

7/8/09

**Commentary on Akhmetiev's main paper, partially translated by Landweber**

Here is a list of sections:

- **Section 1. Self-intersections of immersions and the Kervaire invariant**, page 1.

An outline of the program is given, similar to my synopsis above, but with more detail. There is a diagram on page 7 showing subgroups of interest in  $\mathbf{Z}/2^{[d]}$  (the 2-Sylow subgroup of the symmetric group on  $2^d$  letters) for  $2 \leq d \leq 6$ .

- **Section 2. Geometric control of the manifold of self-intersections of a skew-framed immersion**, page 8.

Definition 3 says that a skew framed immersion is *abelian* if the structure group for the double point manifold can be reduced from  $\mathbf{Z}/2^{[2]} = D_4$  to  $(\mathbf{Z}/2)^2$ . Definition 4 says that it *has an abelian structure* if it satisfies a milder form of this condition. Definition 5 is that of a compression of order  $q$ .

Theorem 6 says that an immersion in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  of a certain small codimension  $k$  has an abelian structure if it has a compression of a certain order  $q$ . The simplest case is  $(n, k, q) = (2^{j+1} - 2, 2^{j-4} - 2, 32)$  for  $j \geq 6$ . However, we know that in order to insure the existence of an order 32 compression,  $j$  must be much larger. This is the first of several theorems saying that a certain compression guarantees the existence of some kind of geometric structure on the immersion.

Lemma 7 says something about a map of odd codimension of a projective space into Euclidean space. The content appears to me to be trivial and the reference given for its proof seems to be incorrect.

Theorem 6 is derived from Lemma 7 in a 2 page argument that I am unable to follow.

- **Section 3. Bicyclic structure on a  $\mathbf{Z}/2^{[3]}$ -immersion**, page 14.

Subgroups of  $\mathbf{Z}/2^{[3]}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}/2^{[4]}$  isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}/4 \times \mathbf{Z}/2$  and  $\mathbf{Z}/4 \times \mathbf{Z}/4$  respectively are specified. Definition 8 says that a  $\mathbf{Z}/2^{[3]}$ -framed ( $\mathbf{Z}/2^{[4]}$ -framed) immersion is  $(\mathbf{Z}/4 \times \mathbf{Z}/2)$ -framed ( $(\mathbf{Z}/4 \times \mathbf{Z}/4)$ -framed) if the structure group for the immersion itself (rather than for its immersion of double points as in the previous section) can be reduced to the indicated subgroup.

Definitions 9 and 10 refer to the double point immersions associated with  $\mathbf{Z}/2^{[2]}$ -framed and  $\mathbf{Z}/2^{[3]}$ -framed immersions. They are said to *have  $G$ -structures* (for the subgroups  $G$  of  $\mathbf{Z}/2^{[3]}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}/2^{[4]}$  above) if their Kervaire invariants satisfy certain conditions similar to that in Definition 4. The latter structure is also called a *bicyclic structure*.

I am unable to decipher the statements of Theorems 11 and 12, whose proofs have yet to be translated. Corollary 13 says that under the hypotheses of Theorem 6 (namely a compression condition), a  $\mathbf{Z}/2^{[3]}$ -framed immersion has a bicyclic structure.

- **Section 4. Biquaternionic structure on a  $\mathbf{Z}/2^{[5]}$ -immersion**, page 19.  
 This section is very similar to the previous one. Subgroups of  $\mathbf{Z}/2^{[5]}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}/2^{[6]}$  isomorphic to  $Q_8 \times \mathbf{Z}/4$  (where  $Q_8$  denote the quaternion group) and  $Q_8 \times Q_8$  respectively are specified. Definitions 14, 15 and 16 are analogous to 8, 9 and 10. Theorems 17 and 18 are similar to Theorems 11 and 12 and have untranslated proofs. Corollary 19 says that under the hypotheses of Theorem 6, a  $\mathbf{Z}/2^{[5]}$ -framed immersion has a biquaternionic structure.
- **Section 5. Solution of the Kervaire invariant problem**, page 24.  
 The Main Theorem states that the Kervaire invariant of a skew framed immersion into  $\mathbf{R}^{2^l-2}$  vanishes for  $l \gg 0$ . Results stated earlier imply that such an immersion leads to a  $\mathbf{Z}/2^{[5]}$ -framed immersion with biquaternionic structure. The last two pages of this section is a calculation aimed at showing that a certain characteristic number vanishes, which would make the Kervaire invariant vanish. There is a similar calculation on the last few pages of his Princeton slides. I have not had time to study this carefully.
- **Section 6. Proof of Theorems 11 and 12** [in Section 3], page 28, not yet translated. It is 15 pages in the Russian original. Hopefully this section and the next will be translated soon by Akhmet'ev.
- **Section 7. Proof of Theorems 17 and 18** [in section 4], page 28, not yet translated. It is 19 pages in the Russian original.
- **Section 8. The compression theorem**, page 28. Only the statement is translated. It is 23 pages in the Russian original.
- **References**, page 28.