

**Restricted convolution inequalities, multilinear operators
and applications.
Clarification and errata.**

Dan-Andrei Geba, Allan Greenleaf, Alex Iosevich,
Eyvindur Palsson and Eric Sawyer

Pagination and numbering refer to the published version, *Math. Res. Let.* **20** (2013), no. 4, 675-694.

1. Clarification

It would have been helpful to explain the exponents in the main theorem, Thm. 1.3, by means of scaling. Observe how both sides of a possible more general estimate,

$$(1.4') \quad \|(F * G)|_H\|_{L^r(H)} \leq \|F\|_{\Lambda_{s,p}^H(\mathbb{R}^n)} \cdot \|G\|_{\Lambda_{t,q}^H(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

transform under dilations:

(i) Dilating by $0 < \delta < \infty$ in the H directions and not in the H^\perp directions, the LHS of (1.4') scales by $\delta^{-\frac{k}{r'}}$, while the RHS scales by $\delta^{-\frac{k}{p}} \cdot \delta^{-\frac{k}{q}}$, so that (1.4') holding uniformly in δ implies that

$$\frac{1}{r'} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q},$$

i.e., $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$, as in Thm. 1.3.

(ii) Similarly, dilating by $0 < \epsilon < \infty$ in the H^\perp directions and not in the H directions scales the LHS by $\epsilon^{-(n-k)}$ and the RHS by $\epsilon^{-\frac{n-k}{s}} \cdot \epsilon^{-\frac{n-k}{t}}$, so that (1.4') holding uniformly in ϵ implies that

$$1 = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t},$$

i.e., s, t are dual exponents. Thm. 1.3 only covers the case $s = t = 2$.

The first three authors were supported by NSF grants DMS-0747656, DMS-0853892 and DMS-1045404, resp. 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 44A35; Secondary 42B25, 35B45 .

2. Corrections

There are also a number of typographical errors which might cause confusion.

1. p. 678, in (1.10) in Cor. 1.7, the spaces are incorrect due to a transcription error. p and q should have been $\frac{p}{2}$, $\frac{q}{2}$, resp., so that the inequality should have been

$$(1.10) \quad \|\widehat{F}|_H\|_{L^r(H)} \lesssim \|F \circ \rho_H\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}} L^{\frac{q}{2}}_v}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|F \circ \rho_H\|_{L^{\frac{q}{2}} L^{\frac{p}{2}}_v}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad p, q, r \geq 2, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 1.$$

The estimate (1.11) is correct as stated, but (replacing p by $p/2$), is perhaps more elegantly expressed as

$$(1.11) \quad \|\widehat{F}|_H\|_{L^{p'}(H)} \lesssim \|F \circ \rho_H\|_{L^p L^1_v}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2.$$

We thank Mike Christ for pointing these out.

2. p. 680, proof of Thm. 1.3, just above §§2.2, should read

Interpolation then gives (1.4) for $q = 2$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{2}$, $p, r \geq 2 \dots$ also holds for $p = 2$, $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{2}$, $q, r \geq 2 \dots$

3. p. 684, proof of Cor. 3.5: should be

... (3.2) holds with $\gamma = \frac{md-1}{2} - \frac{(m-1)d}{2} = \frac{d-1}{2}$, using ...

There is also an example which is incorrect and should be removed:

4. p. 685, Cor. 3.6: For the measure on the product of spheres, B_ν is just the pointwise product of the spherical averages on \mathbb{R}^d of each of the f_j . One can't beat simply applying Strichartz' $L^{\frac{d+1}{d}} \rightarrow L^{d+1}$ estimate for the spherical mean operator for each of these, followed by Hölder.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER, ROCHESTER, NY 14627

E-mail address: `dangeba@math.rochester.edu`

E-mail address: `allan@math.rochester.edu`

E-mail address: `iosevich@math.rochester.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WILLIAMS COLLEGE, WILLIAMSTOWN, MA 01267

E-mail address: `eap2@williams.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, HAMILTON, ON L8S 4K1

E-mail address: `sawyer@mcmaster.ca`