

# EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY THEORIES<sup>1</sup>

BY GLEN E. BREDON

Communicated by S. Smale, November 14, 1966

Throughout this note  $G$  denotes a discrete group. A  $G$ -complex is a  $CW$ -complex on which  $G$  acts by cellular maps such that the fixed point set of any element of  $G$  is a *subcomplex*.

On the category of pairs of  $G$ -complexes and equivariant homotopy classes of maps, an *equivariant cohomology theory* is a sequence of contravariant functors  $\mathcal{K}^n$  into the category of abelian groups together with natural transformations  $\delta^n: \mathcal{K}^n(L, \emptyset) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{n+1}(K, L)$  such that

- (1)  $\mathcal{K}^n(K \cup L, L) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{K}^n(K, K \cap L)$  induced by inclusion,
- (2)  $\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n(K, L) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{n+1}(K, L) \rightarrow \dots$  is exact.

- (3) If  $S$  is a discrete  $G$ -set with orbits  $S_\alpha$  then

$$\prod_{\alpha} i_{\alpha}^*: \mathcal{K}^n(S) \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha} \mathcal{K}^n(S_{\alpha})$$

is an isomorphism, where  $i_{\alpha}: S_{\alpha} \rightarrow S$  is the inclusion. (If  $S/G$  is finite then (3) follows from the other axioms.)

One should note that, in a sense, the "building blocks" for the  $G$ -complexes are the coset spaces  $G/H$  and that the equivariant maps  $G/H \rightarrow G/K$  are also essential data for building  $G$ -complexes. Thus we maintain that the "coefficients" of a theory  $\mathcal{K}$  should include the groups  $\mathcal{K}^n(G/H)$  together with the induced homomorphisms  $\mathcal{K}^n(G/K) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n(G/H)$ . We shall make this more precise.

Let  $\Theta_G$  denote the category whose objects are the coset spaces  $G/H$  ( $H \subset G$ ) and whose morphisms are the equivariant maps. A *coefficient system* is defined to be a contravariant functor from  $\Theta_G$  to  $Ab$  (the category of abelian groups). The coefficient systems themselves form a category  $\mathcal{C}_G = [\Theta_G^*, Ab]$  which is an abelian category with projectives and injectives.

The following remark is useful. For  $G$ -sets  $S$  and  $T$  let  $E(S, T)$  denote the set of equivariant maps  $S \rightarrow T$ . Also for  $H \subset G$  we let  $S^H = \{s \in S \mid h(s) = s \text{ for all } h \in H\}$ . The assignment  $f \rightarrow f(H)$  clearly yields a one-one correspondence

$$E(G/H, S) \xrightarrow{\sim} S^H.$$

---

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported in part by National Science Foundation grant GP-3990 and by a Sloan fellowship.

Thus it follows immediately that an equivariant map  $\phi: G/H \rightarrow G/K$  induces a canonical map  $\phi^*: S^H \rightarrow S^H$ .

Thus a  $Z(G)$ -module  $A$  induces an element  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{C}_G$  by  $\mathbf{A}(G/H) = A^H$  and  $\mathbf{A}(\phi) = \phi^*$ . If  $(K, L)$  is a  $G$ -complex pair with (cellular) chain complex  $C_*(K, L)$  we similarly obtain an element  $\mathbf{C}_*(K, L) \in \mathcal{C}_G$  where  $\mathbf{C}_*(K, L)(G/H) = C_*(K^H, L^H)$  and so on. Similarly, the homology groups yield  $H_*(K, L) \in \mathcal{C}_G$  defined by  $H_*(K, L)(G/H) = H_*(K^H, L^H)$ . If  $Y$  is any  $G$ -space with base point  $y_0 \in Y^G$  we obtain an element  $\omega_q(Y, y_0) \in \mathcal{C}_G$  defined by  $\omega_q(Y, y_0)(G/H) = \pi_q(Y^H, y_0)$ .

If  $M \in \mathcal{C}_G$  is arbitrary we define the equivariant “classical” cochain group of the  $G$ -complex pair  $(K, L)$  by

$$C_G^n(K, L; M) = \text{Hom}(C_n(K, L), M)$$

(Hom in  $\mathcal{C}_G$ ) and we define

$$H_G^n(K, L; M) = H^n(C_G^*(K, L; M)).$$

These groups are computable, given  $M$ , the order of difficulty being roughly the same as for ordinary cohomology.

For any equivariant cohomology theory  $\mathcal{H}$  we define its “coefficients in degree  $p$ ” to be the element  $\mathcal{H}^p(*) \in \mathcal{C}_G$  defined by

$$\mathcal{H}^p(*) (G/H) = \mathcal{H}^p(G/H).$$

$\mathcal{H}$  is called “classical” if in addition to (1)–(3) above we have the dimension axiom:

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{H}^p(*) = 0 \text{ for } p \neq 0.$$

Then  $\mathcal{H}^0(*)$  denotes the “coefficients” of such a theory. We can prove that, for  $M \in \mathcal{C}_G$ ,

$$H_G^*(\cdot; M) \text{ is classical with coefficients } M.$$

Moreover, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is classical then there is a functorial isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^p(\cdot) \approx H_G^p(\cdot; \mathcal{H}^0(*))$$

for finite dimensional  $G$ -complexes.

(In particular, the classically defined equivariant cohomology theory with coefficients in the  $Z(G)$ -module  $A$  is, in our notation,  $H_G^*(\cdot; A)$ .)

In the case of finite dimensional  $G$ -complexes, we can prove more generally that there is a spectral sequence with

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_G^p(K, L; \mathcal{H}^q(*)) \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{p+q}(K, L)$$

for any equivariant cohomology theory  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Another spectral sequence results by applying standard homological algebra to  $\text{Hom}(C_*(K, L), M^*)$  where  $M^*$  is an injective resolution in  $\mathcal{C}_G$  of  $M \in \mathcal{C}_G$ . This yields the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = \text{Ext}^p(H_q(K, L), M) \Rightarrow H_G^{p+q}(K, L; M)$$

where  $\text{Ext}^p$  refers to the  $p$ th right derived functor of  $\text{Hom}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_G$ .

We say that a  $G$ -space has type  $(\omega, n)$  where  $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_G$  if

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_q(Y, y_0) &\approx 0 && \text{for } q \neq n, \\ &\approx \omega && \text{for } q = n \end{aligned}$$

where  $y_0 \in Y^G \neq \emptyset$ .

We can show, using the existence of projectives in  $\mathcal{C}_G$ , that  $G$ -complexes of type  $(\omega, n)$  exist for all  $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_G$  and all  $n \geq 1$ . It is easily proved that if  $Y$  has type  $(\omega, n)$  then the equivariant homotopy classes of maps satisfy

$$[K; Y] \approx H_G^n(K; \omega)$$

for  $G$ -complexes  $K$ . Thus the  $H_G^n(\cdot; \tilde{\omega})$  are representable for all  $\tilde{\omega} \in \mathcal{C}_G$ .

Using this equivariant cohomology theory one can develop an obstruction theory for equivariant extensions of maps. In fact, let  $Y$  be a  $G$ -space such that  $Y^H$  is nonempty, arcwise connected, and  $n$ -simple for each subgroup  $H \subset G$ . Let  $(K, L)$  be a  $G$ -complex pair and let  $\phi: K^n \cup L \rightarrow Y$  be an equivariant map. Then there is an “obstruction cocycle”

$$c_\phi \in C_G^{n+1}(K, L; \omega_n(Y))$$

such that  $c_\phi = 0$  iff  $\phi$  can be extended equivariantly to  $K^{n+1} \cup L$ . Moreover, the class

$$[c_\phi] \in H_G^{n+1}(K, L; \omega_n(Y))$$

is zero iff  $\phi|_{K^{n-1} \cup L}$  can be extended equivariantly to  $K^{n+1} \cup L$ .

Similarly deformation cochains, primary obstructions, and characteristic classes can be defined in complete analogy with the non-equivariant theory.

The details of this work will be published elsewhere.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY AND  
THE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY