+1)\left(\zeta_{n}^{*} \oplus\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{(m+1) i} \operatorname{Th}\left((m+1) \zeta_{n+1}^{*}\right) \\
m \mathrm{id} \oplus j \oplus \mathrm{id} \downarrow^{\downarrow} \underset{\mathrm{id} \oplus j}{ } \\
\operatorname{Th}\left(m\left(\zeta_{n}^{*} \oplus\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*}\right) \oplus \epsilon_{n}^{*} \oplus\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{m i \oplus i} T h\left(m \zeta_{n+1}^{*} \oplus \epsilon_{n+1}^{*}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T h\left((m+1) \zeta_{n}^{*} \oplus(m+1)\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { sh }} \operatorname{Th}\left((m+1)\left(\zeta_{n}^{*} \oplus\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*}\right)\right) \\
& m \mathrm{id} \oplus j \oplus \mathrm{id} \downarrow \\
& \operatorname{Th}\left(m \zeta_{n}^{*} \oplus \epsilon_{n}^{*} \oplus(m+1)\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*}\right) \\
& \mathrm{id} \oplus \chi_{m, n} \oplus \mathrm{id} \downarrow \cong \\
& T h\left(m \zeta_{n}^{*} \oplus m\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*} \oplus \epsilon_{n}^{*} \oplus\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{sh} \oplus \mathrm{id}} \operatorname{Th}\left(m\left(\zeta_{n}^{*} \oplus\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*}\right) \oplus \epsilon_{n}^{*} \oplus\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

commute strictly, where $\chi_{m, n}$ is induced by the symmetry isomorphism

$$
\epsilon_{n}^{*} \oplus m\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*} \cong m\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*} \oplus \epsilon_{n}^{*}
$$

hence is homotopic to multiplication by $(-\epsilon)^{m n}$. Applying

$$
\Sigma^{-2(m+1)(n+1),-(m+1)(n+1)}
$$

yields the stated homotopy commutative rectangle.
Corollary 10.5. The square

commutes up to homotopy.
Proof. This follows from Lemma 10.4 since $m n+m(n+1)+m(n+2)+m(n+3)$ is always even.

Definition 10.6. Let the (infinite, motivic) stunted lens spectrum

$$
L_{-2 m}^{\infty}=\underset{n}{\operatorname{hocolim}} L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m-1}=\underset{n}{\operatorname{hocolim}} T h\left(-m \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)
$$

be the homotopy colimit of the maps

$$
\cdots \rightarrow L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m-1} \xrightarrow{i} L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m+1} \rightarrow \ldots
$$

For a fixed choice of commuting homotopies in Corollary 10.5, let $j: L_{-2 m-2}^{\infty} \longrightarrow$ $L_{-2 m}^{\infty}$ be the induced map. Let

$$
L_{-\infty}^{\infty}=\underset{m}{\operatorname{holim}} L_{-2 m}^{\infty}
$$

be the homotopy limit of the resulting tower

$$
\cdots \rightarrow L_{-2 m-2}^{\infty} \xrightarrow{j} L_{-2 m}^{\infty} \rightarrow \ldots
$$

## Recall Notation 5.6

## Lemma 10.7.

$$
H^{*, *}\left(L^{2 n-1}\right)=H^{*, *}[u, v] /\left(u^{2}=\tau v+\rho u, v^{n}\right)
$$

where $v$ is the mod $\ell$ Euler class of $\gamma_{n}^{*} \downarrow L^{2 n-1}$ and $\beta(u)=v$.
Proof. This follows by the same argument as for Voe03a, Thm. 6.10], working with $L^{2 n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ in place of $B \mu_{\ell} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\infty}$.

Definition 10.8. Let $U_{m \zeta_{n}^{*}} \in H^{2 m(n-1), m(n-1)}\left(T h\left(m \zeta_{n}^{*}\right)\right)$ be the $\bmod \ell$ Thom class of $m \zeta_{n}^{*} \downarrow L^{2 n-1}$. Let

$$
U_{-m \gamma_{n}^{*}} \in H^{-2 m,-m}\left(L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m-1}\right)=H^{-2 m,-m}\left(\operatorname{Th}\left(-m \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)\right)
$$

be its image under the (de-)suspension isomorphism. We write $x \mapsto x \cdot U_{m \zeta_{n}^{*}}$ and $x \mapsto x \cdot U_{-m \gamma_{n}^{*}}$ for the Thom isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{*, *}\left(L^{2 n-1}\right) \cong H^{*+2 m(n-1), *+m(n-1)}\left(\operatorname{Th}\left(m \zeta_{n}^{*}\right)\right) \\
& H^{*, *}\left(L^{2 n-1}\right) \cong H^{*-2 m, *-m}\left(\operatorname{Th}\left(-m \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

cf. Voe03a, Prop. 4.3].
Lemma 10.9. The homomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i^{*}: H^{*, *}\left(\operatorname{Th}\left(-m \gamma_{n+1}^{*}\right)\right) \longrightarrow H^{*, *}\left(\operatorname{Th}\left(-m \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)\right) \\
& \quad j^{*}: H^{*, *}\left(\operatorname{Th}\left(-m \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)\right) \longrightarrow H^{*, *}\left(\operatorname{Th}\left(-(m+1) \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
i^{*}\left(x \cdot U_{-m \gamma_{n+1}^{*}}\right) & =i^{*}(x) \cdot U_{-m \gamma_{n}^{*}} \\
j^{*}\left(x \cdot U_{-m \gamma_{n}^{*}}\right) & =x v \cdot U_{-(m+1) \gamma_{n}^{*}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The Thom class of $m \zeta_{n+1}^{*}$ maps under $i^{*}$ to the Thom class of $i^{*}\left(m \zeta_{n+1}^{*}\right)=$ $m\left(\zeta_{n}^{*} \oplus\left(\epsilon_{n}^{1}\right)^{*}\right)$, which corresponds under the suspension isomorphism to the Thom class of $m \zeta_{n}^{*}$. This proves the first formula, where $x \in H^{*, *}\left(L^{2 n+1}\right)$.

The Thom class of $m \zeta_{n}^{*}$ corresponds under the suspension isomorphism to the Thom class of $m \zeta_{n}^{*} \oplus \epsilon_{n}^{*}$. By the Jouanolou trick Voe03a, Lem. 4.7] it maps under $j^{*}$ to the Euler class $v$ of $\gamma_{n}^{*}$ times the Thom class of $(m+1) \zeta_{n}^{*}$. This proves the second formula, where $x \in H^{*, *}\left(L^{2 n-1}\right)$.
Proposition 10.10. The structure maps $L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m-1} \rightarrow L_{-2 m}^{\infty}$ and $L_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rightarrow L_{-2 m}^{\infty}$ induce $\mathscr{A}$-module isomorphisms

$$
H^{*, *}\left(L_{-2 m}^{\infty}\right) \cong H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)\left\{U_{-m \gamma^{*}}\right\} \cong H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)\left\{v^{-m}\right\}
$$

and

$$
H_{c}^{*, *}\left(L_{-\infty}^{\infty}\right)=\operatorname{colim}_{m} H^{*, *}\left(L_{-2 m}^{\infty}\right) \cong H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}}
$$

Proof. Since each $i^{*}: H^{*, *}\left(T h\left(-m \gamma_{n+1}^{*}\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{*, *}\left(T h\left(-m \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)\right)$ is surjective, the lim-lim ${ }^{1}$ sequence gives an isomorphism

$$
H^{*, *}\left(L_{-2 m}^{\infty}\right) \cong \lim _{n} H^{*, *}\left(T h\left(-m \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)\right) \cong \lim _{n} H^{*, *}\left(L^{2 n-1}\right)\left\{U_{-m \gamma_{n}^{*}}\right\}
$$

Letting $U_{-m \gamma^{*}}$ correspond to the compatible sequence $\left(U_{-m \gamma_{n}^{*}}\right)_{n}$ gives the first isomorphism. The second isomorphism sends $U_{-m \gamma^{*}}$ to $v^{-m}$. The induced homomorphism $j^{*}: H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)\left\{U_{-m \gamma^{*}}\right\} \rightarrow H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)\left\{U_{-(m+1) \gamma^{*}}\right\}$ maps $U_{-m \gamma^{*}}$ to $v \cdot U_{-(m+1) \gamma^{*}}$, hence corresponds to the homomorphism

$$
H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)\left\{v^{-m}\right\} \longrightarrow H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)\left\{v^{-m-1}\right\}
$$

sending $v^{-m}$ to $v \cdot v^{-m-1}$. It follows that $\operatorname{colim}_{m} H^{*, *}\left(L_{-2 m}^{\infty}\right)$, i.e., the continuous cohomology $H_{c}^{*, *}\left(L_{-\infty}^{\infty}\right)$, is isomorphic to the localization $H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)[1 / v]=$ $H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }}$.

It remains to justify that these isomorphisms are compatible with the Steenrod operations. The short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{*, *}\left(T h\left(m \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)\right) \longrightarrow H^{*, *}\left(L^{2 n+2 m-1}\right) \xrightarrow{i^{n *}} H^{*, *}\left(L^{2 m-1}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

induced from (10.2) shows that the Steenrod action on $U_{m \gamma_{n}^{*}}$ matches that on $v^{m}$ in $H^{*, *}\left(L^{2 n-1}\right)\left\{v^{m}\right\}$. By another application of the Jouanolou trick, and the Cartan formula, it follows that the Steenrod action on $U_{m \zeta_{n}^{*}}$ is compatible with that on
$\Sigma^{2 m n, m n} v^{-m}$. Hence, by stability, the Steenrod action on $U_{-m \gamma_{n}^{*}}$ matches that on $v^{-m}$ in $H^{*, *}\left(L^{2 n-1}\right)\left\{v^{-m}\right\}$. Passing to the limit over $n$ and the colimit over $m$ completes the argument.

The next two lemmas confirm the assumptions required (for recognition of the $E_{2}$-term and conditional convergence) of the delayed limit Adams spectral sequence for $\Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\infty}$.

Lemma 10.11. The spectra $L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m-1}$ are cellular of finite type. The spectra $L_{-2 m}^{\infty}$ are cellular and bounded below.

Proof. The Zariski cover of $L^{2 n-1}$ by the affines $\left(\mathbb{A}^{i-1} \times \mathbb{A}_{0}^{1} \times \mathbb{A}^{n-i}\right) / \mu_{\ell}$, with $1 \leq i \leq$ $n$, is completely stably cellular in the sense of [DI05, Def. 3.7]. It trivializes $\gamma_{n}$, hence also $m \zeta_{n}^{*}$. It follows as in DI05, Cor. 3.10] that $L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m-1}=\Sigma^{-2 m n,-m n} T h\left(m \zeta_{n}^{*}\right)$ is cellular. Inspection of the argument shows that it admits a cell structure with finitely many cells, all in bidegrees $\left(p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha}\right)$ satisfying $p_{\alpha}-q_{\alpha} \geq-m$.

Since this bound is uniform, it follows by passage to the homotopy colimit that $L_{-2 m}^{\infty}$ is also cellular, with cells in the same range of bidegrees. Hence $L_{-2 m}^{\infty}$ lies in $S H(S)_{\geq-m}$ of the homotopy $t$-structure.

Recall Definitions 8.1 and 8.2
Lemma 10.12. The $H$-module spectra $H \wedge L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m-1}$ and $H \wedge L_{-2 m}^{\infty}$ are motivic GEMs of bifinite type.

Proof. These spectra are $H$-cellular by Lemma 10.11. The homology version of Lemma 10.7 shows that $H_{*, *}\left(L^{2 n-1}\right)$ is finitely generated and free over $H_{*, *}$ on generators in bidegrees $(i+2 k, i+k)$ for $i \in\{0,1\}$ and $0 \leq k<n$. It then follows from the Thom isomorphism in motivic homology that $H_{*, *}\left(L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m-1}\right)$ is finitely generated and free on similar generators for $i \in\{0,1\}$ and $-m \leq k<n-m$, and that $H_{*, *}\left(L_{-2 m}^{\infty}\right)$ is free on one generator in each bidegree $(i+2 k, i+k)$ for $i \in\{0,1\}$ and $k \geq-m$. In particular, $H_{*, *}\left(L_{-2 m}^{\infty}\right)$ is of bifinite type.

Finally, we construct maps

whose composite induces the (large) residue homomorphism from Definition 6.1 in motivic cohomology.

To define $\mathbb{P}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$, let the (finite, motivic) stunted projective spectrum $\mathbb{P}_{-m}^{n-m-1}=$ $T h\left(-m \gamma_{n}^{*} \downarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right)$ be the Thom spectrum of the negative of $m \gamma_{n}^{*}=\mathscr{O}(1)^{m}$ over $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. We have maps $i: \mathbb{P}_{-m}^{n-m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{-m}^{n-m}$ and $j: \mathbb{P}_{-m-1}^{n-m-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{-m}^{n-m-1}$ as in Definition 10.2 and let $\mathbb{P}_{-m}^{\infty}=\operatorname{hocolim}_{n} \mathbb{P}_{-m}^{n-m-1}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{-\infty}^{\infty}=\operatorname{holim}_{m} \mathbb{P}_{-m}^{\infty}$ as in Definition 10.6. We obtain $H_{c}^{*, *}\left(\mathbb{P}_{-\infty}^{\infty}\right) \cong H^{*, *}\left[v^{ \pm 1}\right]$, by the same arguments as for lens spectra.
Proposition 10.13. There is a map $c: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \Sigma^{2,1} \mathbb{P}_{-\infty}^{-1}$ inducing

$$
\Sigma^{2,1} v^{-1} \longmapsto 1
$$

in cohomology. The $H^{*, *}$-module generators $\Sigma^{2,1} v^{k}$ for $k \leq-2$ map to zero.
Proof. We use that $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a smooth projective variety, with stable normal bundle

$$
\nu=\epsilon_{n}^{1}-n \gamma_{n}^{*}=\mathscr{O}-\mathscr{O}(1)^{n} .
$$

By the construction leading to algebraic Atiyah duality, see Voe03b, Prop. 2.7], [Hu05, Cl. 2] and Hoy17, §5.3], there is a Pontryagin-Thom collapse map

$$
c_{n}: \mathbb{S} \longrightarrow T h\left(\nu \downarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right)=\Sigma^{2,1} \mathbb{P}_{-n}^{-1}
$$

inducing the homomorphism $\Sigma^{2,1} v^{-1} \mapsto 1$ in cohomology. The generators $\Sigma^{2,1} v^{k}$ for $-n \leq k \leq-2$ map to zero for bidegree reasons. When combined with the Thom diagonal and an adjunction, this leads to the Atiyah duality equivalence $T h\left(\nu \downarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) \simeq D\left(\mathbb{P}_{+}^{n-1}\right)=F\left(\mathbb{P}_{+}^{n-1}, \mathbb{S}\right)$, under which $c_{n}$ is functionally dual to the collapse map $\mathbb{P}_{+}^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{0}$. In particular, these maps are compatible up to homotopy for varying $n$, and combine to define a map $c: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \Sigma^{2,1} \mathbb{P}_{-\infty}^{-1} \simeq D\left(\mathbb{P}_{+}^{\infty}\right)$, as required.

Since $L^{2 n-1}$ is only quasi-projective, we need a different method to obtain the second map of (10.3).

Proposition 10.14. There is a map d: $\Sigma^{2,1} \mathbb{P}_{-\infty}^{-1} \rightarrow \Sigma L_{-\infty}^{-1}$ inducing

$$
\Sigma u v^{-1} \longmapsto \Sigma^{2,1} v^{-1}
$$

in cohomology, modulo $H^{*, *}$-multiples of $\Sigma^{2,1} v^{k}$ for $k \leq-2$.
Proof. For algebraic vector bundles $\alpha, \beta \downarrow X$ over the same smooth scheme $X$, where $\beta$ may be virtual, there is a homotopy cofiber sequence

$$
T h\left(p^{*} \beta \downarrow E_{0}(\alpha)\right) \longrightarrow T h(\beta \downarrow X) \longrightarrow T h(\alpha \oplus \beta \downarrow X)
$$

of motivic spectra. Here $p: E_{0}(\alpha) \rightarrow X$ denotes the projection, and $p^{*} \beta$ is the pullback of $\beta$ along $p$. We apply this with $X=\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \alpha=\gamma_{n}^{\otimes \ell}=\mathscr{O}(-\ell)$ and $\alpha \oplus \beta=\nu$, the stable normal bundle of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. We identify $E_{0}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\otimes \ell}\right) \cong L^{2 n-1}$, as in Voe03a, Lem. 6.3]. Moreover, $p^{*} \gamma_{n}^{\otimes \ell} \cong \epsilon_{n}^{1}$ over $L^{2 n-1}$, i.e., $\mathscr{O}(-\ell)$ and $\mathscr{O}$ pull back to the same bundle, which implies that $p^{*} \beta \cong-n \gamma_{n}^{*}$ as a stable bundle over $L^{2 n-1}$. This leads to the homotopy cofiber sequence

$$
L_{-2 n}^{-1} \longrightarrow T h\left(\beta \downarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{2,1} \mathbb{P}_{-n}^{-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n}} \Sigma L_{-2 n}^{-1}
$$

The long exact sequence in cohomology shows that the connecting map $d_{n}$ induces a homomorphism mapping $\Sigma u v^{-1}$ to $\Sigma^{2,1} v^{-1}$, modulo $H^{*, *}$-multiples of $\Sigma^{2,1} v^{k}$ for $-n \leq k \leq-2$. Again, these maps are compatible up to homotopy for varying $n$, and combine to define the required map $d$.

Proposition 10.15. There is a map $e: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ of motivic spectra, inducing the residue homomorphism

$$
e^{*}=\mathrm{res}: \Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}} \longrightarrow H^{*, *}
$$

in cohomology.
Proof. We take $e$ to be $d c$ followed by the inclusion $\Sigma L_{-\infty}^{-1} \rightarrow \Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ (of the homotopy fiber of $\Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rightarrow \Sigma L_{0}^{\infty}$ ). To check that $e$ induces the residue homomorphism, we use Corollary 7.5 in cohomological degree 0 , giving an isomorphism

$$
\text { res: } \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(H^{*, *}, H^{*, *}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(\Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}}, H^{*, *}\right) .
$$

In other words, any $\mathscr{A}$-module homomorphism $\Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }} \rightarrow H^{*, *}$ is characterized by its value on $\Sigma u v^{-1}$. Since $e^{*}$ and res agree on this element, they are equal.

## 11. The motivic Lin and Gunawardena theorems

We can now prove a motivic refinement of the classical theorems of Lin Lin80 (for $\ell=2$ ) and Gunawardena Gun81 (for $\ell$ an odd prime).

Recall that $\mu_{\ell}$ denotes the algebraic group of $\ell$-th roots of unity, $L^{2 n-1}=$ $\left(\mathbb{A}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) / \mu_{\ell}$ is an algebraic lens space, $\gamma_{n}^{*} \downarrow L^{2 n-1}$ is the dual of the tautological line bundle, $L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m-1}=\operatorname{Th}\left(-m \gamma_{n}^{*}\right)$ is a stunted lens spectrum, and $L_{-2 m}^{\infty}=\operatorname{hocolim}_{n} L_{-2 m}^{2 n-2 m-1}$ and $L_{-\infty}^{\infty}=\operatorname{holim}_{m} L_{-2 m}^{\infty}$ are infinite lens spectra. The continuous mod $\ell$ cohomology $H_{c}^{*, *}\left(L_{-\infty}^{\infty}\right)=\operatorname{colim}_{m} H^{*, *}\left(L_{-2 m}^{\infty}\right)$ is isomorphic to the localization $H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }}=H^{*, *}\left[u, v^{ \pm 1}\right] /\left(u^{2}=\tau v+\rho u\right)$. The map $e: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ induces the Ext-equivalence res: $\Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }} \rightarrow H^{*, *}$.
Theorem 11.1. Let $S$ be a Noetherian scheme of finite dimension d, essentially smooth over a field or Dedekind domain, and let $\ell$ be a prime that is invertible on $S$. The ( $\ell, \eta$ )-completed map

$$
e_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}: \mathbb{S}_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge} \longrightarrow\left(\Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\infty}\right) \hat{\ell}_{\ell, \eta}
$$

is a $\pi_{*, *}$-isomorphism. If $S=\operatorname{Spec} k$ for $k$ a field, then $\hat{e}_{\ell, \eta}$ is a motivic equivalence. Proof. We apply Proposition 9.7 with $X=\mathbb{S}, Y=\Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\infty}, Y(m)=\Sigma L_{-2 m}^{\infty}$ and $g=e$.

$$
\mathbb{S} \xrightarrow{e} \Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Sigma L_{-2 m}^{\infty} \rightarrow \ldots
$$

The $H$-modules $H \wedge \mathbb{S}$ and $H \wedge \Sigma L_{-2 m}^{\infty}$ are motivic GEMs of bifinite type by Lemma 10.12 Moreover, $\mathbb{S}$ and each $\Sigma L_{-2 m}^{\infty}$ is bounded below in the homotopy $t$-structure on $S H(S)$ by Lemma 10.11. By Theorem 9.5 the Adams spectral sequences for $\mathbb{S}$ and the $\Sigma L_{-2 m}^{\infty}$ are conditionally convergent to the $(\ell, \eta)$-adic completions. By Proposition 9.6 the delayed limit Adams spectral sequence for $\Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ is also conditionally convergent to the $(\ell, \eta)$-adic completion. The $\mathscr{A}$-module homomorphism

$$
e^{*}: H_{c}^{*, *}\left(\Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\infty}\right) \longrightarrow H^{*, *}(\mathbb{S})
$$

agrees with

$$
\text { res: } \Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}} \longrightarrow H^{*, *}
$$

via the isomorphism of Proposition 10.10 by Proposition 10.15 Finally, res is an Ext-equivalence by Corollary 7.5 Hence the induced map of spectral sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
e: E_{2}^{s, *, *}(\mathbb{S})=\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}}^{s, *, *}( & \left.H^{*, *}, H^{*, *}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{del}_{2}^{s, *, *}\left(\Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\infty}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}}^{s, *, *}\left(\Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}}, H^{*, *}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is an isomorphism, from the $E_{2}$-term and onward, and the map of abutments

$$
e: \pi_{*, *}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\ell, \eta}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{*, *}\left(\left(\Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\infty}\right)_{\ell, \eta}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism of (filtered) bigraded abelian groups.
Let $C e_{\ell, \eta}^{\hat{~}}$ denote the homotopy cofiber of $e_{\ell, \eta}$. If $S=\operatorname{Spec} k$ for a field $k$, then the homotopy sheaves $\underline{\pi}_{*, *}\left(C e_{\ell, \eta}\right)$ are pure in the sense of Mor05, Def. 6.4.9], hence unramified in the sense of Mor12, Def. 2.1], by Mor05, Lem. 6.4.11] and Mor12, Thm. 1.9]. For any (irreducible) smooth $k$-scheme $U$ with function field $k(U)$, the vanishing of $\pi_{*, *}\left(C e_{\eta, \ell}^{\wedge}\right)$ over Spec $k(U)$ implies the vanishing of $\pi_{*, *}\left(C e_{\eta, \ell}^{\wedge}\right)$ over $U$, so that $\underline{\pi}_{*, *}\left(C e_{\eta, \ell}^{\wedge}\right)=0$ and $e_{\eta, \ell}^{\wedge}$ is a motivic equivalence. This application of Morel's theorems also appears in Hem22, Prop. 4].

Remark 11.2 . As an alternative to our fairly explicit construction of $e: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ in Proposition 10.15, one might appeal to the weight 0 part of the delayed limit Adams spectral sequence

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{del}} E_{2}^{s, t, 0}=\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}}^{s, t, 0}\left(\Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}}, H^{*, *}\right) \Longrightarrow \pi_{t-s, 0}\left(\left(\Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\infty}\right)_{\ell, \eta}\right)
$$

for $\Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ to show the existence of a homotopy class $e^{\prime} \in \pi_{0,0}\left(\left(\Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\infty}\right) \hat{\ell}_{, \eta}\right)$ detected in Adams filtration $s=0$ by res: $\Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\text {loc }} \rightarrow H^{*, *}$ in

$$
{ }^{\text {del }} E_{\infty}^{0,0,0} \subset{ }^{\text {del }} E_{2}^{0,0,0}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(\Sigma H^{*, *}\left(B \mu_{\ell}\right)_{\mathrm{loc}}, H^{*, *}\right)
$$

By Corollary 7.5

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{del} \mathrm{l}} E_{2}^{s, t, 0} \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}}^{s, t, 0}\left(H^{*, *}, H^{*, *}\right),
$$

and res corresponds to id: $H^{*, *} \rightarrow H^{*, *}$ in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}\left(H^{*, *}, H^{*, *}\right)$.
If $d<\ell-1$, which is always the case for $S=\operatorname{Spec} k$, the canonical (or normalized cobar) $\mathscr{A}$-module resolution of $H^{*, *}$ shows that ${ }^{\text {del }} E_{2}^{s, t, 0} \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}}^{s, t, 0}\left(H^{*, *}, H^{*, *}\right)=0$ whenever $t-s=-1$. Hence $d_{r}^{0,0,0}=0$ for all $r \geq 2$, so that ${ }^{\text {del }} E_{\infty}^{0,0,0}={ }^{\text {del }} E_{2}^{0,0,0}$ and res is an infinite cycle.

To ensure that res detects a homotopy class, we also need strong convergence in its bidegree. By Boa99, Thm. 7.3], see also HR19, Thm. 3.9], it suffices to know that $R E_{\infty}^{s, t, 0}=0$ whenever $t-s=+1$. By [KW19, Cor. 6.1] this condition is satisfied for $S=\operatorname{Spec} k$, subject to the additional hypothesis for $\ell=2$ that $k$ has finite virtual cohomological dimension. The class $e^{\prime}$ is then represented by a map $e^{\prime}: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow\left(\Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\infty}\right)_{\ell, \eta}^{\wedge}$, which can be used in place of $e$.
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